

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, good evening. The public, are they all -- are they still -- Do we have a problem?

MS. CONROY: They're coming in. We have 35 people right now. 36. They're just coming in.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Shall I hold off maybe a minute or two?

MS. CONROY: Yeah. If you want.
MS. ALLEE: Mine said 54.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Total. I am looking at the attendees. They are stabilized at 38. I think we're going to start, because I don't see much change in the attendees. Okay.

It's 5:30 p.m. This is the continuation of the hearing that we began on -- what day? March 8th.

MS. SHYER: 8th, I think.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 8th. So first, we have here tonight with us, myself, the Chairman, Michael Klemens; four members Bob Riva, Daniel -- Allen Cockerline, Cathy Shyer and Marty Whalen. And alternate members -- did I mention Bob Riva?

MR. RIVA: You did.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Then we have our alternates, all three of them, Jon Higgins, Debra Allee and Dr. Danella Schiffer. With us tonight is also our
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1 Planning and Zoning Commission Counsel, Chuck Andres and
2 our Land Use Administrator, Abby Conroy.

Tonight's meeting will be as follows: The Applicant will present new materials and responses. And there will be questions from the Commissioners followed by questions from Attorney Casagrande for the Intervenors. This will be followed by the Intervenor presentation by Attorney Casagrande and his team. That will be followed by questions from Attorney Smith for the Applicant and questions from the Commission. Then there would be a presentation, if -- from Attorney Grickis who represents Seth Churchill. Then there will be questions from Attorney Smith on behalf of the Applicant, questions from the Commission and questions from Attorney Casagrande for the Intervenors.

After we get through that sequence -- I'm assuming Mark Capecelatro is not here with his client. (No response.)

MS. CONROY: I do not see him right now.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: If he appears, he can come in after Attorney Grickis for the public.

A few housekeeping rules to answer some of the questions that have been posed to us. There have been no discussions with the Applicant about the timing format of the meeting. I am not going to put time limits upon the
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1 presenters or anybody. The hearing will end at 9:30. We
2 are and can enter the 90 -day extension under the
3 Executive Order. That means we can continue it without
4 consent of the Applicant and I'm sure they would 5 anyway.

Continuation of the hearing, we've allotted April 14th, a Wednesday, for the continuation of this public hearing at 5:30 p.m. those future activities related to this application are taking place on Wednesdays. This is because we have at least three other land use matters that are occurring on Mondays. That's our own regular meeting and to wetlands. So, everything is being moved to Wednesdays for this matter. If, and I say if, we close the hearing on April 14th, we will begin deliberations on April 28th. Also a Wednesday.

Please respect that ex parte communications with Commissioners is prohibited by law. This is a small town. We intersect with many of the participants in this matter in the course of our daily activities. Refrain from discussing this matter in in-person conversations, telephone, text and e-mail. And please do not carbon copy Commissioners on e-mails. Everything is to be routed through the Land Use office, through Abby Conroy. Now, there are a couple additional things. I'm

1 now going to ask Attorney Andres to address the use of
2 the webinar format and the Executive Order.

MR. ANDRES: Chuck Andres for the Commission. Thank you, Chairman Klemens. I have just been asked to address a couple questioners. A question has been raised by Attorney Casagrande about the legality of the Zoom webinar format and I did take a look at that. In my mind the issue is does this format comply with the Executive Order 7B from the Governor that suspended the regular Freedom Of Information divisions. I believe it does. The main issue there is that the public has to have the ability to view or listen to each meeting or proceeding realtime by telephone, video and other technology. And I believe this does comply with that. There's a number of other provisions as part of the Executive Order and this appears to satisfy that.

I did just check around as well to see if other towns or state agencies are using this as part of their Zoom meetings and the answer is yes. And I found that Ridgefield, Madison, Brooklyn, Bloomfield, Greenwich, Farmington, Groton, Old Saybrook, Norwich, Bethany, Newington, Trumbull, Hamden, Salem have all used -appear to have used, maybe I got it wrong, but the Zoom webinar format for their public meetings. I also saw some for the State of Connecticut, State of Connecticut
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1 Department of Consumer Protection appeared to be using it 2 as well.

So, I believe this does comply with the Governor's order.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney Andres.
I'm now going to ask Land Use Administrator Conroy to read into the record the summation of all new materials, please.

MS. CONROY: So, there's batch No. 5 was updated to include some submissions that were received since the last meeting. We received the return receipts for notice from the Applicant. They posted additional public hearings identifying the new hearing date and the photos and documentation of that were provided; site photos and notes from the Applicant, dated 3/18/2021; revised site plan showing fire lanes, dated 3/17/2021; todesign response letter, dated 3/17/2021; a letter regarding architectural appropriateness, dated 3/17/2021; a VHB traffic demo, dated 3/18/2021; revised and additional rendition, dated 3/18/2021; statement regarding massing of Holley Place neighbors, dated

And then we've also uploaded for the Intervenor, Cramer \& Anderson, letter to PZC, dated 3/8/2021; Cramer \& Anderson letter to PZC, dated
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1 3/9/2021; Cramer \& Anderson letter to PZC, dated
2 3/18/2001; and Intervenor statement of opposition to the 3 application, dated 3/18/2021. Historic District. Exhibit 3 through 8, Exhibit 9 Virbickas -- I hope I said that right -- fire truck turning diagrams. Tab A, National Register of Historic Places registration. Tabs B through $K$, which, if I remember correctly, are all newspaper articles. Tab L is 2020 Salisbury annual report. Tab N is the 2009 Salisbury annual report. Tab $M$ is the Sarah Morrison letter dated 11/5/2020. Tab O, the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority project narrative. Tab P, FAHC 2018 housing plan. Tab Q, Miller report, dated 3/18/2021. Tab R, Virbickas report, dated 3/16/2021. Tab S businesses using Bicentennial Park. Tab T, table showing parking deficits. Tab U, Mark Capecelatro letter, dated 11/5/2020, which I believe is a duplication. I think that's also in the record, but in this case it was labelled as Tab U. And then we have Tab V through Y. And then there's also submitted from the public, Attorney Grickis letter, dated 3/18/2021 as previously indicated letters batch No. 5 has been updated. We included a letter from Dr. Klemens to the
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Applicant with [Unintelligible] for protectionary
questions dated 3/12/2021 and then M. Muecke letter,
dated 3/14/2021.

There may have been things that came in after I left the office today, but before I left, that's what I got.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Abby.
Before I turn it over to the Applicant, I am going to ask for an additional \$5,000 escrow now that we are going to have to have our attorney at all these meetings. The estimate we gave earlier is insufficient. So, we're asking -- we already have 2,500 on account. We spent some of that. They're asking to you please, at your earliest convenience, send us a check for $\$ 5,000$. With that, I'll turn that over to Attorney Smith.

MR. SMITH: Okay.
Good evening Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Ms. Conroy and Attorney Andres. For the record, my name is Chris Smith, I'm a land use attorney with the law firm of Alter \& Pearson; and as you're aware, I appear it before you this evening on behalf of the Applicant, Salisbury Housing Committee, Inc. For the record, Mr. Chairman, my client indicated the Housing Committee will indeed provide that additional monies
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```
for --
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
MR. SMITH: -- for your legal advice and counsel which is very good, by the way.
For the record, as the chair indicated, we had finished up our presentation essentially at the last -at the first night of public hearing on March 8th. The Commission did have a number of questions for us as well as we did hear comments from some of the citizens. We did provide responses and with the chair's permission what I would like to do is turn the presentation over to Jocelyn Ayer who did put together a Power Point. It's all the material that was submitted to the Commission and you have, but it's just in a Power Point format and we'll have the appropriate consultant walk you through those responses this evening. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Go ahead, Jocelyn.
MS. AYER: Can you enable screen sharing.
MS. CONROY: I just did. That should work now.
MS. AYER: All right. Again, we want to thank the Commission for your time tonight and we did again take very careful notes of questions that came up at the last public hearing and did want to just have the opportunity to briefly summarize what we submitted on March 18th [Verbatim] to you regarding those.
```
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And I guess I would just like to say, very briefly for the record, you know, we are a non-profit organization. We do want the Commission, of course, and the town to have the resources that you need to do your work on this project. So, I guess we will have to agree to the additional funding; but it is, you know, our opponents have much deeper pockets than this non-profit organization.

So, the first thing we wanted to just quickly submit and review with you, Jon, do you want to review the site plan?

MR. TUNSKY: Yes. Thank you, Jocelyn.
Jon Tunsky with todesign, 114 West Main Street, New Britain Connecticut 06051 and we are the landscape architect on the project.

So, just a few -- reviewing a few comments that came across at the last public hearing by the Commission. We added the fire lane around the building on the two sides. As requested, we added some directional arrows on the paving showing you the flow of traffic. We also added three bike racks which are under the building overhang in the striped off area there. As well as what was brought up or, not by Commission, brought up by us, we needed to assign two spots on the outdoor exterior parking for tenant parking. So, we are showing those two
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1 designated spaces now.

Also, in response to Dr. Klemens' comments regarding -- hold on a second. Some of the questions he asked us regarding the aquifer protection area. So, just to summarize those, we are not a prohibited use and this activity will have no result in adverse impacts to the aquifer. And then also just reviewing the existing and past impervious surface which includes the building previously on site, that comes out at 12,834 square feet which is approximately 95 percent coverage. And our proposed impervious coverage is actually reduction down to 11,664 square feet or 86 percent.

That's all I have.
MS. AYER: Thanks, Jon.
MR. PETITTO: Thanks. We revised a couple renderings and created an additional one. Oh. Rocco Petitto, QA+M, Farmington Connecticut. I'm the project architect for Holley Place. This first rendering was pointed out in the last meeting that we weren't showing the existing telephone pole or power pole on Millerton Road. So here it is reinserted so you can see where it is in relation to the building. The power pole is on basically the northeast side of the drive that goes down between the two buildings.

And then next slide.
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So, it was requested, too, that we have a rendering of view from Factory Pond so you can see where the building would sit in relation to the surroundings.

And then, also, we revised the rear night view to show more of a down light sconce on the building and then also indicating that we're keeping the existing guard rail in place at the wall above the Interior's building. Those are the revisions that we've made and then I will pass it on to Kent.

You're still muted, Kent.
MR. McCOY: There you go. Kent McCoy, QA+M Architecture in Farmington, Connecticut, working with Rocco. By way of introduction, I'm associate principal at the firm and with a specialty in historic restoration and the design of buildings in historic context.

I've had about 40 years of experience in architecture, specifically in that field of architecture and am recognized by the state preservation office as a so-called historic architect with which I'm not sure how to take that sometimes.

So, for 40 years $I$ was a principal at the firm of Smith Edwards McCoy in Hartford and we did some of the most sensitive and well known restorations projects in the State, including the exterior restoration of the State Capitol Building, the Old State House in Hartford
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1 and the Long Walk at Trinity College. Most recently we
2 completed a restoration of the Wadsworth Atheneum in
3 Hartford. And the Trinity College project and the
4 Atheneum project, both won national design awards from 5 the AIA for historic preservation.

7 of -- buildings in historic context and those would
8 include, in addition to St. Anthony Hall at Trinity
9 College, dining commons and new library at Renbrook
10 School in West Hartford and the award-winning 9th Square
11 project with Newman Architects in New Haven. And for our
12 work in preservation and restoration of historic
13 buildings, in 2009, we won the Governor's award in
14 recognition of our work. This is only the second time
15 it -- it was the only the second time an architectural
16 firm ever won that award. The other time being Philip
17 Johnson.
Personally, I live in the historic district in Collinsville, Connecticut, and $I$ was on the Historic District Commission for ten years and was chairman of that commission for two years. So, I'm quite familiar with the historic district process and, of course, our mandate was, as with any historic district commission, to review proposed changes, additions and new construction in the district.
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This project, specifically, I find that the design of the proposed multi-family housing to be built at 11 Holley Street is appropriate to it's architectural context. While it is a modern, energy-efficient building that takes advantage of the many advanced materials and methods that have come into being over the past couple of centuries, it's stylistically satisfies the donor's request that the building shall have exterior design in keeping with the federal image of our village.

This is accomplished by incorporating the elements massing and scale of other village buildings notably the neighboring Shannon building which sits across the street from the seat on the corner of Millerton Road and Holley Street.

At the same time, the building avoids being an historic recreation and is simplified in it's details while respecting the overall massing and proportions of the neighboring buildings. In this way, it satisfies the U.S. Secretary of the Interior standards for additions and new construction which recommends use thing same forms, materials and color range as the surrounding historic buildings in a manner that does not replicate the historic structures but distinguishes the new construction from the historic buildings.

The massing of the building is appropriate to
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1 it's context. It's a two story -- two and a half story
2 building and it is physically shorter than the
3 neighboring Shannon building to the east and it's facade
4 is broken up by residential scale, pedimented entrance 5 porch and gable, gabled central bay with two flanking

6 wings. This successfully reduces the building's
7 elevation along Millerton Road into smaller residential
8 scale units and reflects the typical gable and
9 intersecting L configuration of many of the neighboring 10 buildings.

11 context. The fan light in the attic of the central gable refers to the fan light at the Holley-Williams House directly across the street. And again, while it references the neighborhood context, it does not directly copy it. The pilasters that flank the central gable of the building respect the proportions of the pilasters that frame the neighboring Shannon Building you can see there on the upper left.
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But furthermore, I think it's wrong to think the historic context of Lakeville is limited to federal or colonial style single-family houses. What is clear to me architecturally and was certainly important to the village's listing on the National Register is that it is a relatively intact mill town. It retains it's historic mill buildings, workers' housing and its grand mill owners' houses and some of its commercial structures.

Missing is the original Holley Block building, a large mix-use building that stood on the site until it was razed in 1967. In historic photographs of the Holley Block one can sense the former vitality of Millerton Road when a series of shops and offices made up this commercial corner.

In my opinion, the new building proposed at 11 Holley Street is correctly scaled and detailed for its location and would be an appropriate and welcome addition to the village center. It's presence would help to recreate the density and vitality that the district once enjoyed and is much more compatible with the historic streetscape than the paved parking lot currently occupying most of the site. Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, Chris Smith for the record. I just have a couple questions for Mr. McCoy. I will be quick.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sure.
MR. SMITH: Mr. McCoy, in your professional opinion, is the proposal, the conduct involved with the proposal reasonably likely to result in the unreasonable pollution, impairment, destruction in the air, water or other natural resource of the State of Connecticut including any historic resource?

MR. McCOY: No. No. I will say, though, that the resource on the site is the -- is just the stone wall and we intend to incorporate that into our building.

MR. SMITH: Second question, Mr. McCoy, is the proposal reasonably likely to result in the unreasonable destruction of historic structures or landmarks of the State?

MR. McCOY: No.
MR. SMITH: And in your professional opinion, is the proposal consistent with the zoning regulations of the town of Salisbury.

MR. McCOY: Yes, definitely.
MR. SMITH: Thank you very much.
Jocelyn, how are we with the -- I think Kent is finished.

MS. AYER: I probably wanted to summarize for right now.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman -- I'm sorry. Go
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```
    1 ahead, Jocelyn.
```

MS. AYER: I just said thank you.
MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, that concludes our presentation/summary of the responses at this point in time. And once we've heard from the Intervenors and the balance of the individuals who wish to speak relative to the proposal, we'll reserve our specific responses to those comments that we may hear this evening for our rebuttal. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney Smith. I'm going to lead with the Commission questions. My first question is, you now have illustrated two spots, we can go to that new -- that rendering of the fire lane and bike rack. If you can go back to that, please.

MS. AYER: I think I have to be allowed to -MS. CONROY: Sorry. I thought -- sorry.

MS. AYER: That's okay.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Fine. Thank you.
MS. AYER: This one?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes.
Now you have tenant parking only. So, is this basically going to be -- are you stepping back your commitment to public parking here by dedicating two of these spots now for the tenants?
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MR. SMITH: If I can answer that, Mr. Chairman, for the record, Chris Smith. Since we have 12 unit and under your regulations one parking space per dwelling is required and we do provide for ten under the building. We thought it appropriate to designate two for the other two units in the parking area, itself. And that's consequently why we've done that on this site plan.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, those two spaces now are for tenant parking only.

MR. SMITH: Correct.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, what is the number of parking spaces left for the public now? You have reduced them.

MR. SMITH: Well actually, from our original -from the Applicant's position, they will be leasing the entire property. They are entitled, by doing that, to all of the spaces on the property; but as we've indicated all along, the Housing Committee is more than willing to allow public parking on those spaces that are not being utilized for the tenant parking.

MS. AYER: So that the ten additional outdoor spaces would be open to the public.

MR. SMITH: I was trying to say there's a balance in the delta and I was going to defer to Jocelyn or Jon to give you that number.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So basically, unlike the -we've heard a lot about special permits with or without phantom or satellite parking, you're asking not for this is part of your parking equation, but this is going to be dedicated parking?

MR. SMITH: Correct.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
Can we go to the picture of the telephone pole, please. Can you tell me what that white item is below the two windows? On the side.

MS. AYER: Here?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, please.
MS. AYER: I believe that's the sign for this building.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Oh. Thank you. It's not on your building.

