#### RoR 87.c

1

1		
2	SALISBURY I	PLANNING & ZONING COMMISION
3		SPECIAL MEETING
4		APRIL 14, 2021
5		5:31 P.M.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	CHAIRMAN:	Dr. Michael Klemens
11	MEMBERS PRESENT:	Dr. Michael Klemens
12		Bob Riva
13	e.	Cathy Shyer
14		Martin Whalen
15		
16	MEMBER ABSENT:	Allen Cockerline
17		
18	ALTERNATES PRESENT:	Dr. Danella Schiffer
19		Deborah Allee
20		John Higgins
21		
22	STAFF PRESENT:	Abby Conroy
23		Tai Kern
24		Chuck Andres, Esq.
25		

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. It's 5:31 and I'm going to begin the continuation of a public hearing that 2 began on March 8th and has been continued to March 22nd 3 and has been continued to this evening. 4 I believe we have all our Commission -- no, we 5 don't have Allen; right? Okay. We have elected 6 commissioners -- full commissioners, myself, Bob Riva, 7 Cathy Shyer and Martin Whalen. We have our alternates 8 Danella Schiffer and John Higgins. Excuse me. He's 9 there. We don't have Debra yet? 10 MS. CONROY: I do not see Debra yet and I do 11 not see Allen. 12 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Well, I'm going to appoint, 13 for the moment, Jon Higgins. And when Allen arrives, he 14 will stand down. Okay, Jon? Jon? Very good. 15 MR. HIGGINS: Yeah, that works. 16 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Unfortunately we have some 17 housekeeping matters to discuss first. Could you please 18 19 screen share, Ms. Conroy. 20 MS. CONROY: Just so you're aware, Debra just came in as well. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Debra came in but we don't 22 have Allen yet. 23 24 MS. CONROY: Yes. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Hi Debra. 25

MS. ALLEE: Hi.

1

Okay this is an e-mail we 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 3 received this afternoon. I already prepared my remarks concerning the chat function. The chat function is 4 5 disabled. It is not because the Chair, as is incorrectly stated in a letter to the editor of the "Lakeville 6 Journal" flummoxed by the technology. Rather, we cannot 7 have a second stream of unrecorded public comments. Many 8 of those comments in chat, on top of being a second 9 stream of comments, that we couldn't record, take note 10 of, were anonymous, or with cryptically named 11 participants. Certainly, the public is free to pick up a 12 cell phone or text or talk to anybody they wish. 13 But as far as the chat is concerned, we cannot use it in that 14 15 way.

It's really a matter of fundamental fairness. 16 We can't -- we have no way of making a record of the chat 17 and that's not fair to the Applicant, to the Intervenor, 18 and to the public. So, in response to Ms. Galluzzo's 19 questions, you're not limited a right to speak between 20 yourselves. You are being limited in your right to use 21 the platform where the public hearing is being held to 22 have side bar conversations. 23

The Q and A is open and visible to anyone. Check on your participant list and you can see who's 1 there. Of course, again, many of the people who are attending are calling in from iPads or devices that are 2 not properly identified. That's their right. 3 However, when it comes time to No. 3, when people speak, like in 4 any public hearing, they will be asked to identify 5 themselves, who they are, particularly if their device 6 does not have a proper label as to who they are. 7 And if the attendees -- talk to No. 4, Abby. Please explain can 8 they use the video function? 9

MS. CONROY: Yes, No. 4, the video function is 10 essentially in the Webinar Mode. The video function is 11 reserved for, quote/unquote, panelists, not attendees. 12 And just for time sake and simplicity, when someone 13 raises that her hand, what I have been doing is just 14 essentially allowing them to speak so that unmute them 15 and doesn't show their video. But if someone was really 16 adamant that they wanted their video to be shown, we 17 could elevate them to panelist status momentarily when we 18 It's just a matter of it is quicker to 19 call on them. 20 just call on someone and let them answer verbally. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. So, I think that 21 explains why the chat's disabled. And your first 22 amendment rights are protected. Now, if you wish to be 23 made visible, you can be -- you can request that. 24 All right. So, has Allen showed up yet? 25 No.

1I will introduce who is also here are our2attorney, Charles Andres, our Land Use Administrator,3Abby Conroy and our recording secretary, Tai Kern.4I will now ask the Land Use Administrator to

5 read into the record materials that have been received 6 since the last hearing.

MS. CONROY: Okay. The list of documents 7 received since the last hearing include the following 8 documents as titled on the website. These are 9 submissions first by the Applicant; additional public 10 hearing signs for 4/14/2021; supplemental C.V. William 11 Walter; WPCA letter, dated February 1st, 2021; fire 12 marshal's letter, dated March 30th, 2021; Salisbury 13 Volunteer Ambulance letter, dated April 6, 2021; the 14 Power Point for today; stormwater management report, 15 dated April 7th, 2021; Applicant response, dated March 16 16th, 2021; Virbickas report? No, sorry. Applicant 17 response to 3/16/2021 Virbickas report, dated April 8th, 18 2001; Belter donor letter from 1967; a letter from the 19 Belter family, dated September 17th of 2020; SHC legal 20 response dated 4/8/2021. 21

Then submissions by the Intervenor. Cramer & Anderson letter to Attorney Andres re: Prudent and feasible alternatives, dated 4/8/2021; Cramer & Anderson letter to Attorney Andres re: [Unintelligible], dated

4/13/2021; Intervenor Exhibit No. 10, letter from 1 Galluzzo, dated 10/17/2020; Exhibit 11, Casagrande letter 2 3 re: Legality of Intervenor's apartment use; Exhibit 12, Casagrande letter re: Use the private right of way; 4 Exhibit 13, R. Carley letter, dated 4/4/2021; Exhibit 14, 5 B. Miller letter, dated 4/6/2021; Exhibit 15, Virbickas 6 letter, dated 4/8/2021; Exhibit 16, VIBAK (ph.) letter 7 with renderings, dated 3/19/2021. 8

9 Exhibit 17, Applicant's application for 10 funding, January 2017. I believe that was one of the 11 documents that was revised. So, there was a letter in 12 the record that the Intervenor asked me to update.

Other submissions. Pat Hacket letter to P&Z, 13 dated 3/23/2021; Attorney Grickis letter, dated 14 4/12/2021; S. Morrison letter, dated 3/23/2021; 15 Republican Town Committee letter, dated 3/26/2021; Bruce 16 Palmer letter, dated 4/7/2021; Fox and Stone e-mail, 17 dated 4/5/2021; representative Maria Horn letter, dated 18 4/6/2021; First Selectman Rand e-mail, dated 4/12/2021 19 and Van Deusen, letter dated 4/12/2021. 20

I just want to make sure that I updated. Also, after I wrote this today, we also got Exhibit 18 and 19 from the Intervenor. Those were both related to incentive housing zones and then there was also a response letter to that listed under other submissions from Emily Egan and the First Selectman's office in
 response to questions related to incentive housing zones.
 And I think that was it.

4 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: To that list also we'll add 5 the e-mail from Susan Galluzzo, also. If you can add 6 that to the list. Okay. Thank you.

Attorney Casagrande and Attorney Smith in their 7 letters of April 8th, 2021, have both opined on whether 8 development of affordable housing on a different 9 town-owned property can be considered a feasible and 10 prudent alternative. The Commission would only reach 11 that issue of feasible and prudent alternatives if it 12 first finds that the proposed development involves 13 conduct which has or is reasonably likely to have the 14 affect of unreasonably impairing or destroying the public 15 trust in historic resources of the State. 16

If the Commission finds that the Intervenor has 17 met that her burden, Attorney Casagrande argues that the 18 Commission should consider alternative town-owned sites 19 as feasible and prudent alternatives. Attorney Smith 20 argues that the Commission should not consider 21 alternative sites in a feasible and prudent alternatives 22 analysis. None of us know whether the Commission will 23 reach the feasible and prudent alternative issue as part 2.4 of our deliberations. However, I wanted to make sure 25

that the Applicant had an opportunity to address Attorney 1 Casagrande's points in the event that the Commission does 2 make an affirmative finding concerning the intervention. 3 So, directed to Attorney Smith. Attorney 4 5 Smith, referring to the Grimes case that both you and Attorney Casagrande have discussed. 6 Is there any contiguous town-owned or contiguous property under the 7 control of the Salisbury Housing Committee where this 8 development could be re-located? I believe this to be a 9 10 simple yes or no question. MR. SMITH: It is my understanding, Mr. 11 Chairman -- for the record, Chris Smith on behalf of the 12 Salisbury Housing Committee, Inc. -- the answer is no. 13 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Smith, are there 14 on-site measures or other measures not involving an 15 off-site development that could be taken to address the 16 alleged impairment of a historic resource should the 17 Commission conclude that the Intervenor has met their 18 If they are, please be prepared to discuss them 19 burden? 20 during your recitation following the Intervenor's continued presentation. 21 Now, to Attorney Casagrande. Attorney 22 Casagrande, you have submitted a large amount of 23 information concerning a broad range of topics ranging 24 from [Unintelligible], parking, aquifer protection, 25

1 analysis of our zoning code, etc., from well-qualified 2 experts in planning and engineering among others. These 3 have been entered into the record because it has been my 4 position that if these documents didn't come in under 5 your presentation, they would have been submitted in the 6 record by your clients or other parties.

Tonight, in order to assist my efforts in 7 focusing attention of the Planning and Zoning Commission, 8 the Applicant, and the public at large to the specifics 9 of the allegations of your intervention, I would request 10 in the hour allotted for you to continue your 11 presentation that you and your team explain how each of 12 your submissions directly support their specific 13 allegations of reasonable impairment of historic 14 resources. That's distinct from general planning 15 matters. 16

I'm asking you to do this because, in large 17 part -- sorry, I'm asking to you do this because in the 18 large amount of material that you have submitted, I do 19 not want the fundamental aspects of your intervention to 20 be obscured. You have a case to make to the Planning and 21 Zoning Commission. You need to make that on the merits 22 of your intervention not buried within a large volume of 23 Planning & Zoning materials. That, while all 24 informative, and we have taken note of them and etc., 25

they are not directly relevant to the specifics of your 1 2 intervention. So, if you can bear that in mind this evening to try to couch everything with your experts in 3 the intervention, it would help the Commission and the 4 5 public get that focus. So Attorney Casagrande, please continue with 6 your presentation. Mindful that in order to allow the 7 public and the Applicant sufficient time to be heard. 8 You are limited to no more than an hour which is exactly 9 the same time period I am going to allot to the 10 Applicant. 11 Please proceed, sir. 12 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 For the record, Dan Casagrande for the 14 Intervenors. And with me tonight is my associate, 15 Attorney Pio Yoon who is going to assist me with the 16 Power Point presentation. 17 Just preliminarily, I understand your point, 18 I would respectfully disagree that points Mr. Chairman. 19 that we make that are not specifically related to the 20 22A-19 petition are not properly before the Commission 21 for this reason. My clients are not only Intervenors 22 they are abutters and people who have statutory standing 23 to appeal from the decision regardless of whether an 24 Intervenor petition had been filed. And therefore, I 25

think they have every right to raise the issues that we do raise in our submission even though they may not technically be precisely related to the 22a-19 petition. J just want to make that clear --

5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I thought I made that quite 6 clear also. That I was not disputing your client's or 7 the public's right to put them in. What I'm asking you 8 tonight is to try to focus on the intervention; because 9 that is the central part of the first thing that this 10 Commission will have to deliberate on is the burden of 11 the Intervenor.

12 And I think you have submitted a lot of 13 information. It is valuable information. It is 14 information, as I said in my opening remarks, I wanted to 15 come in from you because I know if it didn't, it easily 16 could have come in independently from your client and the 17 public. But I am asking you to help me focus the 18 Commission and the public on the intervention.

19 I see you have Ms. Carley here tonight. I look20 forward to hearing from her, as does the Commission.

21 So I'm not disputing the fact that you put 22 these items in the record. I'm asking you tonight to try 23 to help me develop the record specifically in support of 24 your intervention.

25 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I'll

do my best and thank you for that clarification. 1 That's 2 all I was concerned about, that --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I thought I stated that at 3 4 the beginning. If I did -- if you did, I 5 MR. CASAGRANDE: misunderstood. So, thank you for that. But I just want 6 to make sure that you're not saying that the evidence 7 that I'm submitting, that doesn't technically relate to 8 9 22a-19 is somehow not relevant to your deliberation. 10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: It is absolutely relevant to deliberations. 11 12 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: It's now 5:50 and I'm -- you 13 have until 6:50 to do your presentation, please. 14 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you. 15 One housekeeping point at the beginning -- and 16 this relates to Exhibits 18 and 19 which we submitted 17 today -- and I apologize for getting them only in today, 18 but I will say that I only learned today that there was a 19 grant -- a state grant given to the town of \$39,860. 20 I believe is, as Exhibit 18 indicates, the State table for 21 that grant indicates that it was for the purpose of 22 developing incentive housing zone regulations. Or at 23 least that's how I read it. And I noted that that grant 2.4 dovetailed with the RFP that was issued by the Commission 25

to a consulting group to develop what became the
 Pocketknife Square regulations.

3 So, the concern I have, Mr. Chairman, is that the incentive housing zone statute which is 8-13 n 4 through x defines what an incentive housing development 5 is and what an incentive housing zone is, a regulation 6 In the statute 8-13 m, No. 3, the definition 7 is. section, it defines developable land. In other words, 8 the land that can be developed been an incentive housing 9 zone under 8-13 n, as the areas within boundary of an 10 approved incentive housing zone that can be developed 11 into residential or mixed uses consistent with the 12 provisions of this chapter; but not including land 13 already committed to a public use or purpose and not 14 including existing parks. 15

So, that raised a question in my mind as to 16 whether this regulation, if it is in fact intended to be 17 under the 8-13 rubric, in fact violates that definition 18 and that section because, obviously, the Bicentennial 19 20 Park is included within the zone. So, we raise that with Abby Conroy. Abby was gracious enough to try to get us a 21 response and I will let her clarify that. And I 22 appreciate her prompt response, but I do believe that 23 creates an issue about whether the regulations--2.4 Pocketknife Square regulations, in fact, violate the 25

1 statute.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: We'll take that comment. 2 3 Obviously, I'm not going to opine on that. I think this is a comment for our counsel to advise us on. 4 Thank you. 5 And maybe Mr. Smith will -- Attorney Smith might have a But I'm not going to engage in a discussion 6 comment. about that. I'm not --7 8 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you. And just for the record, I want the Commission 9 to note that I did contact Attorney Smith today because I 10 didn't want him to be surprised at this and we talked 11 about it and I'm sure he will have a response. 12 But I wanted to preserve this point for potential appeal. 13 So, in our Power Point, which is page 2, I had 14 the agenda for our presentation tonight. And with us 15 tonight we have three experts: First, Rachel Carley, who 16 as you see from her reports is one of the state's 17 foremost architectural historians, specifically involving 18 municipalities and towns in the north western hills. 19 And 20 she will speak on her report as our first presenter. Brian Miller is back with us tonight. He will respond to 21 a few questions and comments that I think you, 22 Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission had for him 23 at the last time, and lastly, Dainius Virbickas, our 2.4 engineer who also will respond to questions and comments 25

1 from the last session.

2	And then after that, I will briefly address the	
3	legal issues that we raise in our presentation. I'll do	
4	my best to try if they are applicable to 22A-19, I	
5	will try to do my best to explain why. But I appreciate	
6	the Commission's statement that they are relevant to your	
7	deliberations regardless of whether they apply to 22A-19.	
8	So with that, I would like to turn it over to	
9	Rachel Carley.	
10	MS. CONROY: It's my understanding that Ms.	
11	Carley, you would be doing a screen share; correct?	
12	MS. CARLEY: I'm going to try.	
13	MS. CONROY: Just a minute, I'm going to make	
14	you co-host and you should be able to.	
15	MS. CONROY: I guess we're able to have	
16	multiple co-hosts on Webinar. So, that's nice.	
17	MS. CARLEY: Is it working?	
18	MS. CONROY: No. It should be when you go to	
19	the bottom of your screen, in the center is the Share	
20	Screen icon. It should be green. And then a pop up	
21	comes up usually that asks to you select which window you	
22	want to share.	
23	MS. CARLEY: Oh, okay. Share oh, desktop is	
24	that what I want to share?	
25	MS. CONROY: Perhaps. I'm not sure what you	

1 have open for sharing.

MS. CARLEY: My desktop. But it's not -- wait. 2 Here -- let me try this. Okay. Does what show anything? 3 MS. CONROY: 4 Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes. MS. CARLEY: Okay? 6 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Power Point. 7 Yes. MS. CARLEY: You can hear me and see me? 8 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, we can, Ms. Carley. 10 MS. CARLEY: I'm ready to roll. Thank you everybody. Good evening. I just 11 wanted to make a few quick comments, I know time is 12 short, that amplify my report and the letter I sent last 13 week after re-visiting the district just as an effort to 14 go back as a citizen and really walk around and see what 15 I could see in the historic district. 16 I thought it would be really important just to 17 go pause and come back and re-visit why it's important to 18 consider what a National Register Historic District is 19 and why you have it in this particular place in Lakeville 20 and I'll come around to that in the end as well. 21 So, this district, I realize you may all know 22 this, but it was established -- it's been there a quarter 23 of a century, since 1996. And it was set apart next to 24 the local historic district, which pre-dates it, 25

established in 1970 as a distinctive and important part of the town in the care -- Essentially, it's a district really, it's an honorific, but it's set aside in the care and protection of the town in name. In other words, this is a place that Salisbury is saying is something special. We care about this. We're setting it aside and we're -we are making a statement about it.

These National Register Districts are overseen 8 by the U.S. Department of Interior. This is not a 9 Connecticut designation. They are the official list of 10 our country's buildings, districts, structures, sites and 11 objects considered worthy of preservation. 12 The particular nominations for the Lakeville Historic 13 District is very detailed. It, of course, cites 14 Bicentennial Park as a contributing resource. There's 15 obviously no question that Bicentennial Park is 16 considered a contributing resource to the district. 17

The district was listed in consideration of the 18 people and buildings associated with the development of 19 Lakevilles early iron industry which is very very 20 important to the history of Salisbury and in particular 21 to Lakeville. The production of armaments during the 22 American Revolution a huge part of your history which you 23 should be and undoubtedly are very proud and the 24 significance of this particular area is the town center 25

transportation in early days, including early highways,
 turnpikes and architecture and railroads. It talks about
 the Federal architecture, which is the key point of this
 whole discussion. And it's Victorian architecture.

5 Bicentennial Park, as I said, is cited as a contributing resource to that district. It could have 6 been left out of the borders altogether as being on the 7 edge of the National Register District, or it could have 8 been listed as a non-contributing resource; but it was, 9 in fact, listed as a contributing resource. 10 So, it therefore is considered by the U.S. Department of 11 Interior to be -- to have historic value. 12

As you all know, it incorporates the historic 13 walls preserved from the Holley Block foundations, the 14 building that stood there, and was razed before the 15 district was created and then and the park, of course, 16 was established before that. And it's very, very 17 integral to the open space, the character of the 18 neighborhood and community which is one of the issues at 19 hand. It's very integral to that as a piece of open 20 That is something that really, really noticed 21 space. when I went back more recently to the area. You can see 22 that here in the way it relates to the Holley-Williams 23 house across the street. And I can show that to you 2.4 later in an aerial view where it becomes clear. It's one 25

of three parks in this district that contributes to that
 context. Very important part of that as well as being
 historic.

One of my mandates as I took it upon myself to 4 value -- to the context in which to evaluate the proposed 5 building was part of this contingency of the requirement 6 7 of the gift toward the purchase of the land back in the 1960's when the town accepted the gift to do so, that 8 it -- that a provision that stated that the exterior 9 design of any new buildings on the property being keeping 10 with the Federal or early 18th century image of Salisbury 11 villages. 12

So, I went to look and educate myself about 13 what that Federal or 18th century image in your town is. 14 And it is quite extraordinary. You have a remarkably 15 superb Federal architectural heritage in your town. And 16 in have -- I really have not seen anything like it 17 anywhere else in the northwest corner and I'm just 18 showing some details here: The fan lights that represent 19 20 that and the gables in the houses throughout town that really exhibit the dimension, the detail, the 21 craftsmanship that are part of what Federal-period 22 architecture is all about. And that is the period that 23 that stipulation focuses on. 2.4 And of course, it is the period of the 25

1 Holley-Williams house right across the street from Bicentennial Park on the north site of Millerton Road. 2 And you can see those details, that craftsmanship in the 3 classical mobileian (ph.) cornices, around the gable 4 [Unintelligible] this house's superb front door with its 5 impedimented entry, its original glass. This is the kind 6 of detail and workmanship that defines the Federal and 7 Colonial period in Salisbury. And that is part of what I 8 use to evaluate this project. 9

Also, just in terms of context, scale, 10 character in the area, I looked at, again, what the 11 Federal and 18th century image was. Most of that in the 12 immediate vicinity, the historic district is mostly 13 Victorian and later. So the Federal and Colonial period, 14 to which I was paying close attention, is really on the 15 north side of Millerton. The Holley-Williams house and 16 then Farm Tavern and the Hubbard house across the street 17 and down to the east. These properties are set on -- are 18 obviously single-family residential properties. 19 They have green setbacks, trees, out buildings. So, that is 20 really the historic context that gives this area its 21 scale for that period. 22

But even the wonderful Holley manufacturing building, the later Victorian building down the hill is sunken into a plaza, has lots of green space around it as opposed to the effect of the large infill building that's being proposed that would go end to end of the park and just fill it in completely. It's a completely different effect.