MS. AYER: No, no.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Jocelyn.
Okay. Can we go look at the guard rail, please. So, I do see the downward lighting, I do see these very large, lit camerons (ph.) that have been brought up as being a problem or being an impact. Is there any way that we could create either vegetational screening or something to sort of interrupt that light flow over the guard rail? I don't know how much --
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We really have to stop using the chat for anything but to be recognized. We can't have comments, etc., in the chat, please. I'm sorry.

What can we do to interrupt that -- those lit camerons (ph.). I know there's limited space. But is there a way to put vegetation behind the guard rail? Is there a way to put some sort of lattice screening, attractive, not stockade fence?

MS. AYER: Jonathan -- Jon or Rocco, is there much space there? I believe -- I mean, the guard rail is not on this property; correct? It's the neighbor's --

MR. TUNSKY: Correct, yes. This is Jon Tunsky. Yes, the guardrail is on the neighbor's property. It's mounted to that existing retaining wall. There is a very narrow -- it probably gets down to about a foot in some locations how this parking is carved out. So really planting any significant shrub in there is not possible. We could look at adding some shrubs in the areas where there is space, but to have a shrub row across that entire perimeter I don't think is possible. But --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm looking at the -- it's sort of a strange arrangement of the lot line and the parking -- there seems to be a few spots where you possibly could put something where the -- whether where the compact cars are, there's a spot; and then there's a
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1 spot at the very western end that the probably not going
2 to help much. Anyway, could you give that a think of how
3 to deal with that? own conclusion, did she make those conclusions known to you?

MR. McCoy: No, she did not. And I did actually e-mail her today to see if she had reached conclusions as yet and I hadn't gotten a call back before this meeting.
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 agency?CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Is -- sorry, Attorney Smith.

MR. SMITH: No. You are asking the questions. I was going to ask Mr. McCoy to possibly explain the SHPO relationship with this proposal and whether a permit is required from them at this point in time while we're -this application is pending before the Planning and Zoning Commission. I think you might have asked that the last go round, Mr. Chairman, but perhaps Mr. McCoy can explain that to the Commission and you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please.
MR. McCOY: Sure. The SHPO would -- the SHPO would be involved in the review of the project only depending on the funding source. So, for example, if this were a privately-funded project, there would not be any SHPO review needed. But because of the wall, which is in the National Register Historic District and if the project is funded with federal or state money, then yes, a SHPO approval of changes to the wall and incorporating it to our building would be required.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, Mr. McCoy, are you telling me that if this was a private development, that this wall could be removed without any approvals from the

MR. McCoy: Yes, that's right. Yeah, it's only
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1 the funding sources that bring a potential SHPO review. 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: But that still wouldn't
3 necessarily matter whether or not it funded, it still --
4 how to put this, it still may not be -- how does that
5 inform the Intervenor's contention of reasonable
6 likelihood of unreasonable harm? Because I'm really
7 $\begin{aligned} & \text { confused now. You are telling me the SHPO review is to } \\ & 8\end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: But that still wouldn't } \\ 3 & \text { necessarily matter whether or not it funded, it still -- } \\ 4 & \text { how to put this, it still may not be -- how does that } \\ 5 & \text { inform the Intervenor's contention of reasonable } \\ 6 & \text { likelihood of unreasonable harm? Because I'm really } \\ 7 & \text { confused now. You are telling me the SHPO review is to } \\ 8 & \text { do with the funding source. A private developer could go }\end{array}$ 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: But that still wouldn't
3 necessarily matter whether or not it funded, it still --
4 how to put this, it still may not be -- how does that
5 inform the Intervenor's contention of reasonable
6 likelihood of unreasonable harm? Because I'm really
7 $\begin{aligned} & \text { confused now. You are telling me the SHPO review is to } \\ & 8\end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: But that still wouldn't } \\ 3 & \text { necessarily matter whether or not it funded, it still -- } \\ 4 & \text { how to put this, it still may not be -- how does that } \\ 5 & \text { inform the Intervenor's contention of reasonable } \\ 6 & \text { likelihood of unreasonable harm? Because I'm really } \\ 7 & \text { confused now. You are telling me the SHPO review is to } \\ 8 & \text { do with the funding source. A private developer could go }\end{array}$ there and do whatever they wanted. Where is the nexus between unreasonable harm as alleged, you know, you've heard the Intervenor's claim; and I'm curious where that threshold would be if this was not SHPO funded -- excuse me, not state or federally funded.

MR. SMITH: And Mr. Chairman, for the record, that's kind of the burden of the Intervenors to establish that nexus for you. And I think Mr. McCoy's already opined for you that, in his opinion, there isn't any adverse -- reasonable likelihood or the unreasonable pollution, impairment or destruction as -- and you're familiar with 22A-19 and Intervenors are pulling in the historic under state resources; and so I would respectfully submit that that's certainly the burden of the Intervenors to establish that for the Commission and to substantiate their allegations.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You actually gave me
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1 something that $I$ forgot to mention in my opening remarks.

23 looking for. I was looking for what is it now. The past

24 is gone. It's been demolished. What is the current

```
When we begin deliberations, one of the first things we're going to deliberate on is whether the Intervenor has met the burden. That will not occur until we begin deliberations.
```

Let me get back to Mr. McCoy again. Very impressive amount of projects you've worked on. How many of these types of reviews have you done? I see you've done a lot of restoration of historical buildings. Some quite important. But how many times are you asked to opine on the contextually or appropriateness of a new building on historical resources.

MR. McCOY: I would say rarely. Because generally I'm making that -- I'm making -- I'm presenting that analysis for projects that I'm working on, that I have designed, sort of in the way that $I$ am tonight. So that, yes. So, not frequently.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. McCoy.
I would like to go to this submission by Jonathan Tunsky. And I thought I was quite clear what I was looking for. And your first response under No. 2 doesn't really get me to understand exactly what $I$ was existing state of impervious surface. That's something I
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```
    1 would like answered. And your response didn't answer it.
    2 You gave a composite of today and yesterday. So, can you
    3 provide that next time or now?
    4 MR. TUNSKY: I actually have it now.
    5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please.
    MR. TUNSKY: Just to be clear why I gave that
as far as storm water management is concerned. You can
look back as far as you want to determine impervious
coverage. So, that is why I gave that calculation. If
you were to look at the existing impervious coverage
today, it is about 9,364 square feet or 68.9 percent.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 68.9 percent. So then
basically you have an increase of 18 percent or so.
69 -- you have roughly about a 19 percent increase in
impervious surface coverage with your new proposal. And
you factored in, in your new proposal, the planting areas
and all of that?
    MR. TUNSKY: Correct.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: These are my questions. I'm
        now going to open it up beginning with Commissioner
        Riva.
            MR. RIVA: Michael, I have nothing else.
        You've taken care of a lot of them as you were talking
        there.
```

                                CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay, Commissioner Shyer,
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1 you have to unmute.

MS. SHYER: Thank you. No, not at the moment. I was also interested in the outcome of the SHPO meeting in particular with the stone wall. But am I correct that you don't have any information from that discussion on site? It wasn't a meeting you said.

MR. McCOY: Yes, that's correct.
MS. SHYER: Are you expecting to get something?
MR. McCOY: I'm hoping to, yes. The SHPO is, you know, very understaffed and so it takes them usually a very long time to respond. But yes, I do expect to get some sort of indication at some point.

MS. SHYER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Any additional questions Commissioner Shyer?

MS. SHYER: No, there was a lot of questions about engineering that came up in the report. I'm sorry, I can't remember his name right now. Will that be addressed in tonight's meeting by the Applicant?

MR. SMITH: No, Commissioner Shyer. We were going to be addressing that in the rebuttal since we really haven't had had the presentation from the Intervenor's engineer. That I think will be coming up next.

MS. SHYER: Thank you. I'll wait.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Commissioner Cockerline?
MR. COCKERLINE: Just one small point. Can we have the full name of the acronym, SHPO? And the other thing I was wondering about I'm assuming the fire lane is just a painted designation. It looks like it's about four feet wide that goes around the outside of the building that prohibits or tries to prevent people from parking in those areas? It's just painted on the surface?

MR. TUNSKY: This is Jon Tunsky, I can answer that. So, yes, it's painted on the surface. You also paint, no parking, fire lane as well. And then we're also providing signage. I believe we've located four signs to be mounted to the building or the wall out there that say the same thing. Fire lane, no parking.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. McCoy, could you please answer Commissioner Cockerline's question as to what SHPO stands for?

MR. McCOY: Oh, certainly. It stands for the State Historic Preservation Office.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Any further questions Commissioner Cockerline?

MR. COCKERLINE: No, thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Commissioner Whalen?
MR. WHALEN: I have no questions right now.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Alternate Schiffer.
DR. SCHIFFER: I have one question, I would

```
like to get some clarification on Chairman Klemens'
```

question regarding parking. The question is will
residents have designated parking spots and will there be
designated parking spots reserved for the public?

MR. SMITH: I think the answer -- for the record, Chris Smith, Jon, feel free to step in. There will be ten spaces underneath the building for ten of the dwellings and there are two designated outside. And the rest will be available on a first-come-first-serve basis. So, whether there are guests or whether there are members of the public that want to use it for whatever reason.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think what Attorney Schiffer may have been asking was are those spaces going to be numbered and assigned to a unit? Is that what you were asking?

DR. SCHIFFER: That's exactly what I was referring to. Thank you, Michael.

MR. SMITH: I'll defer to Jocelyn or Jon on that. Sorry, Commissioner. I didn't realize that was the specific question.

MS. AYER: We can certainly do that if it seemed like it made sense.

DR. SCHIFFER: Well, the reason why it's often
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1 done in other buildings, I see many times I want to park
2 somewhere and it says reserved for tenants only, so
3 obviously I can't park there. And importantly, will
4 there be parking spots designated for anyone in the 5 community that needs to park to access the shops or 6 restaurants? In other words, you say there will be 7 parking for the public, but will there be -- can there be 8 spots that are designated for public parking so that 9 residents are not going to be taking up that parking -10 unless of course it's a visitor?

11

MR. SMITH: We can -- I don't know, Jocelyn -Chris Smith for the record, Jocelyn, if you want to respond to that now or we can look into that depending on your needs and report back?

MS. AYER: Sure, we can look into it more. I guess our response has been that we would put up a sign that said the parking was public. Those two spots, again outside, would be reserved for tenants and the ones underneath the building would be reserved for tenants. The parking analysis has been done by the professional shows that, you know, we -- there will still be eight open spaces, even during peak times, not used by residents. So, we believe that having those open to the public will provide parking spaces for the public and anyone shopping at those shops in Lakeville. But we can
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1 prepare a further response on that.

DR. SCHIFFER: I'm not saying, Jocelyn, that that's my view that it should be done. I'm just asking if it's feasible, if you've thought that through and is it something that would make sense? It's not my view that it should be done.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Any other questions, Danella.

DR. SCHIFFER: No, that would be it.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
Ms. Allee? You have to unmute.
MS. ALLEE: I did unmute. I don't know whether I should ask this question now or later. I've noticed that some of the opposition letters and also at the previous meetings mentioned that those parking spaces should be reserved for your neighbors because they are as a right under the zoning only if they have the appropriate parking spaces and they have relied on the public spaces to do that for them without their providing it themselves. So, I think that's something that is just not addressed here.

MR. SMITH: Commissioner Allee, for the record, Chris Smith, we will be listening. That has been part of the submissions from the Intervenors and once we've heard from them and they explained their position, I think I
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```
    1 would certainly be in a better position from a legal
    2 standpoint to be able to respond to it. But I'm
        reluctant --
    MS. ALLEE: We got written comments with that
argument.
    MR. SMITH: And I think the Intervenors,
Commissioner, will be addressing that in their
presentation. That will be forthcoming when we're
done --
    MS. ALLEE: Okay.
            MR. SMITH: -- with this.
            CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Anything further?
            MS. ALLEE: I have no other questions. Thank
                you.
            CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. Higgins?
            MR. HIGGINS: Thank you. The only question or
                clarification I have now, others have been answered by
                the previous questions, was the fire lane. And I think
                    it's similar to Allen's comment; because the new
                        schematic that was shown highlighted the fire lane to the
                                west of the building. But there was a comment about how
                        wide that was and I'm not sure that that was answered or
                        at least it was still confusing to me what the width of
                        that passage is or will remain once the building is
                        constructed.
```
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MR. TUNSKY: Tunsky here. I can answer that. Typically, there, we do about three feet wide for the fire lane. And we will work with the fire marshal if he wants something else.

MR. HIGGINS: Isn't that pathway greater than three feet?

MR. TUNSKY: What --
MR. HIGGINS: Need greater than three feet for passage.

MR. SMITH: I think the question is how wide is that access way on that side of the building, I think. Mr. Higgins is asking.

MR. HIGGINS: Correct. With the fire lane as part of it; correct?

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
MR. TUNSKY: So, we have a dimensional layout plan is 15.22 feet.

MR. HIGGINS: That includes the three feet of the fire lane, yes?

MR. TUNSKY: Correct.
MR. HIGGINS: Thank you very much. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
One follow-up question. This dedication now with two outdoor spaces, I think it was always understood
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1 that some of those spaces would be taken -- would be used
2 by tenants of your proposed building. But I now see sort
3 of a complicated factor in that people being people, the
4 middle of the day, people want to shop or want to do
5 something and they now have two tenant spaces that may be
6 vacant and they can't use. Wouldn't it make more sense
7 just to go back to your original proposition that the
8 ones underneath the building are going to be obviously
9 for your tenants' use and for us to work on the
10 assumption that anywhere from 2 to 4 of those outdoor
11 spaces might well be occupied by, at some point, by
12 tenants or visitors depending on the time of day. Also
13 reflecting Commissioner Whalen's comment last time that
14 not everyone in that apartment building is only going to

MR. SMITH: And Mr. Chairman -- I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm just thanking Jocelyn.
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1 Go ahead. obtained?

MR. SMITH: I was going to say, for the record, Chris Smith, I'm the one to blame, Mr. Chairman for designating those two spaces. I thought that it would be a good idea to have just to demonstrate that there were 12 spaces designated for the 12 dwellings. But, as Jocelyn indicated and as you know, I was not involved in the first go round and there's no problem not doing that if that the what the Commission chooses to do or have us do or my client do, so I'll take the blame for that one.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You have broad shoulders, you can take a bit of blame.

Okay, I think next on the list will be.
MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman. If I -- I just have two clarification questions for Mr. McCoy based on the questions that were asked of him.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sure.
MR. SMITH: And Mr. McCoy, isn't it true that an application is not required to go to SHPO? You testified that it's not required. It depends on the funding source. And isn't it true that if, indeed, there's some -- there is a review required by SHPO with the funding source, that that would happen after Planning \& Zoning approvals were obtained if, indeed, they are
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MR. McCOY: Typically, yes.
MR. SMITH: When you indicated that your experience in testifying, you don't typically do opposition work; is that true?

MR. McCOY: That is right, yes.
MR. SMITH: So, your response was basically that your expertise and your testimony over the years has been in support of applications because you've actually helped to draft them relative to historic preservation components; correct?

MR. McCOY: Yes, that's correct.
MR. SMITH: Okay. I just wanted to clarify that for the record going forward.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That actually leads me to ask another question of Mr. McCoy. In your experience, the unusual procedure that Planning and Zoning Commission approves something and contingent upon the SHPO review or does the SHPO review come contemporaneously with the application?

MR. McCOY: I have seen it go both ways. I have seen Planning \& Zoning approval contingent on SHPO review and approval. And then I've seen it precede the SHPO application. And then the other way around. I've seen particularly when there's an historic building on a
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1 site where -- and, let's say, particularly when it's an
2 historic district, not a National Historic District, but
3 a local historic district, often the review will take
4 place earlier in those cases.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's very helpful.
So, now I think if there's no further questions from Attorney Smith or anybody else, I would like to turn the floor over to Attorney Casagrande, who is going to ask questions at this point of the Applicant's presenters.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have a couple questions for Mr. Tunsky. The chairman clarified that the impervious coverage of the proposed building will be 86 percent. He asked you, well, what is the current state of impervious coverage and I think you said 68.9 percent. Correct?

MR. TUNSKY: Correct.
MR. CASAGRANDE: My question is why wouldn't you think it important to include that comparison in your response to the chairman's question? He didn't ask you to compare the proposed coverage to the coverage in the building was destroyed in 1967. Why didn't you think that was important to include in your answer?

MR. TUNSKY: I didn't include it because I assumed he was referring to storm water management for
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1 his question. That's all. My error.

MR. CASAGRANDE: I'm not sure who this is directed to, Mr. Chairman, but $I$ just want to be clear. I think you pointed out that there are going to be 12 units here and it's a fact of life in this day and age that not every tenant is going to have only one car. So, my question perhaps to Ms. Ayer is have you done any he projections to see which percentage of tenant will have more than one car?

MR. SMITH: If I can respond to that, Attorney Casagrande. Our parking an traffic expert, Mr. Balskus, is not here this evening. He can certainly provide his response to you. He has submitted a report already and he can provide his response to you during our rebuttal. Unfortunately, he wasn't available this evening.

MR. CASAGRANDE: That's fine. I understand. My question, though, I think Ms. Ayer can answer this. Have you projected how many tenants might have more than one car and if so, how many of the total spaces will be occupied by tenants in addition to the 12 that you've earmarked?