I think that that does not compliment the 5 existing character of the neighborhood. That's another 6 one of the stipulations that I looked up in terms of the 7 height, bulk and design of complimenting the existing 8 character. That's one of the zoning requirements. 9 So, those are one of the areas I was trying to examine and 10 evaluate. 11

Very specifically, you can see here this 12 absolutely wonderful period fencing that's original to 13 the Holley-Williams house directly across from 14 Bicentennial Park, the setbacks of the store fronts that 15 would be to the west, and then, of course, the stone --16 the texture of the stone walls of the Holley Block 17 foundations that would be demolished in the process of 18 losing this historic site. 19

20 One of the issues that concerns me and I raised 21 is how much concrete -- new concrete that would be 22 introduced that I think would again, not, as per the 23 conditions, compliment this character. And yes, of 24 course, there is concrete, there's sidewalks that do 25 exist, there's a retaining wall in the back; but there is

a lot of -- there's a lot of design being proposed that 1 we don't get to see on elevations, like -- or perspective 2 drawings, for instance, on the east side -- excuse me --3 on the west side of the proposed building here, there 4 would be a walkway coming in and this would be a concrete 5 There would be, I believe it's proposed to be a 6 ramp. concrete corner wall that would incorporate the plaques 7 from the wall, the historic wall that would be 8 demolished, but that's more concrete that has absolutely 9 nothing to do with the historic stone that would be lost. 10 And in fact, it's sort of ironic because it's the stone 11 that's more important, in my opinion, than the plaques. 12 We just don't get to really see what all --13 there's been no design that I know of that's been 14 presented for this wall, which would be very, very 15 important feature. So, I'm raising the question that 16 we're not getting to see what a lot of this is going to 17 look like and it would have a very, very -- it would have 18 a very strong impact on the overall character. 19 So, it needs to be looked at. 20 Again, I raise the question of these viper 21 (ph.) stripe lights. I don't know if they've been -- to 22 my knowledge, they have not been pulled from the project, 23 but it's a distressing proposal that these would go into 24

25 the rear parking lot. They have a commercial --

typically, commercial application. That's how the manufacturer shows them. And their proposed to be on 20 foot poles. I know it said somewhere that lighting would meet residential uses. But these are what are shown on the plans. So, if they do meet residential code, I can say that this is what -- this is not what one would want in an historic neighborhood.

The massing, the bulk, the density of this 8 building are overwhelming. It shows in this rendering 9 here looking up over factory pond as, again, it's a huge 10 infill building. The roof -- the roof plane is going to 11 be massive as opposed to the roof of the Holley Block 12 building, which is a monitor clerestory building that 13 It makes a completely different effect than cuts in. 14 this hipped roof, which gives you a roof plane on all 15 four sides. Also, the height of this building from the 16 south parking lot side all the way measured to the ridge 17 of the front roof would be over 46 feet. It's 46-3 18 feet -- 3 inches plus another seven feet for the chimney. 19 So, your eye -- one's eye travels that whole 20 distance. And it -- and it is a huge amount of bulk that 21

is being introduced into this neighborhood which, again, just simply is not at all in keeping with the Federal or 18th century image that is a condition of accepting that gift back in the 1960s. And more is the typology of a

1 multifamily building. That is not part of the Federal 2 heritage of the town. Came in later in the Victorian 3 period.

You can see it here in these renders. 4 I don't know if this is going -- it is not clear to me whether 5 the four doors that are going to go across here have --6 will have doors on them. Or if they are going to be 7 That has not been clarified on the drawings. But 8 open. if they are open, they will be four big holes. And if 9 they are closed, it would -- the bays have doors in them, 10 it -- I would want to know what the materials are. 11 Because it's a lot of material going across there, and to 12 that point, I think a lot of information's been left out 13 on what the specifics of the materials of this building 14 are going to be. It seems like there are going to be a 15 lot of synthetic substitutes for the real thing which 16 would be another contradiction to that Federal-Colonial 17 legacy. 18

So again, the bulk, the massive roof area which you really can see from the south and east elevations, which is where you get the perspective in the distance on this building. Much more so than you do from the north, Millerton Street side, is very worrisome, just in terms of that historic context in the Colonial period. And back to the open space issue. You can see

24

it here from this aerial. This is Bicentennial Park the 1 historic site that is being threatened. And it is one of 2 three parks. The Furnace Park here and then the Mill 3 Pond Park down below that give the historic district its 4 open space context that's amplified by the plaza around 5 the -- the brick Holley Block building. And even this 6 lawn area in front of the Holley-Williams house. 7 If you fill this in, you are obliterating part of that context 8 in addition to wiping out an historic part of an historic 9 district that you've taken a lot of effort to create to 10 begin with; and then to establish this park after 11 accepting that piece of land. 12

13 So, I would just conclude by coming back to the 14 importance of the park, itself. Let's set aside what 15 even might or might not go on it and consider the fact 16 that that this whole project is -- any project at this 17 point is jeopardizing the existence of this park. And 18 really changing in a very serious way the character of 19 this historic district.

20 There's more than one historic district in 21 Salisbury, of course. This one is unique. It's the only 22 such district that commemorates the industrial and 23 immigrant history in this town as an historic 24 neighborhood that really encapsulates the history of that 25 iron industry that is the essential history of your town.

And I think it's on everyone's conscience to mess with 1 2 it. So, thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Casagrande, is this power point going to be submitted into the record? 4 5 MR. CASAGRANDE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 6 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. 7 MR. CASAGRANDE: May I ask one follow-up question very quickly to Ms. Carley? 8 Ms. Carley, there was some questions at the 9 last session about whether your report that's in the 10 record was submitted in regard to the old application or 11 the new building as proposed in the most recent 12 application. Could you just clarify that your report 13 covered the new application? And new elevations? 14 MS. CARLEY: Yes. Of course. It was the new 15 application. 16 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you. 17 Okay. Moving on, Mr. Chairman. I would like 18 next to turn it over to Brian Miller. I know time is 19 20 running short. I would ask for a little indulgence because this is the first time Ms. Carley was able to 21 speak in regard to the one hour limit. But again, I will 22 do my best. And Brian, I think his testimony starts on 23 slide 17. So, I'll turn it over to Mr. Miller. 2.4 25 MR. MILLER: Thank you. And thank you to the

1 Commission for allowing me to present this. I'm here 2 basically to answer a couple questions that were raised 3 by the Commission as well as the attorneys -- as well as 4 Mr. Smith. I would like to clarify some of my report.

It's in that letter that you have on the record 5 here, that the discussion of the alternative sites was 6 included because this proposed development would replace 7 the existing uses as Ms. Carley just eloquently 8 discussed. But also not only the historic park nature, 9 but the parking that I've discussed with you at the last 10 And that none of the other alternative sites 11 meeting. that was recommended in the affordable housing report 12 contain -- would have involved a displacement of any 13 existing uses. It was solely to demonstrate there are 14 options to the approval of this project on this site and 15 other ways to implement the 2018 affordable housing plan. 16 Next, please. 17

We just showed on the map the locations of the alternative sites. I'm sure you're all very familiar with it. But just there for the record as it relates also to the Holley Block site.

22 Next.

The Chairman, I believe, also asked for the boundaries of the 414 Millerton Road as it related to wetlands and it's on this map, I think it's probably --

hopefully it's more legible in your report. 1 But it's based on the information from the council of governments 2 and their mapping. Obviously, it's not done through --3 on a surface mapping. So, I provide that for 4 information. But it does indicate that there is 5 significant amount of land on that site that could 6 potentially be used. Again, I wanted to emphasize, my 7 introduction of these two sites was just a for example. 8 I do understand that much more research and planning 9 needs to go into any of the sites that you use. And, in 10 fact, on the other site --11

```
12 Next.
```

On the Pope site has undergone quite a bit of 13 that analysis through the committee's final report. 14 Ι went through it and this was one map that was included. 15 And there was a lot of useful information in there that 16 the green areas didn't have any obstacles or impediments 17 to residential construction with the use of basements. 18 So, you can see that there is, as you -- I'm telling you 19 a lot of what I think -- I know you already are aware of, 20 but that there is significant development potential on 21 the Pope property. [Unintelligible] and construction of 22 units on a portion of the Pope property would assist them 23 in meeting the goals of this Salisbury Affordable Housing 24 25 Plan.

Next.

1

2 The issue also came up for a plan of 3 conservation and development. And the bottom line is that no place in the plan that it specifically addresses 4 5 this site. The goals for the village centers are Increase ease of pedestrian circulation, 6 presented here: vehicular circulation and parking. So, that was one of 7 the goals for the village center. I point out that this 8 approval, this application would actually have an 9 opposite effect. And make it more difficult for this 10 ease of circulation and parking. 11 Incorporate more varied housing in the village 12 And I grant that this might meet that -- and 13 centers. approve overall aesthetics. Also, I say I leave that to 14 you; but again, I think we just heard a very detailed and 15 helpful discussion of how it meets the overall esthetics. 16 And I would agree that it does detract from it. 17 Next, please. 18 We're also talking about providing for housing 19 And as he said, none of the goals or 20 options. recommendations in the plan was site specific. But this 21 is the other part of another section of the Plan of 22 Conservation and Development. And increase the number 23 of -- and range of housing units in Salisbury, encourage 2.4 the development of accessory apartments in existing 25

That really has nothing do with it. 1 structures. Establish [Unintelligible] housing to preserve open 2 Again, it's not relevant. Endorsing the practice 3 space. of mix-use properties in the village center to provide 4 5 for second story apartments over existing buildings. Ι thought that was an interesting recommendation as to an 6 appropriate type of housing that would fit into village 7 centers. And encourage conversions of existing buildings 8 in the village centers to multi [Screen Froze]. Again, 9 that really didn't have any relevance. 10

Now I just want one more point here is I want 11 to stress that one of the things that I had a great deal 12 of admiration for the affordable housing plan was its 13 flexibility. And one of the points in flexibility, when 14 it selected the final group of properties, including the 15 two I just discussed and the Holley Block one, was it 16 showed mix -- minimum and maximum number of uses --17 number of units that might be appropriate on the site. Ι 18 think that's very important. 19

The goal was to have a minimum reach at least the 75 level. But the maximum actually provided more flexibility if one site proves not viable for any particular reason, then other sites could be more flexible use. So, I took a look at the recommendations of the report. I took the Holley Block out of it and

1 with the Holley Block out, the maximum number of units that's available would be 104 units. I believe Mr. Smith 2 asked me last time whether this would displace any units. 3 In fact, it wouldn't. That there is plenty of room to 4 put those 12 units within the 104 -- in the other 5 properties that have the potential for 104 units and 6 still proceed according to the plan. 7 And for that, I thank you and I'll turn it back 8 9 over. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Miller. 10 MR. CASAGRANDE: May I proceed, Mr. Chairman? 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes. And this particular 12 witness, please have a focus on the historical 13 intersects, please, if you can. 14 Well, I will do my best, MR. CASAGRANDE: 15 Mr. Chairman. I would like to turn over to Mr. Virbickas 16 at this point. I know we are about a half hour into the 17 presentation and I don't want to unduly crimp you, 18 Mr. Virbickas, but if you could try to focus on the key 19 points that you make in your presentation. 20 MR. Virbickas: Works better when I unmute. 21 Thank you, for the record, Dainius Virbickas, 22 Professional Engineer from Artel Engineering Group here 23 on behalf of the Applicant [Verbatim]. 2.4 Just to go quickly over comments that I was 25

able to put together after having received responses to and additional information supplemented by the Applicant including drainage calculations and clarifications and so on.

I just wanted to touch on several items that 5 still jump out with respect to plan preparation. 6 The section 800.3 of the Salisbury zoning regulations clearly 7 states that the plan shall be prepared -- "shall" being 8 the word -- by a Connecticut Registered Engineer. 9 Ι recognize the fact that Connecticut statutes have 10 provisions for landscape architects to do certain things 11 as does the special permit application. However, the 12 zoning regulations which were updated in 2019 13 specifically state who should prepare these plans. 14

Item No. 2, with respect to cut and fill. 15 We did just a quick analysis on the cut/fill. The Applicant 16 figures on about 187 cubic yards or there about being 17 removed and based on our calculations, I think the net 18 removal would be closer to 380 cubic yards of which 135 19 20 cubic yards alone needs to be removed just to get in the slab and the base material for the parking lot that's 21 proposed underneath the building. And I think, in all 22 fairness, perhaps a surveyor should calculate the cubic 23 yardage and just to confirm perhaps the number that was 24 issued is correct. But should firm that up and make sure 25

1 that the application was submitted correctly.

Next slide, please.

2

I'll go once again and quickly into the -- with 3 respect to the Holley Street front yard setback. 4 And although the Pocketknife Square District does allow for 5 relaxation of those -- that front yard setback, it's 6 meant to allow a street wall to be formed along the 7 street frontage. And a street wall is defined in the 8 regulations -- is a line of building facades that 9 maintain a consistent front yard setback and minimum side 10 yard setback, thus forming a wall. 11 If we look at -- if you go to slide 31, please. 12 So, slide 31 basically shows the proposed 13 Holley Place building and, of course, the building to the 14 south or on the lower portion of the screen; and one can 15 clearly see that the majority of that building that is 16 located to the south is located quite distant and in 17 compliance with the setback requirements of the 18 underlying CG-20 zone as well as the Pocketknife Square 19 District. So again, I don't think that what is being 20 proposed actually forms the street wall that the 21 regulation intends to be formed. 22 If we can go back a couple slides now to my 23 little summary. So -- next slide, please. 2.4 So, the proposed development basically is not 25

in the spirit or the word of the Pocketknife Square 1 2 Overlay District in that the overlay district 3 specifically states that the Pocketknife Square District was to promote the adaptive re-use of existing structures 4 and contextual redevelopment. To me it sounds more like 5 re-working existing buildings and perhaps putting in 6 additional units within perhaps commercial or industrial 7 buildings or perhaps putting apartments into the 8 firehouse or something like that, not so much as filling 9 in or constructing a new building where there's currently 10 a park located. 11

And my last little bit and I'll harp on it just 12 a little bit more, again, with respect to the building 13 clearances in the back of the building, based on the 14 ground elevations and the underside elevation of that 15 building, I'm -- I'm nervous, although I shouldn't be, 16 it's not my development, but I'm nervous that some of 17 these vehicles that might be entering into the site, 18 let's say, box trucks or even construction workers, some 19 20 of the utility advance, they range anywhere from utility advance from 8 feet to 9-and-a-half feet in height. Not 21 including any roof racks or ladder racks or anything they 22 might place on these vehicles. And of course our typical 23 box trucks, delivery trucks, UPS, Fed Ex and such, all 24 10-foot-6 dimensionally in height. 25

And if we can go to perhaps sheets 27, 28 and 1 These next few sheets just indicate how --2 29. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Excuse me. 3 MR. Virbickas: -- how these box trucks would 4 5 attempt --6 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Excuse me. We went through 7 this last time. MR. Virbickas: Yeah, we went through the fire 8 trucks which --9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: We went through this. 10 Ι mean, please you have limited time. 11 MR. Virbickas: You bet. Let's jump to sheets 12 32 and 33, please. 13 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. 14 MR. Virbickas: Sheets 32 and 33 just 15 superimpose a 10-foot-6 tall box truck along the Holley 16 Street frontage of the building. It clearly shows that 17 there would be definitely impact should the truck be able 18 to turn in. 19 Next sheet, please. 20 And again, this sheet indicates on a west 21 elevation what would happen if the truck continued to 22 drive further to the west and how it could not do 23 underneath the overhang of the building. And then the 24 south elevation actually shows the actual grades that the 25

designer has placed in the rear and it shows how this truck would have impact or it's impassable to a truck coming in to the site off of Holley Street. And that is the end of my presentation. Than

And that is the end of my presentation. Thank5 you.

Thank you, Mr. Virbickas. 6 MR. CASAGRANDE: The remainder of the slides are addressed to the legal 7 issues. I know in my experience with Commissions that 8 they do not want to see attorneys getting into a legal 9 wrestling match about which side is correct as a matter 10 of law. I know you have Attorney Andres, who is highly 11 competent and will give you his best advice. So, I just 12 want to go through these things very quickly because they 13 were raised at the last hearing and I think they need to 14 be addressed. 15

Slide 34. The question I think you 16 Mr. Chairman raised a question the last time about 17 whether at least two of the Intervenors apartment uses 18 might be illegal and not qualify as non-forming use. 19 And we drilled down on -- I think you were focusing on 20 20 Millerton, the RJS parcel which has two, 1-bedroom 21 apartments. They are have been there since at least 1990 22 and the Intervenors are here to testify to that if you 23 need them to do that. But that's our understanding. 2.4 12 Millerton Road is Celeste Shannon's 25

property. She has one, 4-bedroom apartment that's been
 there at least since 1977.

Our position, as I said in my letter, is the 3 Commission has no jurisdiction to determine whether a use 4 is illegal or qualifies as non-conforming. I cite to the 5 zoning regulations which designate the zoning -- the Land 6 Use Administrator, i.e., the Zoning Enforcement Officer 7 as the official responsible for enforcing the regs. 8 And Section 8-12 of the General Statutes which say: 9 Regulations shall be enforced by the officer or official 10 board or authority designated therein. That's Abby 11 Conroy. I think it's beyond dispute no enforcement 12 action has ever been taken by any zoning enforcement 13 officer against these uses for decades. 14

And turning it back to the intervention issue. 15 It's our further position that the Intervenors don't have 16 any burden in this proceeding to prove that their uses 17 are legal or not. As parties and potential appellants 18 aggrieved because of their statutory standing, they have 19 20 the right to demonstrate the adverse affects on their businesses, of the destruction of this park. And to 21 disregard -- I'm not suggesting that you will, 22 Mr. Chairman, but to disregard those concerns would 23 penalize them for exercising their first amendment rights 2.4 to speak in opposition to the application. And again, 25

I'm not suggesting that. I just want to make the record. 1 2 And lastly, under the current CG-20 regulations even if they were relevant, I think it's important to 3 note that single family dwellings are allowed as of right 4 in the zone by issuance of a zoning permit and multiple 5 family dwellings are allowed by law by special permit. 6 These units together, these three apartments, they would 7 require only three parking spaces. And under these 8 circumstances, it's just inconceivable to me and to the 9 intervenors that Abby Conroy or any Land Use 10 Administrator will even consider issuing a cease and 11 desist order against these uses which have been there for 12 decades and are owned by tax paying businesses that help 13 support this town. Or if they apply for the permits if 14 that were necessary, that those permits would be denied. 15 So, I think this issue is a red herring that the 16 Commission should not focus on. 17

And lastly, even if the Commission legally 18 could disregard the three spaces, because they think that 19 20 they may be -- these uses may be non-conforming, what does that do to our analysis of the average daily use of 21 the Bicentennial Park as testified to in the affidavits 22 we submitted the last time, we showed that the average 23 daily use of these businesses of the Bicentennial Park is 24 12 to 16 spaces per day. If you disregard those three 25

spaces, you are down to 9 to 13 spaces. That is simply
 not a material change that undercuts the demonstrated
 need of these businesses for this spaces at Bicentennial
 Park.

Next slide, please.

5

The question has been raised about whether the 6 approval of the special permit application will render 7 the nearby business uses non-conforming or more 8 non-conforming. I raised that in my initial submission. 9 I know that Attorney Smith in his April 8th letter to the 10 Commission disputes that. Again, I don't want to get 11 into a legal wrestling match and waste the Commission's 12 time. All I can say is that not only do I disagree with 13 Attorney Smith's reasoning, but also Attorney Capecelatro 14 and Attorney Grickis have both echoed our concern that 15 this will render these uses non-conforming. 16

Very briefly, the suggestion -- this is 17 regarding 24 Millerton Road. The suggestion has been 18 made or the argument's been made that that owner cannot 19 20 rely on the explicit terms of the special permit it got, I believe in 2007, requiring all employees to use 21 satellite parking. That's the terms of the special 22 permit. And those satellite parking spaces are shown on 23 the site plan as being located on Bicentennial Park. And 2.4 the argument is, well, you can't -- he can't rely on that 25

because there was no contract entered into between the town and the Applicant. That's curious at best. The Commission never insisted on such a contract. And I don't think it insisted on such a contract when it approved Mr. Churchill's application which showed satellite parking offsite.