MR. SMITH: Again, I don't think there's been any projection that's been done. There has been a traffic and parking study performed by a traffic engineer and again, you can direct that comment he can respond to
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```

    1 that better to you -- and again, the zoning regulations
    ```
```

```
    1 that better to you -- and again, the zoning regulations
```

```
require one space per dwelling. It's being complied
```

require one space per dwelling. It's being complied
with.
with.
MR. CASAGRANDE: So, you've answered my question, that there has been no projection.
Question for Mr. McCoy, you said the only resource here is the stone wall; correct?
MR. McCOY: That's correct as defined by the National Register nomination and by SHPO; that's correct.
MR. CASAGRANDE: And you've read the National Register confirmation and study of this site?
MR. McCOY: Yes.
MR. CASAGRANDE: And it includes the entire site; correct.
MR. McCOY: I'm talking about our building site.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Well, your building site is going to replace Bicentennial Park; isn't that true?
MR. McCOY: It's located on the piece of property where a portion of it is called Bicentennial Park, yes.
MR. CASAGRANDE: The entire site is called Bicentennial Park, true?
MR. McCOY: It depends on the map you read.

```
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Some yes, some no.

```

MR. CASAGRANDE: So, you believe some maps characterize it differently than other maps?

MR. McCOY: Yeah. I have seen some maps that show just the greensward that's toward Millerton Road from the edge of the parking lot as Bicentennial Park. And then others that show the whole property as Bicentennial Park.

MR. CASAGRANDE: And the others that showed the whole property, that would include the maps that are on file with the National Register; isn't that true?

MR. McCOY: That would include the National Register nomination, yes.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you. So, is it your opinion that elimination of that park site is not an unreasonable impairment of a historic resource?

MR. McCOY: That's correct, yes.
MR. CASAGRANDE: I have a question for Mr. Tunsky, Mr. Chairman. Am I correct that -- in talking about the guard rail, that you said that the guard rail to the west is actually on the neighbor's property?

MR. TUNSKY: That is correct.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Do you have any -- have you submitted any proof of the easements or license
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1 agreements with that neighbor that would allow you to go
2 on to that property and put that guard rail there? MR. TUNSKY: It's an existing guard rail. So, we wouldn't be touching it and it's shown in the survey. MR. CASAGRANDE: I believe that's all the questions \(I\) have at this point, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you Attorney Casagrande. We now move to the Intervenor's presentation from Attorney Casagrande and his team. I will ask that whoever is speaking, we would like to see your face. Thank you.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me, if I could, I just want to introduce the people that are with me tonight. I introduced earlier my associate, Chanwon Pio Yoon, is going to help me with the Power Point presentation. Also with us tonight are Mr. Miller, who I understand Mr. Chairman you know of Mr. Miller; but other commissioners may not. And he will give you his credentials. But he is basically municipal planning consultant and that has acted as a consultant to municipalities throughout Connecticut and the Northeast on complicated planning issues. Also with me tonight is Dainius Virbickas who is a licensed professional engineer with the firm of Artel Engineering and he has submitted
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1 testimony and will be summarizing that testimony on his
2 review of the site plans.

Mr. Chairman, you gave us a very tight deadline last time and we did our best to comply with it and I think we made very good progress and I think we have a robust submission for you tonight. But there are two experts that are not available tonight or not available to get submissions in and that's why I'm grateful you're going to continue this to the 14th. And that is Rachel Carley, who is our architectural historian and also we have an architect work on rendition that Ms. Carley will use in her testimony. But he also was not able to get his submission in in time for the deadline. So those two experts we will be presenting at the continuation. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Casagrande, are you going to -- I don't know we have it, I don't think we do, are you going to give us a copy of this Power Point for the record?

MR. CASAGRANDE: I think we submitted it, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please -- do you have it Abby .

MS. CONROY: It came through this afternoon after I left the office.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Thank you. Sorry
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1 about that.

MR. CASAGRANDE: No problem, Mr. Chairman.
Okay, so if \(I\) can turn to page 2 of our Power Point. Basically, this is just a summary of our overall position on the application. Our first argument is that under 22a-19, the destruction of Bicentennial Park is unquestionably an unreasonable impact to the historic resources of the State. And because we have proved that, the Commission, we believe, should and must consider alternatives to the project; and we have identified two alternatives that Mr. Miller will be discussing which we believe are feasible and prudent and will accomplish the town's affordable housing goals even without this site.

Secondly, we argue that the application does not comply with the technical requirements of the zoning regulations in many ways. And Mr. Virbickas will address that.

And lastly, we argue that the application does not comply with the general standards in the special permit regulations because the location, as we will prove, is unsuitable and completely incompatible with the other uses -- surrounding neighboring uses in the district.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I have a question I would like to ask our attorney. Are we to -- is it reasonable

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES}

1 that we should be looking at off-site alternatives yet?
2 This discussion before that I think it was actually
3 Dr. Schiffer who brought it up that whether we should be
4 looking at off-site alternatives and the sense was, as 5 planners, we're looking at this site. Can I get some 6 clarity from counsel on this, please? historic resource, then the statute says you cannot grant the application unless, considering all surrounding circumstances, you find that the proposed project is the only feasible and prudent alternative. That's a very broad standard: All surrounding circumstances.

And I will say that my research into the case law, it's not a robust body of law, but there are at least two cases in which the Court said that in those cases the Commission was not required to consider
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1 off-site alternatives, but only because the Intervenors
2 had not shown that the on-site proposed activity was
3 unreasonable. So, therefore it's our position, and I
4 believe it's well founded in the language of the statute, 5 that when, as here, you're talking about the complete destruction of an historic resource on the site, that it is appropriate and fitting under the unique circumstances of this case, where the town is in control of all of these sites, to require the town and the committee to consider and show to you why those alternatives are not appropriate.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
MR. ANDRES: Mr. Chairman, just a question for the Intervenor.

Attorney Casagrande, you mentioned two cases.
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1 Register. It was listed as of August 1st, 1996. You'll
2 see in the map that's shown on slide 3 that it includes
3 the entire property, not just part of it.

And in another part of the registration, it indicates that Bicentennial Park is a small rectangular park across from the Holley-Williams House and is the former site of that building. So clearly, the National Register contemplates this entire property is on the Register and is deemed to be a park.

Next slide.
The history of Bicentennial Park, as we've discovered it, starts with the 1967 gift from the anonymous donor which, as you know, one of the conditions was that the site must be cleared, graded and landscaped for a town park or an attractively landscaped parking

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES}

1 area maintained in a neat and attractive fashion.

Flash forward to 1976 in the Bicentennial, former first Selectman William Bartlett wrote an article in connection with the bicentennial in which he says, it was with mixed emotions that \(I\), as First Selectman of a quarter century, supervised the demolition of Holley -the Holley Block building but was pleased to see the fine end result designed by \(S\). Norton Miner and was gratified at the thought of how much this garden-like improvement will add to the life of the town.

Next slide.
And as we say in the position paper and set out the history in more detail in the position paper, Mr. Chairman, in 1994 the Selectman recognizing that there was a lot of parking issues in the downtown Holley Street and safety issues as a result, in 1994 the Selectman voted to add 20 parking spaces to the park. Not eliminate it, but just add them to the park. And thereafter, in the years after the parking spaces were added, we see through the annual reports of the town that the town is continuously -- continuously acknowledged that Bicentennial Park is a public park of historic significance and it's continued commitment to maintain the park. And we refer to the annual town reports of 2009 to 2020, which describe the park as a small, vest
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1 pocket park developed within the foundation of the old
2 Holley Block in Lakeville. I would note that the 2009

Next slide.
The Applicant unfortunately throughout the materials in the application mischaracterizes Bicentennial Park as merely a parking lot. And it's made that same characterization in its funding applications to the State.

And I think the best response to that mischaracterization in a letter that Sarah Morrison wrote -- and this is part of the packet that was in the 2020 application, but we have re-incorporated it here -this is what Ms. Morrison said: The Holley Block, it is not an empty space that needs to be filled with something else. It is an integral part of the Lakeville Historic District and useful and important to those who live and/or do business there, or who pass through.

Next slide.
In it's latest submission, the Applicant claims
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1 that the grounds have been poorly maintained and are in a
2 dilapidated state, the inference, as I take it, that the
3 Applicant is saying Holley Block is not worth saving.
4 It's not worth it. There are two responses to that.
5 First, the Applicant's view of the current condition of the park is not relevant to the fact that Bicentennial Park is on the National Register and exists for the public trust. And under Section 22a-19, the developer doesn't get to make the unilateral determination of whether a natural resource should be destroyed because it thinks it could be in better shape. Under \(22 a-19\) that determination is up to this Commission. You have to follow the statute, determine whether the conduct is going to impair or, in this case, destroy an historic recourse; and then go on to consider feasible and prudent alternatives.

So, the notion that because there may be a few benches that are in disrepair is completely irrelevant to the task that the Commission has in front of it. In our view, Mr. Chairman.

Next slide.
So, to sum up the history, Mr. Chairman, we -this history demonstrates, in our view, two things: The purpose of Bicentennial Park is one, to preserve the historic integrity and sweeping views of the district
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1 through it's open area and central location. That's why
2 the parking spaces are important to that. The parking 3 spaces preserve the open designation and the ability to 4 people, for example, if you are on Millerton Road looking Furnace Park. And that's part of why this was placed on the National Register. So, it's the parking is an integral component of why this is an important district. And second, the purpose of Bicentennial Park, at least since the '80s, is to provide needed parking spaces for the surrounding properties. To provide parking safely off the adjoining public roadways. So, there are two separate but complimentary public purposes which we ask the Commission to keep in mind as it considers this application. Next slide. So again, to summarize our arguments. Under 22a-19 we've demonstrated that the activity is reasonably likely to unreasonably impair or destroy the historic resources of the State and we made at least and will make, through Mr. Miller, a prima facie showing that feasible prudent alternatives exist. And well get on to the other argument in a little bit about the failure to comply with the regulations. Next slide.
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Page 12, again I just quoted the statute again and just I wanted to reference the Commission's attention again to the United Progress case, the Stonington case which we cited in other intervention petition which makes clear that the protection of historic resources and character is within the scope of the natural resource with which 22a-19 is designed to protect from unreasonable destruction or impairment.

Next slide.
We believe that the -- as I said, the destruction -- it's hard to imagine a more classical example of impairment than destruction of a resource. And as Rachel Carley said in her initial report and in which she'll follow up at the next hearing session, the proposed apartment building is a massive three and a half story structure that is neither in keeping with, nor of a design that compliments the existing character of its neighborhood.

One of the arguments -- well again, on the next page, we are just reciting the standards. And I just wanted to point out that the terms, feasible and prudent, are defined by statute. Feasible basically means can you do it consistent with sound engineering practices. Prudent addresses the cost. And I think that the important excerpt from the definition of prudent is that
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1 cost may be considered in deciding what is prudent and
2 further provided that a mere showing of expense will not
3 necessarily mean an alternative is imprudent.
4

6 I would first ask him if he can just summarize his
7 credentials and he's going to take over the presentation
8 for the next few pages.
MR. MILLER: Thank you, Attorney Casagrande. My name is Brian Miller and I'm an American Institute certified -- Planner certified. I've been a planner for approximately little over 40 years now. Of that \(I\) think the last 33 have been here in Connecticut. I've worked for many municipalities. So, I've been on both sides of the table, so-to-speak.

I was a member of the housing authority of the town of Cheshire, Connecticut. I was also part of the second Governor's Blue Ribbon Commission on affordable housing.

And I want to state that I am by no means an opponent of affordable housing. I have worked on affordable housing plans. I have worked with people to promote the development of affordable housing. But I think it's a question of what is the best use and the best situation and has the whole community improved.
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1 That is why I'm here.

If we can go to the next slide, please. As the Attorney Casagrande has stated, there's two separate and distinct but related parts of my analysis. And in my report I started with the impacts on Lakeville Village businesses. But, in this presentation, I'm going to start with the affordable housing. I was asked to determine whether other sites that were discussed within the affordable housing plan could be more beneficial development than the subject site. And I looked at some of the alternatives of affordable housing as discussed in this affordable housing plan. I would also like to comment that this affordable housing plan was a terrific -- it was a very good way that has -- I guess, a model for municipalities to kind of take the reigns and to promote the development of affordable housing. And hear a lot of discussion of that over the recent month or two in Hartford and in other communities. And many communities have been negligent in their response. So, I certainly was very happy to see how that the Town of Salisbury has really taken an affirmative approach.

So, my comments are in respect to how that affordable housing plan is put together and operates.

Now, the Commission -- this was back in 2018,
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1 so, it's a recent document. And it included 7 sites.
2 And I think you all know that. Holley Block, the Pope 3 property site, the East Railroad site, the Cherry Street 4 site, Grove Street, Millerton Road and the Saram Village did use what you put together as well as some other available information. And we ended up discussing two sites as discussed here. One is the Pope property, which I think you are all familiar with, and the other was 414 Millerton Road.

Next.
You can see how this is -- this was kind of a synopses of those two properties. And it is in report
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1 that I submitted. But we look at, again, the same things 2 that you looked at and we certainly found that these -to public utilities. The other thing I think about this
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1 site is that it is -- it can be conducive to incremental
2 development. The projection was that there would be many
3 units here. But it certainly could start with eight
4 units. And also, I would suggest that a master planning 5 effort might be appropriate as you kind of scope out the best way that you can create a little community here. Next, please.

Now the Millerton -- 414 Millerton Road. It's near the town boundary. It's obviously -- it on a busy street, but it certainly has good access. It's not really -- it not walkable to any community, but it does have certain facilities in place. There's a building that's already residential. There's some potential for expanding more units there. And it is on a large piece of property. It's also, when we talk about access and convenient access.

We also recognize that -- I think when you find a rural community such as yours, most people need some access to some vehicle because getting around without a vehicle, there's -- mass transit is non-existent. Unless you're really within walking distance, if you live on -if you have the other -- my other section in there, you could potentially live and work in Salisbury Village. This one would probably dictate to more like the younger group who would enjoy very quick access into the village
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1 and to Millerton, New York.

So, I think what -- my purposes in doing that is to -- was to suggest certain feasible alternatives. And the next -- when I'm brought back in a few minutes, I guess I'll discuss a little bit more on the impacts on Lakeville Village. Thank you.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Thanks Brian. Next -- yeah. Before closing on the \(22 \mathrm{~A}-19\) issue, \(I\) just want to bring up an argument that \(I\) think the Applicant either has made or will make and that the going to be that it already has sunk \(\$ 275,000\) in debt into this project on pre-development costs and it not going to be able to recoup those costs. And therefore the argument would go the subject proposal is the only feasible and prudent alternative.

Our response to that is pre-development cost, in and of themselves, do not render the Holley Place site the only prudent alternative. If that were the case, if that were the law that the Applicant can simply point to it pre-development costs and say I can't do anything else because I've already sunk all this money into it, then every Applicant will be able to defeat a Section 22A-19 application by saying I've already incurred predevelopment cost. That would turn the purpose of the statute on its head in our view. Remember this is a
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1 remedial statute which is intended to protect the
2 critical resources of the State.

The Applicant respectfully knew or should have known that when it made this application for this site in the middle of an historic district, that a 22a-19 application might be filed, and that the Commission would have to consider the standards under that application. So, the mere fact that they've incurred these cost we believe is irrelevant. And again, under the statute, the mere showing of an expense does not establish that an alternative is feasible or unfeasible.

The relevant inquiry in our view is for the Commission to compare and require the Applicants to compare the relative costs of building a similar facility on the alternate sites; and I dare say without the severe physical restraints of this site, forcing elevator, underground parking, to building a site -- a 12-unit site on these other relatively open and unencumbered sites.

The next slide, please.
Our next -- our second point is that the Applicant has failed to meet the requirements of the technical requirements of many sections of the Salisbury zoning regulations.

And at this point, I would like to introduce Dainius Virbickas. Mr. Virbickas is a licensed
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1 Professional Engineer with Artel Engineering in
2 Brookfield. He has been advising municipal planning
3 commissions and private clients in land use applications
4 for many, many years. I also would point out that he's a
5 former member of the Bridgewater Zoning Commission and 6 served as its chairman for three years. With that, I 7 will turn over Mr. Virbickas.

MR. VIRBICKAS: Good evening. For the record, Dainius Virbickas, Professional Engineer in Brookfield, Connecticut. I've been practicing this fine art of engineering for 35 years, primarily in Connecticut but also I've worked in Maine, New York, Virginia and other locals. And I, too, as Mr. Miller had -- have worked both side of the fence, so-to-speak. We -- and our firm have been successful with many multi-family developments including affordable housing and we have also worked from the opposite side. But not so much on the opposite side.

But what I've been asked to do is to take a look at the drawings and plan sets submitted and primarily look at it as a review. And you know, first we looked at the regulations and noted that the property is located in the CG-20 district as well as the Pocketknife Square Overlay District. And also encumbered by the Aquifer Protection Overlay District.

And in our review of this application with
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1 respect to the zoning regulations, we noted quite a few
2 deficiencies in the application, things that should
3 normally be submitted with such an application and I
4 would like to point some of those out and then I would
5 also -- as noted in my upcoming outline, but I would also
6 like to jump a little bit into some other elements that
7 did not make it into my zoning points but I think are
8 relevant as well.
9

19 know that that the necessarily the case. I did not see

Normally, we do include information as to drainage and other utilities that are available. We oftentimes seek approval or sign off from the local sewer
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1 authority to make sure there is capacity in the plant.
2 We also reach out to the water purveyors to make certain
3 there's adequate pressure and volume of water for the
4 proposed use, as well as for fire fighting purposes.

We note that this building, it's stated that it is going to be sprinklered, so it's important, again, to make sure the pressures are there and the volumes are there as to what might be expected to help protect such a building.

Section 801.5 states that, amongst other things, drainage impounding areas shall be utilized to infiltrate water on the site through natural percolation to a degree equivalent to that existing prior to the development. You know, it was stated two different ways and I'll bring on a third one, the first way it was noted that when the building was present, well, the coverage was 90. whatever percent. And then, as it exists with the park above and the parking area below, I think it was stated to be around 68 or 69 percent impervious. But we could also interpret that regulation to state, well, maybe existing prior to development; meaning what was it's natural state. And perhaps that's a little far reaching, but \(I\) think it should be -- storm water should be considered. And when you have an opportunity to make things better or improvements, I think it should be done
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1 and I think that's the spirit of any intent of the 2 regulation.

Section 601.3 states that before any special permit for excavation, filling and grading may be granted, a written application shall be submitted to the Commission by the property owner, and it goes to state further that the plans should be prepared by an engineer or a registered land surveyor licensed to practice in the State of Connecticut.

In this particular instance, based on the existing topographic information provided by the survey and the elevations that are proposed for the development of the property, it is pretty clear that the excavation will exceed 250 cubic yards of material from the site. And that, in and of itself, should have been part of the application, but I see no reference to it anywhere in this -- in the documents.

Next slide, please.
Section 602 states that a storm water management plan shall be required and it lists the zones, including CG-20, for any site plan where a total impervious surface on the lot is greater than 20 percent. And then further, the plan shall be designed to maintain hydrology of existing subwater sheds including wetlands and water courses. Well, there are no wetland and water
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1 courses, but this site, in and of itself, is a water
2 shed. And again, there should be certain provisions made
3 to manage and/or facilitate the storm water runoff
4 anticipated from the site. And perfect opportunity to 5 clarify some of that water and help keep that pond and 6 the lake in pristine condition. 44, that liberty was taken and the front of the building is \(I\) believe less than a foot to the front property line. On the Holley Street side, though, there is no building that is really closer than 20 feet, aside from a small segment of the adjoining building. That -- again, it's closer than 20 feet and yet in this application, the building is proposed to be 9.48 feet from the front yard setback. This is not compliant with the zoning regulations and should the Applicant wish to have that front yard setback relaxed, one would have to take a trip to the Zoning Board of Appeals to request that.
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Section 700.3 of the zoning regulation the maximum drive grade shall be 18 percent. And as proposed, along that right of way easement on the right side of the driveway there's a short segment that as shown on the plan is about 20 to 25 percent in grade. Rather abrupt. And under existing conditions that grade also exists and I guess people have been negotiating it for a while. But now we're proposing to put a structure above that I'm going to call it pinch point or steep section of the driveway. And when measuring the underside of the building as shown on the drawings in relation to the ground elevation that's proposed, there will only be about seven and a half feet of clearance between the surface of the driveway and the underside of the building at that corner.

It might be sufficient for many cars, but not all. And similarly, at the east end of that overhang of the building over the top of the drive, clearance is about 9 feet as proposed and again, that would be suitable for many vehicles, but not all.

And I note that most of your delivery trucks, UPS, Fed Ex and the like are all about 11 feet tall. Your emergency response vehicles that would be coming to the seat are all in excess of those clearances that have been provided on this plan and it makes for a dangerous
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1 situation at best. Especially, for someone that's not
2 accustomed to going to the site or good behind a wheel.

Next slide, please.
So, the next slides, what we did is we spoke with the local fire marshal just to inquire about what types of vehicles would need access to the site and how they might manage and so on. In a typical fire response or emergency response vehicles are a bit smaller than what is shown, but what we were told is that in a situation where there may be an attic fire or some need to get to the upper level of the building, the fire responders or emergency responders would bring a ladder truck to the side. Local fire response does not have a ladder truck. So, they would reach out to Sharon or to Canaan who would bring their vehicles to the site. And
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1 we looked at the site from all sides on trying to enter
2 in. This first slide shows the attempt to enter into the
3 rear of the site coming a southbound direction down
4 Holley Street. And you'll note on the drawing there are
5 little red dots or little cartoon splashes. Basically that indicates where this emergency vehicle would have impact or interference with existing elements on the site. So, there would be first an impact possibly on the building corner itself, up above. Not the superstructure itself, down below, but the floor that hangs above. And then along the southern edges or excuse me the northern tips of those parking spaces, if there are vehicles there, they certainly will all get clipped by a truck trying to come down Holley Street and turn in taking a right turn into the site.

At the far west end of the site we have a couple more stars. And those were in an attempt to navigate this ladder struck around the site. In further speaking today with the fire marshal he had indicated that there was no need to get truck around the site, just into the site. So, those last two stars really don't mean anything. But the rest of them mean impact.

Next slide, please.
So, this slide now contemplates coming into the site in a northerly direct of Holley Street and again,

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES \\ (860) 693-8557}

1 similar to the prior drawing shows instances where we
2 will have challenges coming into the site from Holley
3 Street to the rear of the lot. Again, we have impacts to
4 the curving to vehicles and to the building corner as 5 well. existing utility pole and right smack in the way of an energy response vehicle trying to negotiate that turn in. Similarly, the next star down is the bit of likely graze the adjoining building and then probably

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES}

1 come to a complete stop trying to negotiate under the
2 overhang of the building. But if it does make it through, basically every car that would be parked in the parking lot would be impacted by this maneuver.

Next slide, please.
And, once again, we're trying to now come in an eastbound direction from Millerton Road to the site. And again, we're showing what might happen should the fire truck try to enter in on that side. And again, we're impacting the utility pole, the next -- the building next door, the retaining wall and walkway that is alongside of the building. And, once again, if the truck were to continue on to try an get out would impact every vehicle that is parked out on the site and tried to swing back out on to Holley Street to go in a northbound direction, might hit the building across the way and certainly bounce over the sidewalk of that street.

And we can leave that screen on just for now. We did, again, speak with fire marshal today as during my usual conversation, he wasn't certain of the size or dimensions of the Sharon response vehicle which just so happens they list all their vehicles on the Sharon fire department website. So, that's where we took the template from.

But the fire marshal had noted today that the
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1 largest vehicle is about 30 feet long. So, we took the
2 liberty -- and I don't have slides to share but I'm
3 certainly happy to submit them -- but also looking at
4 what we call an SU 30, single unit 30 foot long box truck
5 which is the UPS, Fed Ex delivery truck, emergency
6 response, sometimes ambulances are this size. We took a
7 peek at those turning maneuvers and similar to the fire
8 truck, we do have points of impact or points where even
9 delivery trucks cannot make it around the site without
10 hitting bits of the building or bits of the cars or
11 retaining walls or what have you depending on which
12 direction they so choose to come into the site.

So, I think there needs to be little bit more design thought process in this building layout, itself. Just to get typical trucks into the site, let alone emergency response vehicles.

One other item that \(I\) wanted to bring in and I don't have it in my cheat sheet on the screen. Two items: Number 1, in looking at the architectural drawings, \(I\) noted that on the main level that, in addition to three residential units, there was also space contemplated for office area, lounge area, looks like there's a little kitchenette, the refrigerator, looks like burners, a dishwasher, a sink. There are also public bathrooms on that main level as well as janitorial
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1 closets. So, I'm assuming that, even though these are 2 apartments, there may be residents or certainly staff 3 that will be present at this site or some sort of office 4 type of things happening in the conference room or a 5 lounge area. I just was wondering if we might be able to many employees might be occupying the building at any given time or how many parking spaces might be utilized.

And one other thing that I wanted to note was that we looked at the density that is proposed and the Pocketknife Square District is pretty lenient, it seems, with respect to parking. It allows up to 16 units be contemplated per acre of land available and we have 3/10s of an acre. So, it's a bit more densely developed proposed development than that. And the regulation does allow for consideration of additional density should these unit all be -- you know, should more than the 50 percentile of units be affordable. In this instance, I guess all units are proposed to be affordable. But if we contemplate that density and convert it to what the density is proposed versus what the regulation, I think, originally contemplated, the Applicant is looking to put a density of over 38 units per acre or the equivalent of 38 units per acre which, I think -- I'm not sure if that's what the -- was the desired intent of the zoning
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1 regulations, but just the same, that is what the
2 resultant concentration would be. And the regulations
3 ask that you consider concentration of population as part
4 of the special permit considerations.
5
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1 the ZBA.
```