So -- but again, let's assume for the moment 7 that Attorney Smith's position is correct -- the legal 8 position is correct. The uses will not be rendered more 9 non-conforming. The facts remain that these nearby 10 businesses will lose most of Bicentennial Park's spaces 11 they have relied on for years. We testified and you've 12 heard about the substantial negative affects that will 13 result to these businesses. And we believe that's a 14 clear basis for denial under the suitability and 15 incompatibility of use regulation standards that you must 16 consider in deciding the application. 17

18

Next slide.

19 The next issue that has come up is regarding 20 this private right of way that exists to the west of the 21 proposed building and to the east of the -- I believe 22 it's 22 Millerton -- or 20 Millerton. We've introduced 23 evidence, and this is it Exhibit 12, that shows that 22 24 Millerton Road's deed includes a deeded easement over 25 that right of way. A right of a passage back and forth 1 over that right of way.

I know that the Commission has -- several 2 Commission members and several members of the public have 3 said that they're very concerned about egress on to 4 Millerton Road, especially trucks maybe backing up on to 5 Millerton Road because of traffic safety issues that that 6 would create. I don't disagree with Attorney Smith that 7 you're not here to decide title or deeded rights to 8 property. My only point is that if you know, and it's in 9 the record that RJS Holding intends to assert its rights 10 to unobstructed passage ingress and egress -- egress and 11 ingress; and that is a serious factor that the Commission 12 is weighing in determining the safety of the project. I 13 submit that you should and must consider the prospect of 14 having this thing tied up in litigation for years when, 15 as we've shown, there are for more suitable sites in 16 areas of town that would not create this issue. 17 Next slide. 18 The next question is the Commission's 19 20 obligation to consider offsite alternatives, which I raised in my letter to Attorney Andres on April 8th and 21 again by follow-up letter to him based on Chris Smith's 22 letter of last week. Again, I agree with Attorney Smith 23 that if the Commission determines that the project is 2.4 reasonably likely to unreasonably impair the public trust 25

and the historic resources of the state, it must consider
all available, feasible and prudent alternatives
including offsite alternatives. That's the Grimes case.
The Grimes case, yes, dealt with adjacent property, but
as I'll demonstrate in a second, I don't think that's
relevant.

7 We've demonstrated that the project will 8 destroy Bicentennial Park. We've demonstrated that at 9 least two other properties in town are feasible and 10 prudent and we submit that the application must be denied 11 on that ground alone.

Now, Attorney Smith in his letter suggests that 12 if you deny the application because the town should 13 consider these offsite alternatives, that would somehow 14 deprive the town of the right to use its property in 15 violation of the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment. 16 Very quickly, as I state in my letter, that the Kuntz 17 (ph.) case, which is the U.S. Supreme Court case which he 18 relies on is simply inapposite. If nothing else, for the 19 basic reason that the Takings Clause applies only to 20 private property, not public property. The Takings 21 Clause says that no government shall take private 22 property without just compensation. 23

24 Second, the Town has no reasonable expectation 25 to be able to destroy an historic park that itself put on the National Register and which is being held in the
 public trust.

3 Third, the denial of the application would not 4 force the town to give up its right to use Bicentennial 5 Park or other town property -- or to pay money as was the 6 case in the Kuntz case. All we're staying is continue 7 the use of Bicentennial Park as it has been used for 8 decades, for open space, historic preservation and public 9 parking.

And third, last, there's no authority that 10 Attorney Smith cites which essentially forbids a 11 Commission from considering any offsite alternatives that 12 are not adjacent to the property. Again, the statute 13 says you must consider all relevant facts and 14 Is there a feasible and prudent circumstances. 15 alternative. We have introduced evidence that shows that 16 these available offsite alternatives are highly relevant 17 to that determination. 18

19 Next slide.

Lastly, I wanted to spend a minute on the status of SHPO review. It was brought up in the last hearing. I believe it was the Applicant's architect -historical architect who said that they had had an onsite meeting with SHPO staff and that they were awaiting its decision. Here are the facts. No application has been

filed with SHPO. We confirmed that with Marina Wiznowski 1 (ph.) who is the SHPO staff member that Attorney Yoon and 2 I have been in contact with. And SHPO is not issuing any 3 decision. So, here we have a suggestion by the Applicant 4 that we're simply waiting for a SHPO sign off and that's 5 simply belied by the fact that it hasn't submitted 6 anything to SHPO. And it raises substantial questions 7 about the voracity of, not only its application to this 8 Commission, but its application for funding to CHFA for 9 the predevelopment funding, which it now says that it 10 shows that there's no alternative because we've already 11 spent the money. 12

13

Next slide.

Very quickly, the Applicant's application to CHFA for predevelopment funding, which is Exhibit 17, it states all projects proposed to be assisted through DOH or CHFA, which is what this is, shall consult with SHPO prior to the initiation of activities to be funded through either agency.

20 SHPO's responsibility, as stated in the 21 application form, is to identify significant historical 22 resources and issue advisory assistance to promote 23 compatibility between the new development and 24 preservation of the State's cultural heritage. Its 25 review is in two stages: First, it assesses the proposed

1 development structures and properties to determine 2 whether or not they are listed in the National Register of Historic Places. If so -- and we know that's the 3 case here -- it is deemed historic and worthy of 4 5 protection. SHPO then goes on to evaluate the impact of the project and the property's significant materials and 6 character. Where adverse affects are identified, SHPO 7 will assist developers in identifying alternatives. 8

It goes on to state: All Applicants must 9 submit a SHPO project notification at least 60 days prior 10 to the funding application's sufficient deadline so that 11 a determination of these issues can be made early in the 12 process. And the failure of the Applicant to properly 13 comply with the submission requirements will result in 14 the removal of the application from consideration for 15 funding in the applicable funding round. 16

Those are the requirements. These are the 17 The CHFA application fails to include any SHPO facts: 18 project notification form. Because we know no such form 19 has ever been submitted. If it fails to identify the 20 property as a contributing site on the National Register. 21 It affirmatively misrepresenting the existing use to the 22 property as a currently vacant site and currently vacant 23 That's the project narrative page 1. lot. And it never 24 even refers to the property as Bicentennial Park. 25

1 And what I would like to have Attorney Yoon do 2 is, if he can pull up the page in Exhibit 17, which is the CEPA intake form. Are you able to do that? 3 This is where they ask the Applicant in the funding application 4 to say it is -- is the property eligible or is it on a 5 the National Register. 6 7 The question: Is the existing site listed or eligible to be listed on the national or state registers 8 of historic places as determined by SHPO? 9 10 Applicant's answer. No. No. Signed by the president of Housing Enterprises, Inc. The agent for the 11 Applicant. 12 I don't know any other way to characterize 13 that, Mr. Chairman, as a blatant misrepresentation of 14 facts. 15 The failure of the Applicant -- if you can go 16 to 47. 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You have two minutes left, 18 19 Mr. Casagrande. MR. CASAGRANDE: I have one page left, 20 Mr. Chairman, and then I would like to reserve time for 21 summation. I'm not sure when you want to do that, but --22 The Applicant's failure to identify the 23 property as historic resource on the National Register 24 and its misidentification of it as a vacant lot are 25

1 material false statements. And the application should be 2 pointed out those statements and the forms were signed 3 under penalty of perjury, see application page 11. These false and misleading statements to a state agency 4 warrants CHFA's recission of the funding approval. 5 The Applicant -- these facts demonstrate that the Applicant 6 received these funds under false pretenses and prevented 7 use of the monies -- public monies for better project. 8

I'm not here to question the motives. 9 I'm not saying that this was intentional, reckless, negligent. 10 I'm saying it's a fact. They misstated the entire 11 purpose of this property on their funding application 12 That not only undermines their ability to rely on 13 form. those funds, and we'll be asking CHFA to take action on 14 that, but we submit it directly undermines it's 15 credibility before this Commission and are grounds for 16 denial of the special permit application. We ask that 17 the Commission deny the application and tell the 18 Applicant to come back after it re-submits it's CHFA 19 funding application, including proof of the proper notice 20 and not -- if and when such new application is approved. 21 For those reasons, Mr. Chairman, we 22 respectfully request the Commission to deny the special 23 permit application and I just would like to reserve two 24 minutes for quick summation. Thank you. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. 2 Okay. Questions from the Commission. I'll start with Commissioner Riva. You have to 3 4 unmute, Bob. 5 MR. RIVA: Michael, not ready yet. If you can go on to someone else if you will, please, for me and 6 7 then --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'll get back to you, Bob. 8 MR. RIVA: -- put all my thoughts together. 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Let's ask which 10 commissioners are ready to ask questions. Okay. 11 Ms. Shyer? 12 MS. SHYER: Yes, thank you, Chairman. 13 I have a question for Ms. Carley, please. 14 You referenced the chimneys on this proposed -- second 15 proposal. Would you think this building would be better 16 without the chimneys or with the chimneys, please? 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Ms. Carley, you were asked a 18 19 question. MS. CARLEY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that it 20 was being asked to me. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, directed to you about 22 whether the building was better with or without chimneys? 23 MS. CARLEY: I can't answer -- I can't answer 2.4 that. I'm not prepared to say whether it's going to be 25

better with or without something. It's not my role. 1 What I'm saying is, as proposed, it does not meet the 2 requirements that were set out in the two provisions with 3 or without the chimneys. I'm not prepared to take it 4 5 apart in that way. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I understand what your 6 saying. 7 MS. CARLEY: The chimneys are tall. 8 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Any additional questions, 9 Ms. Shyer? 10 MS. SHYER: No, not at the moment. Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Which commissioner has --12 is --13 MR. HIGGINS: I have a couple, Michael. Ι 14 don't know if Allen is back or not. 15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I don't believe Allen is 16 here tonight. 17 MR. HIGGINS: Just a couple questions also of 18 Ms. Carley. You talked about the south view in your 19 20 comments. Your report was very interesting, by the way, and very informative. But when you talked about the 21 south view, you talked about the bulk of that. I was 22 just curious whether it's just the overall size when you 23 refer to the bulk or whether if it was a little bit 2.4 differently designed or had a different architectural 25

1 feel to it whether it would be more appropriate with your National Historic District review. 2 Is [Unintelligible]. 3 And the other one was on the lighting. You talked about the lighting for the parking lot on the side 4 5 of the building that was commercial or perhaps maybe even residential, but was not appropriate for the historic 6 district nature. I'm just wondering if, in your 7 experience, if you had lighting fixtures that would be 8 appropriate that couldn't be replaced with these 9 fixtures? 10 MS. CARLEY: Well, yes. The first question I 11 am -- when I say bulk, I mean, really massing. 12 And the massing of that building, the impression of it, it's very 13 dense. So, you --14 Regardless of design, you're MR. HIGGINS: 15 saying it would have an adverse affect? 16 MS. CARLEY: Yeah, it's the density of it. I'm 17 looking at the height, bulk and design are the three 18 qualifications that your building code -- your P&Z 19 requirements, it says, shall be of height, bulk and 20 design that compliments existing character of the 21 neighborhood and the community. So, I would say that 22 even though it's maybe as high as a building next to it 23 or a little higher or a little lower, its overall bulk, I 24 would argue, is way bigger. That impression you would 25

have. It's not just the measurements. You can add
 everything up.
 It's what you see as an individual, as citizen

A who -- when I went back to just try to walk around as a person the other day, there were two or three tourists actually walking around coming down the hill and walking around the Holley Manufacture building. And he was thinking what would they see if they stood and looked up there. They would see bulk and they would see what you see in that drawing.