19 to deny the application because of failure to comply with 2310 of the position statement there's a case that's by one
24 of the state's most respected land use judges, Judge
So, moving on to our last point. As we say in the position statement, the project does not comply with the general standards for review of special permit. The suitability, compatibility, property value standards. And there is a case and there's probably no two lawyers in the State of Connecticut that are more familiar with this case than Chuck Andres and I, because we're litigating it's affect in the Supreme Court now, but it's the St. Joseph's High School case from 2017. And what that case says is that a Zoning Commission made deny special permit application for failure to comply with the general public health safety and welfare standards in the regulations even when the application meets all the technical requirements. So, even if Mr. Virbickas's report is totally wrong and it meets all the technical requirements, which we believe he's proved to you that they do not, you still have the power and the authority the general special permit standards.
And lastly, I didn't put this in the Power Point, but I think it's important to remember. On page Marshall Berger, and he has said in several cases that

```
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1 what the Commission has to keep in mind, especially in
2 controversial cases like this, is that zoning is not to
3 be based on a plebiscite of the neighbors. In other
4 words, the issue is not how many people vocally speak out 5 in favor of it, how many petition are signed opposed to 6 it, in favor of it. The mandate -- the perceived mandate 7 or will of the community is not the issue before the 8 Commission. The issue before the Commission is to look will not result in unreasonable decrease in property
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1 values or a detriment to the present and potential use of
2 the area in which it is to be located. We think those
3 standards are directly on point here. Next slide.

It's our position, and again, I won't belabor

11

The other thing I'll point out is it's not the Intervenor's burden to show that the application does not comply. It's the Applicant's burden to show that its the position statement that the Applicant fails to meet these general statements for several reasons. One, the Carley report and again, she'll be with us next time. The proposed mass of scale of the building that is neither in keeping with or of a design that complements the existing character of the neighborhood.

Second, and this is where I want to turn it over again to Mr. Miller, part 2 of his report discusses the effect of converting Bicentennial Park to a proposed apartment building on the surrounding businesses. The immediately surrounding properties. With that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Miller one more time.

MR. MILLER: Thank you, again, Mr. Casagrande. This was the -- actually, the report that I was originally asked to assess upon and when I was asked by
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1 Mr. Casagrande to work with him, I was asking what the 2 specific reasons were for opposition to this affordable 3 housing development. I don't -- I think many affordable 4 housing developments are just opposed because, well, it's 5 too big or we don't -- you know, too much kids in the 6 school or some reason that I don't consider defensible.
```