So, what were you asking. Would it change. I
just think that --

MR. HIGGINS: I was wondering if it was designed differently or just the mere fact of the height. Because when we view the height restriction in the zoning regulations we're talking about the entire perimeter of the property, not just --

MS. CARLEY: It's not just the height. It's the overall impression and the fact that it goes -- which is the way I tried to show the way other buildings are sighted and the way the Federal buildings are sighted. It is an infill building. It will fill up pretty much east to west that park. So... MR. HIGGINS: Which is what the original

25 building was.

1 MS. CARLEY: However, that is not --2 MR. HIGGINS: The other question is on the lighting. Would you have any recommendations for the 3 light fixtures. 4 5 MS. CARLEY: You know, there are plenty of alternatives, there is. 6 7 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. MS. CARLEY: Yeah. 8 9 MR. HIGGINS: So, if there was an alternative, 10 that would be acceptable to you? MS. CARLEY: I'm not accepting or reject. 11 That is not my role. 12 MR. HIGGINS: I see. 13 MS. CARLEY: Can I make a point. 14 15 MR. HIGGINS: It sounded that way, that's why 16 I --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Can I --17 MS. CARLEY: May I --18 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Can I guide this 20 conversation? As I understand, Ms. Carley, is we're asking questions about design of a building that she has 21 testified that should not be there in any way, shape or 22 form. 23 2.4 Is that correct; Ms. Carley? MS. CARLEY: I would say a multi -- yes, I 25

would say a park -- first of all, you want to protect 1 that park; and another problem within the condition 2 required by the gift of the Federal Colonial -- of being 3 in keeping with the Federal Colonial image of the town is 4 typology, which is that a multi-family building is not 5 going to do that because you just don't have that as a 6 precedent in your Federal Colonial heritage. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: But you testified actually 8 that the park, the green park, the wall, the parking lot 9 are unit and they can't be built on. Wasn't that your 10 position. 11 MS. CARLEY: My position is that you -- that 12 you would want to, first and foremost, protect that 13 resource. 14 However, I was also asked one does not exclude 15 the other. I was asked to evaluate that existing 16 building and I'm trying to draw -- to do that. So, I 17 don't think one excludes the other. 18 Interesting. 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Point well taken. I'm sorry then I misunderstood part of your 20 testimony. 21 Jon, do you want to continue? 22 MR. CASAGRANDE: Mr. Chairman, can I interrupt 23 for a second. 2.4 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: No, you cannot. 25

MR. CASAGRANDE: Well, she was trying to follow 1 2 up on a question and she was cut off. I think she's 3 entitled to clarify. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think she --4 5 MR. CASAGRANDE: No, she was asked a question about whether her position was that the sheer bulk of the 6 building was a problem and I believe Mr. Higgins said 7 yes, just like the original building and Ms. Carley 8 started to answer and then he cut her off. I think she 9 should have an opportunity to clarify that. 10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sure, go right ahead. 11 MS. CARLEY: Yeah, I would like to say 12 something about that; because the original building was a 13 Vic -- first of all, has been gone for over half a 14 century. It's not really part of -- it's in my part not 15 part of this discussion. It's a Victorian -- it was a 16 Victorian building. It would never -- it was built 17 before zoning regulations were ever instituted. So, if 18 you tried to build that building today, it wouldn't make 19 It --20 it. MR. HIGGINS: I'm not disagreeing with that. Ι 21 was just pointing out that there was a building there 22 that encompassed the entire foundation. 23 24 MS. CARLEY: Right, right. MR. HIGGINS: I wasn't commenting for or 25

1 against or anything like that. Just the fact that the 2 whole area was --MS. CARLEY: You did, but --3 MR. HIGGINS: Whether it was approved or --4 5 MS. CARLEY: It doesn't any more. MR. HIGGINS: -- aesthetically pleasing or 6 7 not. MS. CARLEY: What's being proposed now is an 8 infill building which is an entirely different thing. 9 MR. HIGGINS: Understood. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Anything more, Jon? Jon, 11 anything more? No, I guess not. 12 MR. HIGGINS: Not at this point, Michael. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Who's ready next? No other 15 Commissioner has questions at this point? 16 DR. SCHIFFER: Michael, I have one question. 17 Α very minor question: What does CHFA stand for? 18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: CHFA? CHFA? 19 20 DR. SCHIFFER: Yes. MR. CASAGRANDE: Connecticut Housing Finance 21 Authority, Mr. Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Any other Commissioner have 23 questions? 24 I guess I'm going to get to ask my questions. 25

1 Attorney Casagrande, you brought up that application to CHFA, I believe it was to CHFA where the 2 Applicant said it wasn't an historical area. [Audio Cut 3 Out] Then you said we don't know if it was intentionally 4 left out or not. I don't understand that. If you have 5 an application and you affirmatively sign that it's not 6 in an historic district, how -- what was all that about 7 unintentional? I don't see how it can be. I'm --8

MR. CASAGRANDE: Well, Mr. Chairman, I couldn't 9 agree with you more. I was basically trying to be 10 gracious. I was not trying to attribute a nefarious 11 motive, but as you point out, it's a gross 12 misrepresentation of fact and one reasonably could 13 conclude, as I believe you are, that it was intentional. 14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm just asking for 15 clarification. I'm just puzzled by, I guess you were 16 trying to be gracious. We'll leave it at that. 17

Okay, Ms. Carley, we are pleased that you came 18 today because you really have very great knowledge of 19 many things here. As I understand your testimony, the 20 three pieces of Bicentennial Park, there is the wall, 21 there is the green and there is the parking lot. Are any 22 of those pieces more or less important to you or is the 23 whole thing important as a unit? 2.4 MS. CARLEY: It's all of a piece. I didn't 25

It's defined in the Historic 1 discuss it as pieces. District in the nomination with a specific boundary that 2 includes the entire park. The park is called -- it was 3 called when it was designed a parking park, which is kind 4 of a neat, unusual idea of the time that included 5 greenery and parking specifically. So, the parking and 6 the lawn and the planting and the walls were all part of 7 an integral design. 8

9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: As I understand your 10 testimony, nothing should be built on that site.

MS. CARLEY: No, I would say -- my testimony is 11 that it is a historic resource that the -- that is 12 preserved as part of the National Register District and 13 that -- I would actually -- I think the park is very 14 important to preserve; but as an historian, I would look 15 at each case, case by case, if another proposal were to 16 come before me. Obviously, when those -- when the donors 17 who made it possible for the town to provide that 18 property envisioned that possibly something would be 19 built; but --20 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Ms. Carley, I'm not asking 21 you to interpret. 22 May I answer? 23 MS. CARLEY: CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm asking you as an 2.4 historian, as a specialist, not -- I don't need the deed 25

interpreted. I want your opinion as the noted and 1 respected historian. Not -- don't venture into the deed. 2 3 MS. CARLEY: I am trying to answer your question. So, I can only answer it in the context of 4 what the situation is. So, I'm not sure how else I can 5 answer it. 6 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I don't either. I'm totally puzzled by your testimony. 8 MS. CARLEY: So, let me try to do that if I 9 10 may. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sure. 11 MS. CARLEY: Okay. I'll continue. There is a 12 possibility something could be built there. 13 That is -that was part of the gift, I think. But -- so the reason 14 I brought that up is I think that one could then look at 15 each -- any proposal one at a time. That would be my 16 responsible approach as an historian. If somebody came 17 to me and said look, another idea has come forward, then 18 I would evaluate that. But right now, I'm saying the 19 20 park is a valuable resource. It is the town's responsibility to seriously consider its preservation. 21 It is not a vacant lot. It is not a building site. It 22 is part and parcel of this historic district which has 23 And that is an important part of the town that value. 2.4 has been set aside. And it is not an empty lot within 25

1 that district.

2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, you do feel that 3 something could be built there, just not this particular 4 design?

5 MS. CARLEY: I am saying that each -- any project should be evaluated one at a time and I've done 6 my best to evaluate this one within the -- looking 7 carefully at the two provisions under which I evaluated 8 Which are the building -- the zoning regulations and 9 it. the stipulation of keeping with the Colonial and Federal 10 heritage of the town. So -- I'm not saying that 11 something else might not be work. But I can only look at 12 the one project that I'm looking at right now. 13

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Point well taken. I'm not 14 going to pursue this any further. I mean, could you --15 well I am. If I asked you what are the five most 16 egregious things on this building that have pushed it 17 into a non-starter for you, what would you say they are? 18 MS. CARLEY: Well, I wouldn't go at it that 19 That's unfair to the proposers. What I would 20 wav. say -- and I thought about it very carefully. It's not 21 complimentary to the Federal and Colonial heritage of the 22 town, mainly for these reasons. Its size, bulk and type, 23 its massing and density in relationship to the size -- to 24 the site, itself, the park and its surroundings. 25 And

that's taking into consideration this Colonial context, not the greater Victorian one. And the south and east elevations are a real concern. That the where you pull back and get the distance.

And its materials. I am concerned about the 5 materials. I will admit I'm not fully aware what the 6 materials are going to be. I just don't feel like they 7 have been fully specified. "Typical" does not tell me 8 what they are going to be. So, if you have a large, 9 large building with a massive roof area and it's got a 10 lot of synthetic materials like composites, vinyl windows 11 or vinyl window dividers and vinyl or composite doors, I 12 don't -- and it has been specified that it will have 13 brick facing rather than real brick and that kind of 14 thing, you have [Audio Froze] so much surface area with 15 those kinds of materials, if that's what they're going to 16 be, it will make a real impact in terms of being in 17 keeping with those historic Federal buildings in the 18 19 area.