development does recognize the importance of Lakeville
Village along with the other village areas of the
community and when you're talking about a village, that
basically goes back well into 19th century, perhaps the
18th Century. I'm not the historian here. But it's the
ecology, the context of the uses there are very important
to maintain and enhance its suitability, its
sustainability, its role in the community. So, you're
not -- the choice of the context, the planning for the
context for the use of the site becomes much more
important than if you're talking about just a
conventional residential development or industrial park.

```
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1 And it's based on that assumption that I wanted to look 2 at this. Particularly mixed use centers where you can't
just determine suitability based on whether one use is
like another one. The other interesting thing about this
is that most of the time when I'm asked to comment upon
the suitability of a new use, it's a use that basically
either it was just natural site or it was -- basically,
it was unused or it was of he its use was decrepit or no
longer viable. In this case, there was a weighting of
the uses. It's not unused. It a place for parking and
other similar uses. It actually has become very
important to other uses in the area. I'll get to that in
a second.

Next, please.
Before I get -- go exactly into that, this whole issue of walkability \(I\) find very interesting. It was never -- if you go with back 10 or 15 year before, most people don't really talk about it very much. You know, why do I care if it's walkable. I have a couple cars. I think we've all -- in the planning profession in particular, it has all become more cognizant of the importance of the proximity to other uses in community planning. Particularly a vibrant, historic, interesting mixed use area like Lakeville Village.
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Walkability is -- it's even made its way into the commercial sector when you're looking at house listings on some of the services like, I believe Realtor.Com and Zillow and those type of places, they often inject a walkability score. And actually there's a website that \(I\) credit in my report, Walkscore.Com, and I'm not saying it's invincible, but it does give you a little bit of idea based upon the proximity, the services that are within that proximity, the walking facilities such as sidewalks, so you don't have to walk along a road with too much traffic. And so, just because it's near something doesn't mean there's a walk -- high walkability index.

Interestingly enough, its based on one to 100 . 100 living right in Manhattan, you walk out and within two blocks of your -- there's all the things could you possibly want. Zero is you're living out where you have to get -- use an automobile for every trip.

I went to the site and I pushed in this 11 Holley Street and I actually came up with a 32, which actually means it's car dependent. I actually thought it might higher than that. So, the walkability, I think what the take away on this thing is that the site, itself, still requires automobile ownership by the residents there.

Now, the other thing about the proximity of uses and walkability, the other concept of it, is the need for parking and sites that are walkable to a main use of a site. So, you know, typically, when we have parking lots, they are right -- we do in suburban or rural context, they are right there. So, there's plenty of places for the employees to park, there's plenty of place for the patrons to park, there's plenty of room for deliveries and all sorts of the accessory-type activities associated with this business. And you don't -- can't get too far away. You can't have a loading area that's 500 feet away. And you can't even have parking for users in most situations that's more than maybe 2 or 300 feet away. And that becomes important. And it is an issue now in this -- on this piece of property.

Next, please. As it turns out that there are several neighboring commercial business and residential properties adjacent to this site are dependent on this site for using it for a number of reasons including parking, loading, parking for the patrons. There's tenants there. Residential tenants that have historically parked there. Now, the legality of their use is not -- I'm not an attorney and I think you probably heard some testimony on that. One of the uses, actually, was the legality of its use was enshrined
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1 actually by this Commission when it was used to satisfy
2 the parking requirements for a special permit
3 application. application? Does it become non-conforming? There's been other cases where zoning permits have been issued over the years again based upon that this parking was used as an accessory -- necessary accessory use to meet the parking requirements of these other uses. So, that's a legal issue.

Now, next, please.
So, the need for proximity of parking area serving businesses is incredibly important. Areas for loading, as I just suggested, residential tenants; and at this site, happens to be used by at least four business -- four commercial sites for one reason or another.

Now, what we -- and I -- what we did here is we took -- we spoke to the owner, the relevant owners there, but we also kind of did a little exercise. If parking requirements were needed for the uses within these properties, neighbors properties along Millerton Road as well as the property to the south on Holley Street, the furniture store, then you could see that there would be a requirement for 86 spots. Now obviously, there's not --

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES \\ (860) 693-8557}

1 there's 20 spaces there now. But even that the, quote,
2 rights of the property over some sort of satellite
3 property -- parking, not necessarily on this site, as you
4 can see by the next column which goes up to the Holley
5 Street property requires a lot of parking due to its size
6 and the nature of its uses, but there's a total of 25
7 owners that are counting on the use of this property --
825 spaces of the use of this property. And then you have
9 the deficit for the local businesses. You know, simple 10 subtraction 86 minus 25, there's a deficit of 61 parking

11 spaces now in that area.
Now, you know, it could be argued that that doesn't account for different periods of uses. And I agree with that. I understand that. And I don't know what the exact use deficit would be. But it certainly is a deficit. And it certainly exhibits that the current businesses and residential uses, which I suspect are very affordable units, even though they're not officially designated as such, are relying on the parking for this. So, okay, what happens if they lose this parking? What you've done is you've basically diminished the business viability, the viability of continuance of business of these particular commercial operations. You made it certainly more difficult for them to operate. The degree of difficulty I'm not able to discuss, but
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1 there is an increased difficulty from the operation of 2 these uses.

So, potentially, once you increase the difficulty, difficulty equates cost of operation or diminishing on where patrons could park. That site becomes less of a viable site. So, you do have the potential loss of certain businesses in Lakeville Village because of elimination of this parking area.

Now, when we talk about the whole viability of a very special area like your village center here, and other similar rural village centers, as I said, I use the word ecology. There's an interrelationship of how the different uses relate to each other. And how one use could have some unanticipated perhaps impacts upon the whole sustainability of the village. I suggest that this is one of those situations right now.

Any time you -- one of the sites, the ways to encourage a complete continued viability or increase the viability of these village areas is pay attention to the business component of it. That's what this -- this is a -- this village center has continuously had a very noticeable business component. And kind of -- if there's a reduction in that business viability, you're going to have less people working there, maybe less people going to the coffee shops there; and it could be something that
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1 you end up with less than you started with.

One of the important -- as a planner, before I learned how to read site plans, I learned that, you know, that the context of these uses is important. And what you do not want to do is that you replace a use that actually has a benefit to the community with another use that detracts that benefit and you are likely to end up with a net negative on that. Again, \(I\) can't say that, you know, run the numbers on this. But there is a very, very significant chance that this will -- in fact, we know it going to hurt the business operations. And I think that, in itself, is a negative factor that would promote actually the consideration of other uses as this use is probably not all that beneficial to the community. So, next.

I think I'm done here. Yes. That's it. Thank you. I believe Mr. Casagrande has more to say.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Next slide, please.

I don't want to spend too much time on these following slides because I think Brian has covered a lot of it. But what we did is we focused in on the four businesses that are shown on this page: 20 Millerton, which is the RJS Holdings; 12 Millerton, which is Celeste Shannon; 22 Millerton, which is Judith Singelis; and 7
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1 Holley Street which is the Lakeville Interiors. Next slide.

What we did and again, just for the record, how did we compile this information? We talked to the four owners. They've all submitted affidavits -- actually, three of them, I should say, have submitted affidavits regarding what their current needs are, how often do they use Bicentennial Park. We checked them against the requirements of the regs and we also tried to check to see whether there was any deeded rights of any of these properties to other off-site parking so that that could be applied to meet their needs. And what this shows, again, Mr. Miller's slide already gets into this, but it could go to No. 3. The average number of spaces at Bicentennial Park regularly used by these businesses and there's actually a typo. Number C, 22 Millerton uses one to 3 spaces, not 3 spaces. 1 to 3 spaces.

But if you add up those spaces that these owners testify in their affidavits they regularly use on a daily basis, at least they're pre-COVID or non-COVID environment, the total number of those spaces is 12 to 16 spaces on Bicentennial Park that these owners regularly use. You've heard the Applicant tonight say that they may decide that they're going to designate ten spaces. You heard Mr. Miller's point questioning -- or
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1 Mr. Virbickas's point questioning whether there's going
2 to be another space that's going to be needed by an
3 employee. So, if you take the 12 space -- and forget
4 about the fact that there's been no projections about
5 tenants having more than one car, which I think is just
6 common sense in this day and age. What this shows, and
7 these affidavits show, is that 13 to 16 spaces are what
8 is regularly used. What the Applicant is proposing falls
far short of compensating or ameliorating the affects on these businesses.

Next slide.
Again, this is a re-do of Mr. Miller's slide so
13 I won't belabor it.
Next slide.
And as Mr. Miller said and as we point out in substantially reduce the number of spaces, it's our position, as a legal matter, that it's going to render those four properties more non-conforming and the --

There's one additional property that I haven't discussed yet and that's the Deano's Pizza property, at 24 Millerton. That -- if you grant the special permit approval, that is going to render Deano's in violation of it's special permit approval it got back in 2006. And I would refer you to Attorney Capecelatro's letter, which
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1 is Tab U to our potion statement. And what he does in
2 that letter as to 24 Millerton, he goes through and he
3 points out that the property got a special permit
4 approved in 2006. The site plan which is attached to his
5 letter that was approved by the Commission shows four on-site spaces and it shows satellite parking on Holley Block. It actually showed it shaded.

The special permit conditions says all parking by employees -- and I'm sorry, that's another typo. It's all parking by employees shall use satellite parking facilities. Clearly referring to the site plan that accompanied the special permit application.

Deano's Pizza business has three employees. If the satellite parking spaces are removed or no longer dedicated to those employees as a special permit condition requires, it's going to put him in violation of his special permit condition. As Attorney Capecelatro points out.

Next slide.
And again, it our position that the Commission
has no authority to approve a special permit that would render the abutting properties non-conforming or in violation of it's zoning approval. I cite for that a case decided in 2014 by Judge Ozalis of the Superior Court, which I actually litigated. And, in that case,
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1 the Applicant came in and wanted to put a transfer
2 station on its property. And the site plan showed that
3 in order to do that it would have to -- it would result
4 in modifications to the approved site plan for an 5 adjacent property and make that adjacent property, without those modifications, non-conforming. And the Court said that was a valid basis for denial of the application. You cannot approve a special permit that depends, for its validity, on rendering other properties, adjacent properties non-conforming. So, we believe that's a critical issue that, in itself, requires denial.

The last point I want to make, Mr. Chairman, is at the March 8th, 2021 hearing, the Applicant's traffic engineer, Mr. Balskus, said based on snapshots of Bicentennial Park that he took or had taken over a few days in October 2020, again, during the middle of the COVID lock down. He said he was, quote, confident that the parking needs of nearby businesses could be, quote, accommodated. I'm not aware that those are engineering terms. Confident is --

Let me just say this: I am not in any way attacking Mr. Balskus's integrity or his good faith. He's trying to do as good a job he can for his client. This is not intended as a slight to him. The but the facts are, there's no evidence that he contacted any of
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1 these owners. Just picked up the phone and said, hey,
2 how often do you use these spaces. No such evidence to 3 that. confidence that they could be accommodated, let's contrast that with the affidavits that we've submitted with the position statement, Tabs V, W and X. And I don't want to take up too much more of the Commission's time, but I do think it's important that salient provisions of these affidavits be read into the record.

Mr. Schaefer's affidavit, page 41: I've been the owner of 20 Millerton since 2019. I purchased the property because of the nearby parking spaces of Bicentennial Park provided a safe parking option for my commercial and residential tenants. My property has two, 1-bedroom apartments and three commercial offices. Currently all four residential tenants and five employees of the three commercial tenants require parking, a total of nine vehicles. On average, there are about six vehicles of my residential and commercial tenants that are parked at Bicentennial Park. Six.

Two of my commercial tenants also have clients and guests that regularly come by the office and use the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park.

Next slide.
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I spoke with two of my tenants, one residential tenant and one commercial tenant, and they both expressed that they would likely have to leave my property if they lose the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park. That's not conjecture, that's a fact.

There are no alternative parking options available around my building that can be conveniently utilized by my tenant in the event they lose the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park. My business is going to be adversely affected by, among other things, losing the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park as I cannot afford my mortgage without my tenants.

Next slide.
Compare the traffic engineer's assumption to the testimony of Ms. Shannon: I've been the owner of 12 Millerton Road for not less than 4 years and prior to that, her deceased husband was the owner of 12 Millerton Road since 1977. My husband and I relied on the parking spaces available in Bicentennial Park when we purchased this property. The parking spaces at Bicentennial Park was one of the main considerations for the purchase. My property has four, 1-bedroom apartments and two commercial offices. At one point, I had several tenants in the apartment unit but currently, there's one tenant utilizes some parts of the apartment as her office. She
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1 often had visitors come to her office before the COVID-19
2 pandemic. Both my apartment tenant and her visitors
3 utilize the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park.

One of my commercial tenants is Assured Partners. Assured Partners has been a tenant at 12 Millerton Road for more than 30 years. It currently has six employees and they all use the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park.

Dennis Dressel, the agency president of Assured Partners previously wrote a letter to the Planning and Zoning Commission on October 6th, 2020, describing his concerns regarding the possibility of losing the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park.

My other commercial tenant is Aperture that has about one employee that currently parks at the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park.

On average, there are five to seven vehicles of my residential and commercial tenants that are parked on the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park.

There are no alternative parking options available around my building that can be conveniently utilized by my tenants in the event they lose the parking spaces at Bicentennial Park. In late 2017 or early 2018, I had a conversation with first Selectman Curtis Rand during the time the Town of Salisbury was in the process
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1 of completing the crosswalk signaling and highway bump
2 out on Route 44. When I expressed concern that the bump
3 out would cost my business two on-site parking spaces,
4 Mr. Rand countered by explaining to me that additional 5 parking was available at Bicentennial Park. there were no parking spaces available at Bicentennial
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1 Park. My business uses the available parking spaces at
2 Bicentennial Park on a daily basis. My business uses
3 about one to three spaces at Bicentennial Park on average
4 for my vehicle and vehicles that make delivery to my 5 business.
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1 across Holley Street from our office. This is already a
2 congested area and we would lose parking spaces for our 6 employees at that office when the parking lot is no longer available. I cannot think of another option for our employee parking.

So, in the final analysis, members of the Commission, whose testimony should be credited here? The confident opinion of the Applicant's traffic engineer who never spoke to any of these people and presumably has no knowledge of the history of parking at Bicentennial Park? Or sworn statements of nearby owners and tenants based on their firsthand knowledge, who depend on the continued availability of these spaces for their livelihoods.

Who are you going to credit? Intervenor's respectfully submit, members of the Commission, that the answer is clear.

Next slide.
For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, I thank you for your time and your patience in this presentation. And we respectfully request the Commission to deny this special permit application. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr. Casagrande. We are now going to take a very brief five minute recess; and we will re-start this at 8:05. Give
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everybody a chance to stretch their legs and whatever else. See you in five minutes.
(Whereby, a recess was taken.)
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. I'm going to deviate a bit from the order and I'm going to let the Commission precede the Applicant in asking questions. Unless Attorney Smith objects?

MR. SMITH: No, sir. Actually, I thought you went first, which makes sense.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: No, actually, I go first, but I think there's a lot and some of the stuff I think they may ask may help you in your asking.

MR. SMITH: I agree.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, I'm going to start again with my first question: You referred several times to the Carley report. Is this the Carley report that preceded the previous -- that was associated with the previous building or is this a new report reflecting the architecture of the current building?

MR. CASAGRANDE: It's a -- Mr. Chairman, Dan Casagrande. Is my understanding it's a new report reflect her understanding of the current application. But it doesn't -- at the last hearing, there was a rendering of the new building and that is what she has not yet had a chance to address. So, that's why we need
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1 to have her come back to address the new rendering that 2 was presented on March 8th. the -- well, no. I would to have defer to her on that. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. 414 Millerton Road is one of the alternatives. Do you know where the metes and bounds of that lot are? You showed us an aerial but where is this four acres?

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I didn't put the metes and bounds on it, but we do have -- we can certainly make available an outline of the lot.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Are you aware that the transfer station had to be moved to it's current location because there's the 300 foot restricted area around that wetland.

MR. MILLER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You're aware of that. Okay.
MR. MILLER: Yeah, yeah. And one of the -again, \(I\) didn't mean to, you know, say that we're prepared to do a site plan on that. But we're aware that
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1 the wetland is there and that the existing building does
2 have some possibility for additional tanks and there
3 seems to be other room on that property that can support
4 some level of development.

7 longer have a pharmacy in Salisbury any more.

25 is not within a watershed?
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MR. MILLER: I have not fully investigated whether there was a watershed. I think if it isn't a watershed, then obviously there are certain ways it needs to be protected. And my analysis didn't really dig that deep into the overall storm drainage affects. Because when are talking about a fairly small piece of property that -- and particularly, when we're dealing with the Pope site, there's plenty of land available to mitigate whatever storm water impacts might be.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: This is to the engineer. I won't even attempt to pronounce your name. Are you aware that 300.3 is relaxed as part -- we have the authority in the PSKQ -- whatever it is, the authority to completely void setbacks that would not require, I believe, going to the ZBA?

MR. VIRBICKAS: It's my understanding the regulation allows one to set your building at the same distance as an adjoining building or buildings on adjoining properties. It doesn't necessarily allow for placement at whatever distance one sees fit on a particular property.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I would ask you -MR. VIRBICKAS: There's a little bit of leeway permitted in the Pocket District zone.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I would say quite -- in all
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1 due respect, I believe quite a bit of leeway as far as 2 setbacks and parking.

Getting back to the St. Joseph's case, that is not settled law yet; is it, Attorney Casagrande?

MR. CASAGRANDE: That's a good question, Mr. Chairman. The -- and I'll be interested in Attorney Andres' response to that, but the rule that's articulated in St. Joseph's I think is pretty clear because it synthesizes a line of cases in Connecticut; and it says that there's no question but that a Commission may deny an application, special permit application for failure to comply with general public health and welfare standards even when all technical requirements of the regulations are met. The case that Chuck and I are litigating against each other focuses, not so much on the general rule, but on how it applies to the site-specific inquiry that the Commission is required to make on each special permit application. So, I think the general answer is St. Joseph's is settled law for the proposition. But I'll defer to your counsel.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think we can take that up later.

So, under the concept of general public health, safety and welfare, is this why you introduced a lengthy discourse on the inability of fire trucks to get in and
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1 out? Is that one of the thrusts of that particular 2 statement?

Now, we're talking a lot about viability of businesses. And I believe -- did you hear Mr. Baroody last time, his statements of what Lakeville once was?

MR. CASAGRANDE: Is that directed to me, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Well, I'm directed I guess to you or any member of your team. I mean, we have a concept of what's called, in my field, shifting base lines. We see the Lakeville that is there now. But there was a Lakeville that Mr. Baroody spoke to that was quite different. And my question is you have a lot about how people, residents, are going to detract from the viability. But how do residents or more people living in Lakeville contribute to the vitality of businesses?
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1 Contribute to people going to Deano's to get a pizza?
2 Isn't there some sort of symbiosis of having a critical mass of residents in a community and having the kind of things that were once in Lakeville? I believe there were two or three grocery stores at one time in Lakeville. I mean, I'm interested in that dynamic.

MR. MILLER: If I may. I'll take a shot at that one.

Certainly, having a population base is important to the vitality and sustainability of small village centers such as Lakeville. But I think it comes down to, you know, it's not that this use is a bad use. It's that one of the net effects of replacing the existing use with this use. This use certainly has the positive aspects that it will, you know, add to the population by 12 or 15 people or whatever it is. And presumably, they'll want a pizza and they'll go to the coffee shop and that type of thing. But you also to have weigh that against the existing use of what the impact would be. So, if this was on another site that was available in Lakeville, I think it -- I'm not sure I would be here or it would be a very different equation that the Commission would have to grapple with.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
You and your illustrated [Verbatim] that No.
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17 Holley Street, which is Lakeville Interiors, have two
2 deeded spaces. It's my understanding that they own a third of that unpaved parking lot just to the west of Deano's; are you aware of that? In your calculations? MR. MILLER: Yeah, I believe we were aware -there was some question on that, if I recall, Dan? Dan, you muted. Dan, you're muted. Unmute. MR. CASAGRANDE: Sorry.

We did say in our chart slide 39 as we point out that they do have 20 off-site deeded spaces. So, I think the answer to that is yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
Now the last thing, Mr. (Audio broke up) bring up, I think it needs to be brought up in the context, are various uses that -- have you looked into whether or not many of these apartments, commercial offices and such are actually have zoning permits? Are they legally there? Because it's my understanding that quite a few of them, these apartments, and commercial spaces are subdivided are actually not legal. And so, I'm a bit troubled that we are holding a standard up of parking to uses that, in fact, may not even be permitted. I think several apartments do not have permits. Several commercial spaces don't. So, in a way, I think that needs to be sorted out if we're going to use the parking needs of
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1 those activities, shouldn't we understand whether or not
2 those activities are, in fact, operating legally?

MR. CASAGRANDE: Yeah, I agree, Mr. Chairman. And perhaps I don't know who's on the call here, but I think Mr. Schaefer, Ms. Singelis and Ms. Shannon might be able to answer those questions. My understanding is the Schaefer building is non-conforming in its entirety. My understanding, up until you asked this question was, I thought that the other uses in those building were legal, non-conforming uses. I can certainly follow up on that.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I would follow up with our Land Use Administrator to find out who actually has zoning permits. Because it's my sense that many of these multiple uses in these buildings are existing absent zoning permit, site plan, accessory apartment plan, special permit. So, I think it's kind of like we're holding up these uses needing parking when, in fact, I think some of them, not all, may have slipped under the radar and never gone through a review process for established legality of their presence.

MR. CASAGRANDE: The only thing I would point out, Mr. Chairman, as you can see from Attorney Capecelatro's letter, the Deano's Pizza building, that is especially permitted.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I deliberately did not
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1 mention Deano's. That is the one that we do have
2 paperwork on. But some of the other ones, particularly,
3 we have got multiple commercial spaces, multiple
4 apartments, I think there's some issue of whether we've 5 stumbled on to a whole nest of illegal activities,

6 so-to-speak, that do not have zoning permits, special
7 permits, site plans or special permits. Thank you.

That really is just my cautions on this. Just from your comments and cautions, I think you raise a lot of interesting points.

My final question is: If they came in with an \(8-30 \mathrm{~g}\), how much of this would be relevant, in your opinion, Attorney Casagrande?

MR. CASAGRANDE: Well, I don't want to speculate on that, Mr. Chairman. Obviously, an \(8-30 \mathrm{~g}\) application it has different standards than a special permit application. But this is not an \(8-30 \mathrm{~g}\) application. And, in my understanding --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I understand that. I'm just asking you from a theoretical perspective.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Let me say this: To the extent that Mr. Miller's testimony focuses on the loss of economic viability to these businesses, if we were here in \(8-30 \mathrm{~g}\) I would be arguing that that is a substantial public health and safety interest which clearly outweighs

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES \\ (860) 693-8557}
```

1 the need for affordable housing, No. 1. Number 2, as the
2 Stonington case, the United Progress case makes clear,
3 8-30g does not trump 22A-19. So, 22A-19 is a separate
4 statute that must be considered even in the context of an
5 8-30g.

```
```