If I can be contradicted and say no, no those aren't -- we are using authentic historic materials, then that would be great.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Understood. Thank you.
You've been extremely helpful, helping me understand. I
apologize if I cut you off. I'm just trying to get to

facts and you did actually illuminate them for me and I 1 2 thank you very much. 3 I have only one other question. I have another question. 4 MS. ALLEE: CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Can I finish mine and 5 then -- Go ahead, Debra. 6 7 MS. ALLEE: I have a question of Ms. Carley. Taking everything, I'm thinking of the drawing of the 8 back of the building that you showed us and all the other 9 things that were around it. What I would like to know is 10 if this building were built as proposed, would it have 11 such a significant impact on the historic district as to 12 undermine its historicness or would it still be an 13 historic district? 14 I worry that it would. MS. CARLEY: Aqain, I 15 think you need -- you definitely need to know what all 16 the materials are going to be. But I think that that 17 roof line and that height of over 40 feet from that south 18 side and the infill, the way it fills that whole park, 19 20 would. That, again, is -- then you have on top of that -- and introducing more concrete around it and, yes, 21 I do. I do think you will. 22 MS. ALLEE: But I would still have the 23 buildings that made the cannon balls in the revolution. 2.4 You would still have all those other buildings that make 25

up the historic district. That's why I'm asking the 1 2 question. MS. CARLEY: You would impact the character of 3 the district. You would be losing a piece of it's -- a 4 part that's considered a contributing resource to it if 5 the with the walls of that building. You can argue 6 either way, but that is my opinion. 7 MS. ALLEE: Okay. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, in fact, we're talking 9 about two types of impairment really. We started this 10 discussion, at least I thought with the height of the --11 MS. CARLEY: I might have lost the -- Can you 12 hear me? 13 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, I hear you. 14 MS. CARLEY: Okay. So, it's going in and out a 15 little bit. 16 Yeah, open space, size and then, you know, 17 there's that Yin and Yang, you're also losing the park. 18 So -- And the walls. 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm afraid that question I 20 was asking was not that. My question here is the 21 intervention for the unreasonable harm. Is the 22 intervention focused solely on the loss of the park or is 23 it now focused or is it focused, and maybe we'll let the 2.4 lawyers fight this one out, is it focused on the 25

irreparable harm to the historic district as a whole? 1 MS. CARLEY: Well, both things would happen. 2 Ι 3 mean, the park would be part of that. The former would be part of the latter. 4 5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. That's a very helpful 6 perspective. Thank you. 7 Any other members of the Commission on that one question about the RJS easement? Any other commissioners 8 with questions? 9 Michael, I just want to go back 10 MR. HIGGINS: to Ms. Carley again, to your point and Debra's. Because 11 when you first started your presentation, you were 12 talking about the open space context of the district and 13 the importance of that as it was designated that National 14 Register historical district. So, I'm further confused 15 by Michael's question and your answer as to whether you 16 thought it was more important to keep it as open space 17 or -- and keep -- preserve the National Historic District 18 or if you build something on it that met the Federal 19 20 design or architectural design criteria, the open space context would be lost or would be not as concerning? 21 MS. CARLEY: Well, first of all, there's no 22 Federal criteria. But it's all part of -- I'm not -- in 23 my mind one is not extracted from the other. They're --24 you have a park, you want to consider all of these 25

#### ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES (860) 693-8557

63

things. You want to -- you have an historic resource that's been designated as such. So, first of all, you potentially are going to lose that. If you do, you are making an impact on an historic resource that is also a contributing piece of open space. Normally, that isn't always the case. But in this case it is. Because often you're talking about a structure.

8 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate 9 the clarification. That was it, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr. Higgins.
 Anybody else.

May I ask, Attorney Casagrande, you were quite clear that RJS is ready to tie up this project for years in the courts over their easement. Can you tell me having made that bold assertion, can you tell me how this project is going to affect their easement? Because I don't quite see it?

MR. CASAGRANDE: To the extent that the 18 Commission decides to condition an approval on limiting 19 the rights of egress and ingress through that right of 20 way to only ingress, which is, as I understand, the 21 concern that several commissioners and members of the 22 public had, that, in and of itself, interferes with the 23 easement. So, that -- the easement is for passage and 24 repassage. If the Commission decides to impose a 25

1 condition and says no, you can only come in but you can't go out on Millerton, that's what Mr. Schaefer, RJS 2 Holdings is concerned about. And I don't want to -- he's 3 not said to me I'm ready to tie this thing up in 4 5 litigation for years. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Right. That's what you 6 7 said --MR. CASAGRANDE: I said there's --8 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: As a matter of fact, no more than three times in your discussion have you raised the 10 specter of litigation. 11 MR. CASAGRANDE: That's true. It is a specter. 12 13 All I'm saying is he intends to avail himself of all available remedies in law and equity to protect his 14 15 rights. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: And --16 MR. CASAGRANDE: Depends on what the 17 Commission's final decision is as to whether those rights 18 are going to be interfered with. 19 20 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: And does the easement -- who owns the property that this easement goes over? 21 MR. CASAGRANDE: I don't know the answer to 22 That's not clear to me. All I know is that he's 23 that. got the deeded access over that right of way as part of 24 his title to the adjoining parcel. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: And does that deed, which 2 I'm sure you've looked at, specify that it's for passage 3 both ways? MR. CASAGRANDE: It just says right of way. 4 But under case law that I'm familiar with, right of way 5 includes the right to pass and repass. 6 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Thank you. I'm sure -- we have two attorneys here that -- one will talk 8 to us afterwards. I'm sure Mr. Smith will have his 9 10 opinion on that. Any other Commission questions? 11 I'm now going to turn it over to Attorney Smith 12 to ask questions on an hour, for his experts ask 13 questions of the witnesses. 14 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, for the record, Chris 15 I have no questions at this time. Thank you. 16 Smith. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Very good. 17 Thank you. Now we move to the Applicant. Presentation of 18 new materials and rebuttal of anything so far that has 19 been discussed. It is now 7:21. You have until 8:21. 20 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, first and foremost, I 21 would ask for a little reprieve and have a break. 22 Hopefully that won't go into our time. 23 24 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Fine. MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. It's my 25

1 understanding I thought that the -- you were going to hear from the rest of the public and then we would just 2 do our rebuttal at the end. I think I heard Attorney 3 Casagrande say he would like to make some closing 4 5 comments. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I understand that. 6 MR. SMITH: I just as soon do that now. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Do you have anything to 8 9 present. MR. SMITH: We have our rebuttal, Mr. Chairman, 10 11 yes. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Before we break for a break, 12 which I think is fine and a good idea --13 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Is your response strictly 15 like summation and rebuttal or are you going to discuss 16 new information? 17 MR. SMITH: It's the -- we will be 18 discussing -- well, we're going to be rebutting the 19 20 comments that we have heard from the experts, the consultants from the Intervenors. And just, if you would 21 like, we can -- and we plan on just presenting those 22 items that we were asked to come forward with. 23 For example, the stormwater report. Everything else will be 24 in rebuttal to what we just heard. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What I suggest, Attorney 2 Smith, is that you limit your presentation to those new items you would like to discuss, then we will go to the 3 public and then we will get to summations both you and 4 5 Attorney Casagrande. MR. SMITH: So, Mr. Chairman, I'm little 6 confused. When do we do our rebuttal? Like, we just 7 heard testimony --8 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You can do it at your summation, you can do it -- you can do it -- you could--10 You get the last word. 11 MR. SMITH: Well, I just would like to ensure 12 that we have a coherent rebuttal for the Commission. 13 And if everybody has said what they are going to say, then we 14 can respond and that's the purpose of rebuttal. If we do 15 a rebuttal now and then there's additional information 16 from the public or Attorney Casagrande, then we have to 17 do another rebuttal and we just could be here for a 18 I have just -- typically, I mean, you -- you're 19 while. familiar with this, typically, I just -- I would like to 20 do it one concise rebuttal. This is what we were told to 21 do, these are the comments that were brought up and 22 here's our responses, questions from --23 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Smith, let me 24 suggest this: You will quickly present your new 25

68

information, introduce it, we will go to the public and 1 2 you can do your rebuttal after Attorney Casagrande does his summation. 3 MR. SMITH: That makes sense. Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: And now we are going to 6 7 adjourn until 7:30. You have six minutes. Is that enough everyone? 8 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You're welcome, Attorney. (Whereby there was a brief recess.) 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. We're back. 12 Everybody here? Attorney Smith is not yet. Yes, 13 Attorney Smith is here. 14 15 MR. SMITH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So why don't you begin by 16 just going through your new materials. 17 MR. SMITH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 18 I do believe we did submit a Power Point 19 presentation yesterday we did provide a copy of that to 20 Attorney Casagrande. And I think what we would like to 21 do, Mr. Chairman, for you and the Commission, is to go 22 through the first portion of that addressing the new 23 submissions. I will address my legal in my rebuttal. 24 But I don't know if we can do -- Jocelyn, I believe 25

Jocelyn is here. I don't know if we can do a screen 1 share or Abby if the Power Point is available? 2 3 MS. CONROY: Yes. Jocelyn should be able to do a screen share. But I can pull it up through the website 4 5 if that would help. MR. SMITH: She might not be back from break 6 7 either. MS. CONROY: That's fine. Let me pull it up 8 here. 9 10 MR. SMITH: Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, if we can just wait a minute. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Sure. 12 Attorney Smith, why do we not waste time. 13 Is there something else you can --14 I think Ms. Conroy indicated she can pull up 15 our Power Point. Oh, I see Jocelyn is here right now. 16 Shall we get started? MS. AYER: 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please. 18 MS. AYER: Thank you. I think we just wanted 19 20 to quickly summarize some of the other materials that we did submit last Thursday in reference to this 21 application, including the stormwater management report, 22 additional site plan submissions, a point by point 23 response to Mr. Virbickas, as well as a detailed legal 24 response to the issues that Chairman Klemens had asked 25

1 our attorney to address. And then a couple other letters 2 including the Water Pollution Control Authority letter. 3 So, I think with that, Jon, you were going to summarize the stormwater management report briefly. 4 5 MR. TUNSKY: Yes. Jon Tunsky, todesign, landscape architect, 114 West Main Street New Britain, 6 Connecticut. 7

So, as submitted, we submitted the stormwater 8 management report prepared by Benesch Engineers. 9 The project was designed with the guides and direction from 10 the Connecticut DEEP 2014 Connecticut Storm Quality 11 Manual. The treatment onsite includes parking lot 12 sweeping, catch basins with sumps as well as the added 13 hydrodynamic separator and that is going to replace the 14 existing catch basin on the southeast corner on the site. 15 I will show that to you relatively soon. 16

Next slide, please.