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm well aware of that.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Okay.
MR. SMITH: I made part of my career on that.
MR. CASAGRANDE: That's my two cents for tonight, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you very much, Attorney Casagrande. I'm now going to move to the rest of the Commission asking questions from Commissioner Riva?
MR. RIVA: Michael, thank you.
This -- the first question $I$ have is for Mr. Virbickas. You quoted a grade degree of 18 percent. Is that a mandatory state requirement? And you're saying 2020, we are in -- the spot you're talking about is 20 or 25 percent. Where is this located? Define that a little better for me.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Can you get that up on the screen so they can see that, please.
MR. VIRBICKAS: Yes, --
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Can one of the attorneys --

```
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MR. CASAGRANDE: Can you put that on the screen, Pio, Dainius's fire lane sketches.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
MR. VIRBICKAS: So, the 18 percent is the limitation based on zoning regulations, the maximum grade permitted for a driveway. If you look at the rear left corner, which would be the southwest corner of the proposed building, there are two dark lines that are indicated --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Could someone put cursor on that. I think the gentleman who's running the screen -MR. VIRBICKAS: Yep. Right there. MR. RIVA: I thought that's what it was. I wanted it clarified by you. That's something that is in our town regulations for driveway?

MR. VIRBICKAS: Well, town regulations state that you can go to a maximum of 18 percent.

MR. RIVA: Okay. And.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What is the -MR. VIRBICKAS: That exceeds it for sure. And --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sorry, go ahead. MR. RIVA: That's okay. Michael. One of the things, Michael, and can \(I\) ask the Applicant, are they going to touch these grades any? Is that going to change
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1 in the parking lot in that corner or in that area?

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yeah, through the chair, could you, the Applicant, answer that question?

MR. SMITH: Chris Smith for the record. John?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, please answer the question.

MR. SMITH: I lost access for about the past 15 minutes, Mr. Chairman. I'm sorry. I'm back on. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Oh. MR. SMITH: I literally just got back on. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Bob, repeat your question again.

MR. SMITH: That, I did hear. Go ahead. I'm sorry, Commissioner Riva. Sorry about that.

MR. RIVA: That's quite all right. What I was asking, I wanted Mr. Virbickas to clarify where this grade issue was that he was talking about. I thought it was in that corner and he clarified that it was. Are you guys going to change the grade in that area any? I mean, I know we're keeping everything basically pretty level in that driveway area that you were talking, but I think -have they planned on changing that grade coming up to that little section there? Is anything going to be touched?

MR. SMITH: Commissioner Riva, if Jon -- Jon,
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1 are you there?

MR. TUNSKY: I can answer that, Chris, if you are okay.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, please do, Mr. Tunsky.

MR. TUNSKY: Very good.
So, yes, that grade is -- it is an existing condition and I have looked at that based on the comments and we can adjust that so it is less than 18 percent condition.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
Bob, do you have any additional questions?
MR. RIVA: I do. I want to have more clarification, Michael. We got a letter from the fire marshal and he was pretty well content with everything. He had concerns on that west part of the building. And again, Mr. Virbickas, where you showed us those red dots, are those areas that are State-required that we have access points there? Or is it just that it's a concern that if they tried to get a ladder truck in there, which I, honestly, I've been driving equipment all my life, I don't think they would ever be able to try or even try. These drivers know what they're doing. I don't think they would try to get a ladder truck in there. They would probably do something from the Holley Street and
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1 main front road.

MR. VIRBICKAS: Right, I --
MR. RIVA: Is this a requirement just in case that they need -- is that what you're showing us there?

MR. VIRBICKAS: Again, in speaking with the fire marshal, he indicated to me that may at one point if they need to fight something up high on the building, they would attempt to commit a ladder truck to that back parking area. My red dots indicate areas where these vehicles would have impact with the building. Again, and it's not just the fire truck. We looked at it and, you know, UPS truck, any of these vehicles that have a height that's greater than -- in that west corner that we were talking about, anything that's taller than seven and a half feet is going to impact, hit that underside of the building.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You're talking about the -Virbickas, that corner.
(People spoke at the same time.)
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Can you put the cursor on that, please.

MR. VIRBICKAS: I don't have control of the screen.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's it. Right there. That's the corner?
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MR. VIRBICKAS: Well, that's one instance where the underside of the building will be about nine feet elevated above the grade. Where again your UPS trucks, FedEx trucks are about eleven feet tall. If they're not careful, they will hit the building.

And in speaking with the fire marshal, he, looking at the plans, it's kind of challenging to see on a screen and he was not aware that a portion of the building was overhanging the driveway. The main access drive into the site. So, anybody making a delivery coming into that site off of Holley Street is going to have to go into the opposing lane of traffic flow to make it into the site.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What is above that overhang? Is it building or is it --

MR. VIRBICKAS: Yeah, yeah. It's building. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: It's actually apartments there?

MR. VIRBICKAS: Yeah, it's the underside of the apartments on the main level.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Now, on the other side -I'm sorry, Bob.

MR. RIVA: That's okay.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's one way; right? No one's going to be coming in from that road there; are
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they?

```

MR. VIRBICKAS: I don't know. It's not shown. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Go ahead, Bob. I'm sorry I interrupted you.

MR. RIVA: That's okay. It quite all right.
Again, Mr. Virbickas, one thing you can clarify for me. You stated one of the deficiencies in the drawings or in this application was there was not a detail for sprinkler pressure. I'm not sure if maybe the Applicant didn't just cover that just assuming that was a regulation? Is that something that you have --

MR. VIRBICKAS: Well, it's --
MR. RIVA: Chris?
MR. VIRBICKAS: It's not a regulation, per se. But a good design would contemplate the availability of, you know, water and pressure for a sprinkler system.

MR. RIVA: I agree --
MR. VIRBICKAS: Granted, it may not be a lot. Sprinkler systems tend to use a lot less water than one would have with fighting a fire with hoses. But just the same, it's something that should be contemplated and acknowledged or verified prior to, you know, starting construction.

MR. RIVA: I'm wondering, Applicants Attorney Chris, is that something you guys have that maybe you
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1 just haven't shared with us yet?
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1 would bring a smaller piece of equipment, something 2 similar to a delivery vehicle to the site. And although

3 I don't have these truck turnings available on this
4 presentation, because \(I\) was only afforded that
5 information today from the fire marshal about the smaller
6 vehicles, I did not -- I was not able to present it. But
7 I can certainly show that as well. And again, the only
8 reason we're contemplating any emergency vehicle access
9 is because the fire marshal said that they would have a 10 need for access.

11

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay.
MS. CONROY: Mr. Chairman, may I also add?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Go ahead.
MS. CONROY: As Attorney Smith indicated, I did have a discussion with the fire marshal on this. His review is much akin to that of what the Health District does when they look at a subdivision. So, this is a general review that when it gets to construction permitting phase many of the details get worked out. So, it's kind of -- you know, he was a little bit, like, I haven't had to answer a question like this before, but it was a preliminary review based on the plans that are here, understanding that at a future date he's going to have to look at it even closer based on construction plans.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Could you show him, Abby, tomorrow, this diagram? Because the water pressure on the sprinkler's one thing, but these two corners of the building I think I would like him to opine on that specifically.

MS. CONROY: Okay, yes, I can definitely talk to him about that.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
MR. WHALEN: Michael, Marty here.
Last summer they spent all summer putting a brand new water line up Millerton Road, an eight inch water line. So, I think the volume of water, it's not even questionable. There's plenty there in that line.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Marty.
MR. RIVA: I agree, Marty. I got an eight inch coming right through the middle of our yard in the store there and we've got two big huge buildings with sprinklers. I don't think it is. What I'm worried about is is there a the calculation that can be done I'm sure somewhere along they've either done it or they can do it very quickly.

MR. WHALEN: Also, when you have a building like this and you have a fire in one of those apartments. It's not a surround and drown. It an interior attack. You have to get in there. So, let's talk about ladder
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```
    1 pieces and things like that, and that's the third --
```

```
    1 pieces and things like that, and that's the third --
    2 that's the fourth thing you go to.
```

    2 that's the fourth thing you go to.
    ```
```

    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Marty. Bob?
    ```
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Marty. Bob?
    MR. RIVA: Michael, I'm all set. Thank you.
    MR. RIVA: Michael, I'm all set. Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Then I move to Commissioner
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Then I move to Commissioner
Shyer?
Shyer?
    MS. SHYER: Thank you, chairman.
    MS. SHYER: Thank you, chairman.
    Just a couple questions of Mr. Miller, please.
    Just a couple questions of Mr. Miller, please.
Your car dependency slide, I couldn't read some of the
Your car dependency slide, I couldn't read some of the
small writing. Could we just put that up. I had a
small writing. Could we just put that up. I had a
question about that, please.
question about that, please.
    MR. MILLER: Which slide?
    MR. MILLER: Which slide?
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: The car dependency. The one
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: The car dependency. The one
of Lakeville.
of Lakeville.
    MR. MILLER: That wasn't it.
    MR. MILLER: That wasn't it.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think it was the other way
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think it was the other way
around. There.
around. There.
    MS. SHYER: That was. Thank you.
    MS. SHYER: That was. Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That one. Walkability.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That one. Walkability.
    MS. SHYER: Could you just walk me through what
    MS. SHYER: Could you just walk me through what
    it says on the top here. A location in Salisbury,
    it says on the top here. A location in Salisbury,
    commute to downtown Torrington. What else does it say
    commute to downtown Torrington. What else does it say
        there?
        there?
            MR. MILLER: Please give me a minute here to
            MR. MILLER: Please give me a minute here to
        get --
```

        get --
    ```
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I can't read that either. MR. MILLER: It's a small print.

MS. SHYER: Thank you. That helps.
MR. MILLER: Oh, okay.
MS. SHYER: Explain to me how this is being calculated. I understand the outcome is telling us that most errands require a car. But I don't understand what your method has been here and what Torrington's got to do with it.

MR. MILLER: That is taken off the site, itself. The -- I'm not sure exactly why they do that except that that's probably the nearest urban area that might be a job destination. It is -- I don't want to over emphasize this because there's a lot of subtleties in it. That certainly though, anybody living at this site or almost anybody -- there's not a great deal of employment in the village there. So, they're going to have to drive for employment. It's different for -- I was working on another situation in Farmington where there's plenty of employment there, but it was too far to walk. There's no employment, really -- very little employment so brings the score down. I can't give you the whole algorithm. I just wanted to point out that this is kind of a broad base measure. And to call this site a walkable site I don't believe is accurate for
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1 reasons I said -- if it was in Salisbury center, I know 2 they can't walk to a pharmacy any more, but they can walk 3 to a grocery store, which is a very necessary part of our 4 everyday lives. There's no equivalent to that here. more widely used. And I think if you know if it's 32, it's probably pretty automobile dependent. And if it was
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at 94, you know, the opposite. And I think that would become obvious to people if you look at different sites with different levels of dependency.

MS. SHYER: Who's "they"? You are referring to they.

MR. MILLER: The site, the Walkscore.Com entity.

MS. SHYER: I have one other question regarding your parking analysis where you referred to four businesses. 22, 20 and 12 Martin Millerton Road and 7 Holley Street.

MR. MILLER: Yes.
MS. SHYER: Did you talk to the owners and operators in the business of the Lakeville firehouse? MR. MILLER: No. MS. SHYER: Why is that? Because that you also talked about using and needing satellite parking and they communicated to us through their attorney.

MR. MILLER: I wasn't aware of that. MS. SHYER: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Are you set, Cathy? MS. SHYER: Yes, for the moment, thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Allen Cockerline, please. MR. COCKERLINE: Yes, for Mr. Virbickas. Again, you cited, Michael, 300-3 is superceded by the
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1 PKSQ overlay district. One of the things I wanted to
2 know on the -- on that site plan that you showed with the 3 strike points, what is the distance between the building 4 and the strike points on the automobiles?

MR. VIRBICKAS: So, first and foremost, with the Pocketknife Square parking and front yard setback, it says: Front yard setback shall conform to the underlying zoning district or shall match the existing front yard setback of one or more buildings on abutting properties to maintain the existing street wall.

MR. COCKERLINE: Which means we have a lot of leeway there.

MR. VIRBICKAS: No, it means you can match the adjunct building which the adjunct building is set back 30 feet or so from the road.

With respect to the strike points --
MR. COCKERLINE: I don't believe so.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I don't agree with that either, Mr. Virbickas. I think we have more flexibility. MR. CASAGRANDE: Should I fill in, Mr. Chairman?

MR. COCKERLINE: Wait a minute. Let me have my question answered on the strike points.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sorry, sorry.
MR. VIRBICKAS: So, with respect to the parking spaces, most vehicles will be able to back underneath the building?

MR. COCKERLINE: But I'm asking you specifically, what's the distance from the back of those cars on that -- I can't -- I can't use the scale. It won't work for me here. Sorry.

MR. VIRBICKAS: It doesn't work well on the screen. It about 18 feet from the back of the compact space to the face of the overhang. And so the overhang is about -- it's about six feet over the driveway.

MR. COCKERLINE: So, if you were doing this building, you would probably also recommend some bollards at those corner points, too?

MR. VIRBICKAS: No, I would recommend you hike up the building so that there's no chance of impact. But bollards, themselves, would obstruct the driveway. The driveway needs to be 24 feet wide --

MR. COCKERLINE: That would save the building.

MR. VIRBICKAS: -- the bollards in there, then the driveway would be only 18 feet wide.

MR. COCKERLINE: It would save the building, though. A bollard is cheaper than a building. Okay,
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1 that's the only question \(I\) have for you.

And the only other issue that I have, and this is purely anecdotal, I've made a point, I go through there Holley Street generally twice a week and I always count the cars since September. And the numbers I've counted are from 3 to 8.

MR. WHALEN: Right.
MR. COCKERLINE: I'm not prepared to sign an affidavit to that, but this is anecdotal evidence from a Commission member who drives by there twice a week.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Allen. Is there anything else? I want to see if Commissioner Cockerline -- is that it?

MR. COCKERLINE: I'm done, thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Casagrande.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Through the chair, Mr. Cockerline, before COVID what did you observe about the parking lot? Or did you make any observations before then?

MR. COCKERLINE: I didn't start until probably September when this application first came forward, when -- I mean, I really wasn't focused on parking there. So, it wasn't part of it. As far as COVID goes, what's happened with COVID in this town is our population has increased by 20 percent. There are more people here in
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1 weekend homes than there have ever been. Our
2 population -- and our use at the transfer station has
3 gone up because of it. So, there are other ways of
4 document this.
agree with you, but --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think we are going to end that line of questioning. Is there anything else, Allen? MR. COCKERLINE: I think I'm good.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Allen.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Mr. Chairman, can I just follow up with Mr. Virbickas on one point?

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yeah. Okay, quickly because we have a lot to get through.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Yes. Thank you. Mr. Virbickas, do you view Holley Street as a front yard for this building.
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MR. VIRBICKAS: Absolutely, it is.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Why?
MR. VIRBICKAS: A corner lot has two front yards, two side yards.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Are there any buildings on Holley Street that are as close to the --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Casagrande, this is not your time to make an additional presentation. Let me get through my Commission members.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. Whalen.
MR. WHALEN: I have nothing right now.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Dr. Schiffer? You have to unmute.

DR. SCHIFFER: Unmute. As a Commission member, an important challenge for me is going to be separate evidence-based information from conjecture. So, with that in mind, I would like to bring up the discussion again of the safety for fire trucks.

So, I guess the question would be to Mr. Virbickas. Did fire professionals actually assess the clearance for trucks and was it the fire professionals that determined that these trucks would make undesirable contact with parked cars? Or was -- in
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1 other words, was this evidence-based information that was 2 provided by the fire professionals?
MR. VIRBICKAS: This is our -- this is our best
attempt at depicting how this emergency vehicle, this
nearly 50 -foot long emergency vehicle would try and enter
on the site based on information provided by the fire
marshal.

DR. SCHIFFER: Okay, so they didn't study --
MR. VIRBICKAS: After further conversation with the fire marshal today, he had indicated to me that their largest truck in town is 30 feet long. And what we did is we incorporated truck turning movement that would be utilized by a 30 -foot long delivery vehicle, turning movements would be likely similar, though maybe not identical. But the challenge here with these emergency vehicles, fire trucks, is that they are all tall. They are all greater than ten feet in height. Some even more than 11 feet in height. And that underside of the building as proposed is only nine feet in height. So, there's two feet of somebody's going to give. It's either the building or the truck. And at the west end, there is even less distance. We've got -- if we try to put an 11-foot tall delivery truck under a 7 -foot or 7-and-a-half foot tall overhang, something is going to be damaged.
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And the suggestion that we place bollards in the center of a driveway to protect the building that's not there and the driver requirements in the town are 24 feet wide and suggesting that 18 feet be utilized is -it's -- it makes no sense.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
Dr. Schiffer, do you have any other questions.

DR. SCHIFFER: So I just want to -- based on what you I just heard you say, it was your conclusion of what would happen. So, I think you've answered my question. Thank you.

MR. VIRBICKAS: Thank you for asking.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Do you have any further questions, Danella?

DR. SCHIFFER: No, not at this time, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Ms. Allee?
MS. ALLEE: I don't have any questions.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. Higgins.
MR. HIGGINS: Thank you.
On slide 5, I think it was, you quoted the former first Selectman for the town of Salisbury, I think it was meant to be. Just an observation that we never had a Selectman named Bartlett. It was Bill Barnett.
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1 So, just -- only because I know -- but that's not my 2 question.

For the park issue, all the comments you made about the neighboring businesses, you've implied that the parking in this application would not be available and yet they've repeatedly said there would be parking spaces that these businesses could use. But I think the point that is worth making is that your table deficits just sort of highlights the issue that we've been dealing with for years for businesses and parking; and I think there's a lot of double counting, quite honestly, because if all those businesses use all of the spaces that they declare they are using on a daily basis, there aren't the spaces there. But that only includes the businesses that you named. It doesn't include On the Run or Mease's (ph.) or the restaurant or the fitness center. I mean, that parking we all recognize is an issue there. When we talk about utilizing satellite spaces, it's a fact we consider each time you have an application. And I just repeat that I don't think we've ever considered it specific and exclusive to that business. There's a lot of double counting by the same token from the Commission standpoint recognizing that there's multiple uses that can be made with the same parking area.

So I just want to make sure that when you're
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1 talking about the spaces being unavailable for the local
2 businesses, you implied anyway that there was zero, when,
3 in fact, they have said that that's not the case.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Jon, do you have anything further or -- do you have that's a statement rather than a question.

MR. HIGGINS: I guess it's a question whether they've considered those ten spaces when they are considering the impact on the businesses or whether they are considering the implication was zero.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I guess that's a question for Mr. Miller.

You have to unmute, Mr. Miller.
MR. MILLER: I'm sorry. It's getting late. The calculation you said about -- you know, the different times is certainly true. I didn't mean to suggest that there's a constant deficit of 60 vehicles. We were weighing everything against the current situation. Since it's not been approved, you know, our input obviously would -- we would hope would influence what the ultimate parking situation is. From -- and I think also, from what I understand, again, in my conversations with people who do know better, I'm not going to pretend to be an expert on your community with just by spending parts of two weeks learning about it, that there's some fairly
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1 large events that involve, you know, rather excessive
2 parking situation. And periodically, they don't occur 3 daily, I don't know if they occur once or twice or three 4 times a year, but they do occur and that's all part of 5 the equation as well. There was testimony about from the 6 art dealer that they have events that draw quite a number 7 of people from time to time. So I -- you know, obviously

8 I wasn't able to experience or calculate one of those 9 events other than if they say they get 60 people, that's 10 a lot of people and a couple of parking spaces put on the 11 site are obviously not going to address it.

I also think that -- I mean, people park where they don't -- where they shouldn't park. I mean, how many times have you seen somebody pull into a handicap space and trot away. So, I guess that, kind of, if you are going to -- if there is going to be spaces secured, I think it has to be rather clear or else you're probably going to invite some problems. Also, I don't know if talking parking days, like on a Saturday, when many of the residents may be home and many of the businesses may be more active, you know, that's when the issues will sort of compound themselves.

MR. HIGGINS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Again, I would ask that the members of the public wait to ask their questions, not
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1 keep doing it in chat. There's some rather inappropriate 2 stuff going on in chat. I would like to stop. Think we

3 should disable the chat if people can't control
4 themselves.
5
6

Don't know who this person is. Doesn't in chat and I think we're going to have to find a way to disable chat if people are going to be abusing it this way. Public can certainly express those sentiments in the public portion.

Attorney Smith. MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Your turn to cross-examine

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES}

MR. SMITH: Well, I have some questions, Mr. Chairman, thank you. Mr. Virbickas, first.

How are you, it's been a while. A couple questions. Mr. Virbickas, did you, referring to Section 300.3, did you perform an analysis as to whether the proposed building will be in line with any of the buildings located on Millerton Road? As far as the setback from the road?

MR. VIRBICKAS: I did not do analysis. I relied solely on the application that was submitted in the little asterisk that was provided in the site plan, itself, assuming that the Applicant did that bit of work for all.

MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all. I just was wondering if you're familiar with the regulation in 405.6 a2 where, with the front yard setback shall conform to the underlay district or shall match the existing front yard setback of one or more buildings on abutting properties to maintain the existing street wall; correct?

MR. VIRBICKAS: Yeah, Yeah. Exactly. So Millerton is Millerton and Holley Street is Holley Street. Holley Street is two fronts.

MR. SMITH: I'm just asked if you took a look at that and did you run your own analysis. I think you indicated you are not a fire safety expert?
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MR. VIRBICKAS: Not at all, no.
MR. SMITH: You really can't testify as to the attack mode if there was a fire in this building if, indeed, someone would either put a ladder up against the building to get to the third floor or wait for hook and ladder truck to come to the site from one of the other towns that you mentioned?

MR. VIRBICKAS: No, I just went on what the fire marshal explained to me would be their tacts.

MR. SMITH: So you're not sure if the tact would be to put it -- when you have a burning building to put a fire truck immediately adjacent to it? You don't know that, you don't know fire code; correct?

MR. VIRBICKAS: I think that a different fire requires a different response. So, to that end, there's no correct answer to what vehicle would go where and when. It's all based on the specific event that requires immediate attention.

MR. SMITH: That's pretty much governed by code, right? Fire codes?

MR. VIRBICKAS: Fire guidelines, yeah. The codes aren't really --

MR. SMITH: You are not familiar with them because you are not a fire safety expert; correct?

MR. VIRBICKAS: No, I'm not an expert, no.

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES}

MR. SMITH: Thank you.
MR. VIRBICKAS: Sure.
MR. SMITH: I do have questions for Mr. Miller. Good evening.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Mr. Smith.
MR. SMITH: How are you, sir?
MR. MILLER: I'm well, thank you.
MR. SMITH: For the record, Brian and I have worked on a number of different matters over the years in different forums and venues.

Mr. Miller, you indicated that you are --
you're not a traffic expert; correct?

MR. MILLER: Correct.
MR. SMITH: And however, you are AICP certified as a planner; correct.

MR. MILLER: Yes.
MR. SMITH: And just bear with me for one moment. How many -- you made reference \(I\) think to something about two weeks of analysis and relying on different people for -- How many times have you visited the property and when were you retained?

MR. MILLER: I was retained -- I don't have the exact date, but it was within two weeks -- past two weeks.

MR. SMITH: And there was a slide that I
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1 believe Commissioner Higgins asked you about, slide No.
2 39, where your analysis came up with per the zoning
3 regulations, there's a deficiency of 61 parking spaces in
4 the immediate vicinity of the subject property. the sustainability -- "adversely affect" might be my
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1 verbiage, Mr. Miller, the sustainability of the entire
2 village is what I wrote down.

MR. MILLER: I don't think I ever used the word entire. I think I said the overall sustainability. Whenever a certain number of businesses potentially leave an area, it has a significant impact. And I stand by the fact that it could have a significant impact if it has an impact on these particular uses that makes their operations so difficult that they would consider or actually move away from the village. There are alternative locations.

MR. SMITH: So, it could have an impact if, indeed, it -- if it affects these businesses; correct? That's what you said?

MR. MILLER: Yes.
MR. SMITH: A lot of ifs in there.
Have you reviewed the Planning Conversation and Development for the Town of Salisbury, dated 2012? MR. MILLER: Yes. MR. SMITH: Are you familiar of the stated goals, one of the main stated goals for the village center, the three village centers in the town? MR. MILLER: I believe so, yes. MR. SMITH: And what does that goal talk about varied housing in the centers.

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES}

MR. MILLER: That the plan considers housing as an asset and that there should be more of it in the centers.

MR. SMITH: In fact, I'm referring to page 26 and also page 53, where one of the stated goals for our village centers includes, quote, Incorporated more varied housing in the village centers, end of quote; correct?

MR. MILLER: That's correct.
MR. SMITH: Sound familiar? And I'm just quoting from it, just to see if you recall maybe reading this, quote, If land parcel suitable for development for some of these housing options were within walking distance of the village centers -- talking about walkability -- both merchants and seniors would benefit. End of quote. Seniors being designated as people in need of this type of housing.

MR. MILLER: That's correct. Yes. That's -- I would also add that the plan of conservation and development -- and I've -- as you know, Mr. Smith, I've worked on many of them, they contain general statements that may not be applicable in every specific situation. And I also indicated that I certainly had -- would not argue against the concept of 12 affordable units being in this location. My -- the issue that \(I\) was raising was that it was detracting from another use. And that the
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1 Commission that -- you know, needs to weigh the benefits
Commission that -- you know, needs to weigh the benefits

```
2 of whether the use of that might be impacted. Whether of whether the use of that might be impacted. Whether that would outweigh the desirability or the need to put those 12 units at that particular site.

MR. SMITH: Understood.
Have you viewed -- have you seen any photographs of this parking lot being filled where -being utilized by those 61 deficient parking spaces for the businesses in the area.

MR. MILLER: No, of course not.
MR. CASAGRANDE: Objection. That mischaracterizes the testimony, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sorry --
MR. SMITH: I asked the question and it deserves an answer.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Let's not turn this into a court of law.

MR. SMITH: No, no need to. Thank you Mr. Miller.

From a planning concept, having residents in village centers or urban centers is a planning goal, generally?

MR. MILLER: Yes, but generally doesn't count.
```

        I mean --
    ```

MR. SMITH: Well, that's okay. I'm able to ask
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the right questions, but that's what's provided in the --
MR. CASAGRANDE: Can he finish his answer,
Mr. Chairman? Can he finish the answer?
MR. SMITH: I need a yes or no answer, thank
you.
MR. CASAGRANDE: He couldn't answer yes or no.
MR. SMITH: He can answer it later then, if you
would like.
Going to -- you were making reference,
Mr. Miller, to the plan of conservation and development
and goals for the village centers; and you also spent
some time in your analysis, I think it's slide 15, I
don't know if that's something we can pull up?
MR. MILLER: I can't pull it up.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Your -- the other attorney
can. Thank you.
MR. SMITH: Thank you, sir. 15.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: There it is right there.
MR. SMITH: Super, thank you -- no.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You had it there. Right
there. Off-site alternatives.
MR. MILLER: Okay.
MR. SMITH: Okay. I'm looking -- can you move
that cursor, sir. I'm sorry. Oh, there's an arrow --
no, okay, there we go.

```
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So, you made -- Mr. Miller, you made reference to alternative sites being better than the proposed site for the affordable housing; correct?

MR. MILLER: Based on -- yes, based on the problem that I discussed on this site.

MR. SMITH: And I believe one of them was Millerton -- not Millerton -- excuse me, bear with me for a minute.

MR. MILLER: 414 Millerton.
MR. SMITH: Correct. That's, I think -- and Mr, chairman I apologize -- it was right about when you were asking these questions that I lost the internet here. But I think there was testimony that that's located near the town transfer station? Maybe adjacent to it?

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: There wasn't testimony, but that's, in fact, where it is.

MR. SMITH: I just needed to confirm that for the record. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And the Pope site, how many units are proposed or recommended for that? I think it was on that slide 15, maybe.

MR. MILLER: From the -- this information was from the town's affordable housing report. And they estimated that there was, I forget the number, but it was
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1 like multiples of what's being proposed on the subject 2 site.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Salmon Kill Road is the Pope property.

MR. SMITH: Thank you. Mr. Chairman.
Your testimony is that an alternative would at any time 12 units proposed to this site and add it to the Pope proposal which, according to the plan or to the Pope site according to the plan, is slotted for 33 to 64 units. So, you would want to put these 12 units that are already designated in the plans, 12 to 18, right above it, you would to designate that to Pope?

MR. MILLER: That's not what I said. I'm saying it can be accommodated there. That plan has to be -- it was a great starting point, but as I think we all know who have been involved in the development process, that sometimes the more you know, a site that was somewhat attractive becomes less attractive; and then you need to make compensations and plan ahead.

This was a site that they planned for. I'm not saying that it should be more than -- add 12 units. I'm saying those 12 units can be accommodated there.

MR. SMITH: I think you said it's potentially suitable but there's a walkability issue?

MR. MILLER: No. Not there. It pretty close
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    1 to the Salisbury center.
    ```
```

    MR. SMITH: Just as this is located close to
    the Lakeville center; correct?
MR. MILLER: The difference is the Salisbury
center also has a supermarket, a library, and other
facilities that aren't available in Lakeville.
MR. SMITH: But, as indicated in POCD of -- if
resident are in the Lakeville Village District, the
center, excuse me, then they are more likely to patronize
businesses and that would benefit merchants in the
village center; correct?
MR. MILLER: That's what it says.
MR. SMITH: Is that your opinion? Generally,
is that how it works?
MR. MILLER: It can work. It depends on the
mix of businesses. I'm not sure that the furniture
store's business is going to be increased by those 12
units.
MR. SMITH: And then the Millerton Road --
MR. MILLER: The pizza parlor will probably get
more, but it depends on the specific business.
MR. SMITH: 414, you said, is located on a busy
street and not really walkable.
MR. MILLER: That's right. I didn't say it's
walkable at all.

```
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MR. SMITH: But those are two of your alternatives you are suggesting to the Commission, assuming --

MR. MILLER: I'm suggesting, if I may, in my own words. I'm suggesting that those are the two sites that may be attractive alternatives. And I'm not suggesting that they are of equal value or better or worse. But they might be good alternatives if that's what the Commission is looking for in their deliberations. As I think you know, we normally, when we're evaluating the use of a site, we don't say, well, it can go someplace else. It's usually, what are the issues on that site? My position here was to present this as an issue for consideration by the Commission. I don't presume to tell the Commission what to do. I provide information to this -- for my client and to the Commission as I have provided information to numerous Commissions throughout my career. They may have -- they may look at things differently. They may have other considerations that they feel are -- outweigh the ones that either I say are positive or negative. That's up to them.

My point here is that these are situations -these are considerations that \(I\) would highly recommend the Commission take into their decision making.
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MR. SMITH: And going to your report, Mr. Miller, I just -- just cognizant of the time and I'm just trying make sure \(I\) get everything in before 9:30 if we can.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Well, I really think we're going to have to let -- we may to have extend this a tiny bit because the public is waiting to speak. So, Attorney Smith, can you wrap this up and --

MR. SMITH: I will. I do have one topic, one area, Mr. Chairman and I'll focus on that for now with --

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, sir.
MR. SMITH: Mr. Miller, in your report, you make reference to a letter from Attorney Mark Capecelatro. It's dated November 5th, 2020.

MR. MILLER: Where in my report does it say that?

MR. SMITH: I thought it did. I apologize.
MR. MILLER: I think Attorney Casagrande was making that reference. I don't believe it was --

MR. SMITH: Well, then Mr. Miller, I would stand corrected.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Capecelatro's letter is one of the attachments that is in the submission of the Intervenor. I think it may be U.

MR. SMITH: I know what I'm referring to.
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Mr. Miller, in your report, I think it's pages 2 through 5, am I looking at your report correctly? You reference some properties or is that a separate attachment?

MR. MILLER: No, that table showing the property that was put on the slide.

MR. SMITH: But Brian, just to make sure I'm looking at the right thing here. This says five business using Bicentennial Park affected by loss. Is that in your report?

MR. MILLER: It says table showing parking deficits for local owners and businesses in the Holley Block area. That's the title of the report.

MR. SMITH: So --
MR. MILLER: At page 3.
MR. SMITH: Brian? Mr. Miller?
MR. MILLER: Yeah. I'm here.
MR. SMITH: Is that in your report?
MR. MILLER: Yeah, I just said that that table -- no, that piece -- that reference is not in my report.

MR. SMITH: It's not in your report. Okay.
I apologize, I thought that's where that came from.

If \(I\) could ask you some questions with the --
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    1 you're familiar -- you talked about the satellite
    2 parking?
    ```
```

MR. MILLER: Yes.
MR. SMITH: And did you review the correspondence from -- I think you might have made reference to it in your verbal from Attorney Capecelatro relative to permits for some of the properties there?
MR. MILLER: I -- I didn't make -- I didn't make reference to it. Again, Attorney Casagrande made reference to it.
MR. SMITH: Okay.
I don't have any further questions for Mr. Miller. Thank you, Brian. Sorry about that with the references there?
MR. MILLER: No problem, Chris. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Does that conclude your questioning, Mr. Smith?
MR. SMITH: Bear with me for one second, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You have 64, 66 attendees of the public I would like to get some of them a chance to speak.
MR. SMITH: Okay.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm actually willing to go -- let me ask. Is the Commission willing to go to at

```
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```
    1 least 9:45 or so to let some of the public speak.
```

```
```

    1 least 9:45 or so to let some of the public speak.
    ```
```

    Thank you.
    ```
    Thank you.
    MS. SHYER: Yes.
    MR. SMITH: I'm all set, Mr. Chairman. Thank
you.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney Smith.
    Okay, Abby, are you here? We have to start
recognizing -- let me see --
    MS. CONROY: Yes, I'm here.
    CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: We have two hands up on the
        screen.
MS. CONROY: Let's start at the top here. I'm going to go with Nancy. Go ahead, Nancy, you're muted still.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Nancy Van Deusen, you need to unmute yourself. Hey, Nancy.
MR. VAN DEUSEN: Well, it's her husband, Hobey, speaking. It's Hobey and Nancy Van Deusen. There's one parking issue that I hadn't really heard in the four hours of listening and that is there currently is a handicap space in Holley Block and what has happened -what will happen to that? Could we have an answer to that? It's assigned. It has a sign up and it's marked handicap parking. And it doesn't seem that the plans by the proponents have taken that into consideration. And
```
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```
are there any state or local rules about handicap
```

parking? And the size of it? Most ones I've seen are
suitable also for vans, so, that means there are cross
lines to the side of where a van would park. And that
means it really would take up two spaces.
So, what is the answer to that question,
please?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Will the Applicant take that
under advisement and come back with an answer?
MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. Will do.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Because he's not talking
about under the building. He's talking about the public
portion of.
MR. VAN DEUSEN: The spaces, yes.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
MR. SMITH: Will do. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Next person, please.
MS. CONROY: Okay. The next person I have is
M. Stucke.

MR. STUCKE: Yes. Thank you so much. Well,
first of all, thank you, Patrick, for submitting the
photograph from the perspective of [Unintelligible].
That was very helpful.
One thing I haven't real heard mentioned here
in this hearing and I still would like to get an answer

## ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES

1 about that is that it's uncontested that the proposed
2 site is in a designated Aquifer Protection Overlay
3 District and that it's my understanding that with this
4 proposed building that 86 percent of the lot or greater
5 will be nonporous. And the laws here are quite clear
6 that there are requirements including a storm water
7 management plan and it doesn't appear that the Applicant
8 has submitted one by a Connecticut registered engineer.
9 In fact, when I looked at the record, the only thing I 10 actually saw that was submitted by a registered engineer 11 was by the Artel Engineering Group that's working for the

12 Applicants.

```
And I was wondering is this just, like, paperwork for the sake of paper work, but it really isn't
``` because in looking at the 2009 report the Salisbury Natural Resource Inventory, they talk about how vulnerable these aquifer district are and can be susceptible to extreme impact from surface events. So, if you just look at the Salisbury Natural Resource Inventory at page 14, they talk about multiple dangers including runoff. And one of the activities that the town identified in it's 2009 report was how development of residential and commercial buildings too close to wetlands can put an enormous stress on aquifers and wetlands. Well, you can say it's not close to a wetland
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1 here, but poor runoff controls from commercial sites.

And as well, they talk about how to regulate more stringently the size and height of houses built close to water to minimize visual and chemical pollution to adhere to proper erosion and sedimentation controls and to require ground water recharge of storm water run off where feasible. And I thought this was particularly telling, to require that any new development yield a zero increase in storm water peak run off.

So, I'm just -- you know, the Applicants were on notice about this issue with the prior development. And given I'm just counting how many people here that have been hired by the Applicants and the Intervenors, but particularly by the Applicants that, you know, surely when you thought about that you were going to create a multi-unit housing place that's going to be in the aquifer protection area so close to Factory Pond, why wasn't there an engineering report done? And it would seem that under Attorney Casagrande's position, that that deficiency alone is grounds for rejecting the application. So, my question is: Will there be actually such a report and what sort of assurance can you provide that the building structure and use will provide for the maintenance of air, surface water and ground water quality and will not be detrimental to existing sources
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1 of potable water or other natural historic resources which is it required under the law. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. So, I would like to ask the Applicant to very specifically address that also. Because there has been some discussion about the aquifer protection. I asked some questions, but I think we do need a fuller understanding of that.

Next, please.
MS. CONROY: Okay. Next Attorney Grickis.
MR. GRICKIS: Can you hear me?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I didn't know you were here. MR. GRICKIS: Surprise.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Because you were on the list of the next attorney to speak. I'm sorry. MR. GRICKIS: I will try not to wear you out with my comments.

And certainly \(I\) would say that the Applicant, as a non-profit organization, deserves some stock when it comes to technical requirements of applications. And I think the Commission's been very indulgent in overseeing those omissions. For example, the one I mentioned about notifying the Department of Public Health on a form required by the department when the Applicant submitted a letter advising the department what it was doing. So those are technical requirements. I certainly wouldn't
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1 fall on that as a sword.
```

More important issues to me and that have been raised by the fire lanes, which has been subject of a great deal of conversation tonight, and I would suggest to the Commission that it isn't simply the fire marshal who determines what is safe for a building. But it also falls to the chief of the fire department who will determine what equipment will access a fire or the emergency if something develops. His or her views need to be considered. Not simply the fire marshal. And just given that location, the fact that it's a 15 foot wide easement, the fire lanes that are now superimposed on property rights that people had before these fire lanes were ever superimposed whether that's lawful or not. I don't know.
But also the one subject that's been omitted from the discussion tonight which continues to trouble me is that of public safety. It increases volume, if the application is successful, would generate traffic on Route 44. It's dangerous to pedestrians that we talked long and hard about. That's an aspect of the last two meetings that it was a very important topic of conversation on the first go round in 2020. So I would certainly hope that as the application goes through the deliberation process with the Commission, that the

```
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1 Commission not overlook the issue of public safety and
2 the importance of the dangers on Route 44 , of the increasing volumes of traffic, which I personally observed.

And lastly, I would say since Attorney Andres, my colleague is on the line, I cannot imagine how any attorney representing the town can say with a straight face that Commissioner Cockerline should be seated in this discussion. He's a director of the Falls Village Affordable Housing Committee. A corresponding organization to the Salisbury committee. And his views could very easily affect the views of other Commissioners. As I said in my letter to the Commission which states for itself, perception is everything. I don't see how it's fair to ask a Commissioner to opine and give weight his true dealings of belief in affordable housing for a project in a different town to step aside and say that he can be impartial on adjudicating an application for a similar project to which he's always committed.

With that, you guys have been very patient and I thank you for your attention. And I say good evening. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney Grickis. Next who do we have? Brigitte Ruthman. MS. RUTHMAN: Yes. That's me. Good evening.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Good evening.
MS. RUTHMAN: Thank you and thank you for all your hard work. Absent the other arguments, I would speak as a member of the Lakeville Fire Department, Lakeville Hose Company No. 1 very proudly. I'm not an officer and I don't speak for the department. But I would humbly ask Mr. Virbickas where he went to fire school because he didn't go to the fire school I went to. We tend to not want to park fire trucks next to burning buildings. We have very long hoses and we have things called SOPs that are safety sizeup. We don't -- we can close a road. We don't clip cars. We don't go through parking lots. We know what we're doing with regard to fire fighting. So, you can set that whole argument aside. We are pretty good at what we do.

But on that topic, we have a lot of volunteers who are at risk of losing Salisbury as their residency. We have -- I can think of two or three we've already lost. We have had to amend our by-laws over the years to allow membership to live out of town. That would include me. I live in Sandisfield, Massachusetts. I'm still a member of the fire department. I am still a fire fighter interior -- I'm an EMT in both states. But that is going to end for the fire department to have its core membership in a town that can't they can't afford to live
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1 in. We need drivers to get to trucks. We need members
2 to get to fires. And these are not 60 or 70 year old
3 people who are fighting fires. They are young people.
4 We need them in town.

7 of this you won't have similar arguments arising in those
8 other places. Isn't this right back to "Not in my back
9 yard."
When you mention other sites, I am at a complete loss to understand how you think that after all This seems a perfect location. Yes, there are hurdles. But the old fire department, and I was there, has water resources that are very adequate for that location. I think that some of the issues have raised are surmountable and \(I\) think this is a very necessary step for the town. And once do you this, let's go and do some of those or sites, too. Bring back some of the people that the town has not been able to accommodate. You've had studies done by the northwest planning groups that show that this town is going to be aging. You don't have young people any more. And you're going to need help for those older people with ambulances and issues with regard to public safety that we don't have an answer to if you don't have places for them to live. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you for your
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```

    1 testimony.
    ```

MS. CONROY: Bob LaBonne.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Bob LaBonne.
MS. CONROY: Okay.
MR. LaBONNE: Hello everyone. Thank you for taking your time tonight. I'll be brief. This discussion has been going on for -- we have been in town now for 30 years. We're still the new kids on the block, but my store, because of COVID and the amount of people that moved up to the northwest corner, had a 50 percent increase in sales last year. Well, I can't staff that store with people driving 45 minutes and an hour away. And I've been looking in the last year for a place to buy my own, quote, affordable housing and just help subsidize the people that can work in my store. Everyone wants to be served by nice friendly people, but if \(I\) can't get people that live in town because they can't afford it and they are spending money on gas and cars, it's doing to be a problem.

And the other thing is, I do feel for the businesses that, you know, where the parking may be affected. As we couldn't survive without the church parking lot that we rent. I think the town really needs to bite the bullet, I hate to say the word "tax," but invest in creating more parking. You can't go to the
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1 town if you can't go the businesses if the businesses
2 don't have the parking. You should start buying up the
3 China Inn and whatever places you can to create more
4 downtown parking to create a density where people will
5 have a place to go and -- it's a great town to walk in,
6 but there's no place to park, you kill the business which
7 it affects with is the future growth of the town. So, we
8 are looking to expand as well and we're trying to figure
9 out how the heck we can do that in our small space.
10 So, this is desperately needed wherever it is.
11 But it can't go 20 more years. I can't wait that long.
12 There's too much growth in this town. We need to seize
13 it now, not after we're gone. So, I appreciate all
14 you're doing; but at somewhere we have to find the middle
15 and not just kick this can down the road for another 20
16 years. Thank you.

MS. CONROY: Steve?
MR. ALQUESTA: Hi, my name is Steve Alquesta. I live on Echo Street in Salisbury. I just want to say first that, you know, everybody opponent I heard of affordable housing always starts by saying they're not an opponent of affordable housing, we are just an opponent of this particular development. I think to echo what Brigitte said, no development is perfect. We will
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1 continue to see these kind of -- this kind of opposition
2 regardless of where the development is planned, so I
3 would encourage the Commission to live with the totality
4 of the circumstances and very -- you know and approve 5 this project.
```

I'm somewhat younger. I'm 35. I graduated from Housatonic in 2004. At that time, the [Unintelligible] was 500. I saw in the Lakeville Journal

``` it's down do 320 now. It's hard for people my age to move to this area. Especially if you are looking to find a rental, looking to get started. The housing costs are a big part of that. And then \(I\) think some of that is rooted in our town policies. Whether it's intentional or unintentional, it's built in to the way we run things. And this is an opportunity for the Commission to push back against that a little bit and make it easier for people like me who are looking to start families, who are service workers, our volunteer fire and ambulance folks, to make the town a more vibrant place.

From listening to the opposition presentation, like, we -- you know, historic character is important, but, like, let's be honest, it's a parking lot with a stone wall and a bench. We're going to put that above, you know, the younger people who could be living in the town. That doesn't seem to make sense to me.
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5 you to consider those other locations and decide to build
And then, finally, you know, even through the legal arguments it seems like there's a lot of leeway for the board, that statute that was quoted, 22A-19 said you're required to consider other locations. I would ask on all of them. You know, the housing plan published in 2018 looks for 75 units of affordable housing and you haven't built any. So, none of those properties alone that were shown in the slide would get us to that 75 goal.

We can't allow a vocal minority to dictate how the town runs and we can't let a perfect solution that doesn't exist be the enemy of the good solution that we have in front of us right now. So I would ask that, you know, the board to consider all those benefits.

And also, Chair, I apologize. I think I was the first person to post something in the chat about the walkability. I wasn't aware that wasn't authorized. So, my bad on that one. But thank you. That's all I have and I appreciate everyone's hard work. I have been following the project and I'm very excited about it.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Well, thank you.
Do you have any more people -- any more members of the public wishing to speak? They have a hand up? Abby?
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MS. CONROY: Artemis Growth Partners.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Yes?
ARTEMIS GROWTH PARTNERS: Hi, Mr. Chairman, thank you all very much. And again, this is all very good work. I don't think this is an argument when \(I\) come and look at the project. I'm still perplexed how we got to such a size. When I've done the numbers and I published this and sent it also to the Commission, I don't see how over 1,000 square feet of non-urban producing square footage, an elevator and underground parking lot, all non-revenue producing and just adding cost is part of what is essential for this building. The Applicant has said that the building has proposed is the minimum seize to be feasible. Yet, again, in my submission I've shown that the fact of the project is it's likely not feasible, financially. So, my question is how is the Applicant defining feasibility and why is there no alternative. For example, smaller, mixed use where you still get eight -- six or eight affordable housing units in a much smaller size that is more appropriate for the space. Why is that not feasible? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.
We have two more hands up. Abby? Eileen Fox. Eileen, can you unmute yourself, please.
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MS. FOX: So, I've been listening for -- since 5:30 and I said I hope it counts when I go to purgatory that I've spent this much time listening; because it's so important.

And I have lived up here for over 35 years. I'm a kid from the City. I lived across public housing on the west side over in the [Unintelligible] area. I saw the gentrification which I benefited from. It is not about parking. It's, like, please, let us help people come into our communities and let us please welcome them. They support us in so many ways. It's really so distressing that -- and I will tell you, I have been past that parking lot many times. I even tripped once on that -- the lighting pole and reported it to the town and they fixed it. But it's not about parking. Please. Local businesses support it. And if you don't support it, I will stop going there. So that is my comment. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Eileen.
Is there anybody else who wishes to speak?
Okay. We have another hand up, Abby. David Rich.
MR. RICH: Yeah, hi. Thanks, Michael, for letting me speak. I wasn't -- because I don't think it's really under the purview of the finances of this project, that doesn't come under Planning \& Zoning. But enough
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1 people have brought this up that is an issue. And again,
2 this is another just I think red herring that people are
1 people have brought this up that is an issue. And again,
2 this is another just \(I\) think red herring that people are 3 just trying to throw things at the wall and see what 4 sticks here.

I have been involved with affordable housing in Connecticut for the last 20 years. These things are underwritten far more concretely, far more specifically than anything you find in the commercial side. When people say this is viable, I humbly say they don't know what they're talking about. This isn't a normal commercial property. This is state resources, federal resources that are brought into this with very little or no debt on these properties.

That the public trust is here, the underwriting that the state requires here is far more than you are going to find from any bank or any other equity or debt that's put into any project. These things are vetted and vetted and vetted. We don't have all the finances ready for this yet because this is going to go through another six months process with the State before they ever sign any dollars over to us. So please, people, you might have other disagreements, but don't look at it on the financial side. I don't know of an affordable housing development in the last 20 years that has folded or gone under here in Connecticut.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, David.
Another hand up? Hand down? Any more hands? CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay, it's 9:44. I would like to have a motion to continue --

MR. SMITH: If I -- Chris Smith, if I may. I just would like to -- I did what I was trying to ask Mr. Miller about, \(I\) just would like to confirm whether he's going to be here at the continued meeting because that is his appendix that \(I\) was referring to, at least what was sent to me, concerning five different properties. I did some follow-up questions. But certainly not tonight they can wait. I was just checking to see if Mr. Miller will be available to respond to that?

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. Miller, are you going to be at the continuation of the hearing?

MR. MILLER: What is the date of that again?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'll give it to you. It's Wednesday, April 14th.

MS. SHYER: What time?
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 5:30.
MS. SHYER: Thank you.
MR. MILLER: Yeah, I can be here.
MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: He will be here.
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MR. MILLER: My pleasure.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank everyone for their patience and hard work.

I would like to have a motion to continue this hearing to 5:30 p.m. via zoom on April 14th on Wednesday.

MR. COCKERLINE: So moved.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: A second?
MR. RIVA: Second, Michael, Bob Riva.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: All those in favor signify by saying aye?

ALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Let's be very clear.
Deadline for technical submissions, looking at my notes here. Abby what is the deadline for technical submissions?

MS. CONROY: We didn't talk about, this but -CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Nobody talked about -okay.

MS. CONROY: Yes. Noon on Thursday, April 8th. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Noon on Thursday, April 8th for technical submissions. That means items that have been submitted by the Intervenor and the Applicant. Public is able to submit comments as long as the hearing is open. Until we close it.

MR. ANDRES: Mr. Chairman, is Chuck Andres,

\section*{ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES \\ (860) 693-8557}

1 attorney for the Commission. Just a couple questions
2 earlier on there was a question of the 22A-19, the second
3 component of the feasible component alternative and the
4 question you raised earlier. I would ask the attorneys
5 both for the Applicant and the Intervenor to address
6 whether it's appropriate to look at offsite elements,
7 locations as -- whether that comes within the feasible
8 improvement alternative element; and if they can address 9 that in writing, that would be great so we can have that.
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ask everyone if the attorneys are here, is the feasible and prudent alternative test only come into play if the Commission determines that the Applicant -- excuse me, the Intervenor has met their burden? That's my question for feasible and prudent alternatives. So, if I can get that information, that would help me with this morass.

MR. CASAGRANDE: I think that's in our position statement, Mr. Chairman. The answer in think is yes. You only get to feasible and prudent alternatives if you determine that the project likely will have an unreasonable impact. I think that's clearly the law. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Well, as long as other counsel agree with that, that's fine. If not, I will hear from them.

MR. CASAGRANDE: I can't imagine they wouldn't agree with that, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: They love to write. Ask a simple question, you get a three-page memorandum.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Right.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: It's always interesting reading.

Okay. We had the motion to continue. We don't have to do anything else. Motion to adjourn this night, this meeting tonight?

MR. WHALEN: So move.
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CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Marty.
Any second?
MS. SHYER: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Cathy.
All those in favor?
ALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you all for your patience and the information. It's been a very enlightening evening, I think, for all of us. Good night.
(Whereby, the hearing adjourned.)
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