17

Also, part of the stormwater management report, all stormwater is collected, directed to the catch basins with sumps, treated with the hydrodynamic separator and discharged into the municipal stormwater system, which is the same as what is happening now. However, currently there is no treatment being provided.

As seen in the stormwater report, minimal increases in peak flows, whether with 86 percent or 68.9 1 percent impervious coverage. We did have the civil engineer run peak flows for the 69 percent impervious 2 3 coverage; and peak flows do increase, but it's only 0.03 to 0.05 cubic feet per second. In his words, this is a 4 minimal effect. And the reason for the -- the reason 5 that the imperviousness doesn't matter or doesn't make 6 such a difference is that the soils on site are class D. 7 These are existing -- this is an existing site. 8 It's compacted and the soils on site have low infiltration. 9

10 The design is consistent with the Connecticut 11 DEEP stormwater quality manual and also no infiltration 12 is provided on site; therefore, there would be no adverse 13 impact to the aquifer. The aquifer protection area or 14 underground water resources.

15 Next slide, please.

So the next slides are the plans that were 16 submitted by me earlier. The blue arrows represent the 17 additional roof leader piping connections that were 18 The red arrows are pointing to the minor 19 requested. 20 grade changes that were made to meet the town zoning requirements. The red arrow on the right that is 21 pointing to the new hydrodynamic separator, which is in 22 the existing catch basin location. Highlighted in yellow 23 is the new fire service and standpipe location. 24 Next slide, please. 25

1 The layout plan. This plan incorporates some 2 of the changes we previously submitted including the fire 3 lanes. We have removed the two signs that were at the 4 parking lot designated those as tenant only. So, those 5 have been removed. This slide addresses also some of the 6 previous images in the Intervenor's presentation about 7 the front yard along Holley Street.

So, on the layout plan you can see our survey 8 is locating that yellow arrow points to the corner of the 9 building. So over to the right in the aerial, that 10 yellow arrow is representing that same point. 11 However, the Lakeville Interiors building juts out further towards 12 Holley Street creating that street wall. So, I 13 demonstrated that street wall with this red line 14 following the Lakeville Interiors building moving into 15 our site. You can tell our proposal is in line with --16 it matches the straight line or the Lakeville Interiors 17 building. 18

We will -- any concrete walls on site, we are willing to add stone as yet existing on site to face those walls, so there will be no exposed concrete. Next slide, please. And then also brought up by the Commission a suggestion of adding some plantings here along the

25 southern property line. So, we added the 37 upright

Junipers, which is really the most we could fit in there based on the parking layout. So, those will add a nice buffer between our property and the Lakeville Interiors property.

One other comment that came up I would like to 5 address regarding the lighting selection. So, the two 6 lights that are on our -- the lighting of our property, 7 those are full cut off fixtures, which is what the zoning 8 requirements require for this site. I'm not sure what 9 could be more historic, but those are what meet the 10 zoning requirements. There's a lot of historic fixtures 11 out there that have up lighting, which will be against 12 your zoning regulations. These are very small fixtures 13 They measure about 24 inches by 14 inches and in size. 14 it's on a 14 inch -- 4 inch diameter pole. 15 So the intention that these are going to disappear in the 16 landscape. They are not going to be visible. 17

Also, one of your requirements is to limit lighting passing the property line. So, with these lights, it allows you to direct the lighting better to direct it in towards our parcel and not on to the neighbor's property.

23 Next slide, please.

24And we provided our responses to the Virbickas25report. We provided the stormwater management report.

The special permit for excavation is not required. 1 Ι prepared a cut and fill analysis and determined 187 cubic 2 yards of material will be removed from the premises. Our 3 proposed site design complies with Section 405-6(a)2 of 4 the zoning regulations, including the Holley Street 5 setback and the application of materials submitted comply 6 with town of Salisbury's Pocketknife Square Overlay 7 District regulations. 8 Next slide. 9 And with that, I'll turn it over to Rocco. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. PETITTO: This slide starts the rebuttal so 12 we'll wait for that. 13 MR. SMITH: I think Rocco, do you want to --14 I'm sorry, do you want to address this now or wait? 15 MR. PETITTO: I can do either way. I just 16 thought that was part of where the rebuttal started. 17 MR. SMITH: Okay. If we could wait, 18 Mr. Chairman, that really addresses our new information 19 that was submitted. Except my legal letter but I was 20 going to address that in rebuttal. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes. Please wait for the 22 rebuttal. 23 24 MR. SMITH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I do have just a couple 25

questions. I don't know if other Commissioners have questions of the Applicant. My first question is Mr. Virbickas put a pretty compelling graphic of a truck in blue hitting things that -- are we creating a hazard with the over hang and the edge as and the corners?

6 MR. PETITTO: I'll take that. This is Rocco 7 Petitto, I'm the project architect for QA+M Architecture, 8 Farmington, Connecticut.

The intent of the building and the way it's 9 supposed to function is that the public access is through 10 the front. So, any delivery vehicles, any moving 11 vehicles will access the building from Millerton Road. 12 The entrance and the parking area building access is only 13 for residents. So, the clearance of 9 feet until you hit 14 the middle drive is substantial enough for most ambulance 15 and emergency vehicles to access the building from the 16 And it's higher than most drive-throughs you have 17 back. at McDonald's or other places. So, we do not feel we're 18 endangering vehicular access as proposed in this building 19 20 for residents. And the public access for the building, as I said, is on Millerton. So, there should not be big 21 box trucks and things in the parking lot under the 22 building. 23 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, you're saying now that 2.4

24 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: SO, you're saying now that 25 when people move in, there's going to be a moving truck

1 parked on Millerton Road?

2 MR. PETITTO: That or they can make 3 arrangements to be taking up part of the parking area 4 while they're moving in.

5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. And you did see the letter from the ambulance -- I can't think of her name 6 now. I should. That's terrible. Rice, I think. 7 Did you -- are you going to make that elevator sized 8 appropriately to bring a stretcher in and people that 9 could attend to someone being taken out of the building? 10 MR. PETITTO: Yes. These buildings, elevators 11 are always designed to accommodate a gurney and emergency 12 13 personnel.

14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.

Now a question for Mr. McCoy: How can you 15 designate something on the register as a park when, in 16 fact, IT'S gifted on the record as a building lot? 17 MR. McCOY: Well, the National Register 18 description when the application was made to have the 19 area put on the National Register, the parking lot wasn't 20 as big then as it is now. The parking lot was expanded 21 shortly thereafter. But really, the contributing feature 22 that is most prevalent on the National Register 23 nomination is THE -- the one they mention specifically is 2.4 the wall, the old retaining wall of the Holley Block 25

1 foundation.

2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What I'm saying is more fundamental. You have a deed, you have a building that's 3 demolished. There is a deed of gift which contemplates 4 5 another building being built there. How does it get on -- how can you put something on the National Register 6 which is a designated building lot. 7 MR. McCOY: There's no problem with 8 construction in a National Register District. You just 9 to have review it with SHPO. So, in fact, the Secretary 10 of the Interior has very specific guidelines about new 11 construction, even additions to buildings that are on the 12 National Register. So, yes, that's not a conflict 13 between being in the Register and also a building lot. 14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, in your experience, you 15 see other areas that are designated to be built on being 16 included in a register district? 17 MR. McCOY: Yes. Yeah. Or additions to 18 19 buildings that exist in historic districts, yes. 20 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's informative. So --21 MR. McCOY: If I can give an example. 22 Collinsville, Connecticut, where I was the chairman of 23 the Historic District Commission is presently reviewing 2.4 several applications for new construction within the 25

historic district. Generally, it's lots that were 1 2 subdivided from an existing lot. But it's not uncommon. 3 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Basically, infill development? 4 5 MR. McCOY: Correct. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So, there was someone, I 6 don't know attorney -- sorry. I scared my dog. I don't 7 know if this is something that Attorney Smith is going to 8 deal with or something, but there was some pretty serious 9 allegations raised by the Intervenor concerning the SHPO 10 consultation. 11 MR. McCOY: Yeah, I could address that one. 12 Yeah, Marina Wiznowski and I had a collegial relationship 13 for many years and collaborated on buildings within 14 historic districts and renovations to existing historic 15 structures. I had asked her for her opinion about the --16 about the wall on the site. To my knowledge, there was 17 no Intervenor involved at that point. I could be wrong 18 about that. But it was strictly a hello, Marina, we've 19 been asked to look at designing a building out in 20 Lakeville, it's in the National Register and there's a 21 wall that is sighted in the National Register 22 application. She said for me to really evaluate how 23 important this issue is, I would need to see it. Can you 2.4 meet me at the site. And I said yes. Well, that got 25

1 delayed by about six weeks because of snow fall,
2 repeating snow storms; and so by the time we finally did
3 meet out at the site, the Intervenor petition had been
4 filed.

5 So, our attorney, Chris Smith, recommended that 6 we not have a meeting. That it was strictly just to 7 point this is the wall that I referred to and then zip my 8 lip. Which is what I did. So, it wasn't really a 9 meeting.

Also, related to SHPO the notion of the project 10 notification form, unfortunately SHPO can't accept that 11 form until the funding source has been completely 12 identified. She told me it can't just say CHFA, it has 13 to be the specific source because it kicks in different 14 levels of review. So, she was not willing to accept the 15 official notification form, but she did accept a draft of 16 it with just the funding source being left blank and said 17 she would, as SHPO often does, provide sort of guidance 18 for architects and designers, you know, along the way to 19 get, -- you know, so you don't go too far afield. 20

However, once she sensed that there was a great deal of controversy about the site and that she was being sort of peppered with calls and requests for opinions, she decided that she would wait until the official project notification form could be filed with the

official funding source designated before she would do
 any further review.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, to answer your 3 question with Attorney Casagrande's claim that there was 4 some sort of false representation made with a filing with 5 CHFA, then I think that's an issue between CHFA and the 6 Applicant. And that's something that we certainly will 7 look into with our consultant who might have filed that; 8 but that has nothing to do with this application any more 9 than a SHPO review, which is not applicable. It's almost 10 like having to do an Army Corps permitting process after 11 you go through the local approvals. So, I would 12 respectfully submit, like many of these other issues that 13 have been brought up under the threat of private 14 litigation with either my client or the town on easements 15 or whatever it might be, that's just inappropriate for 16 the special permit application that's pending before the 17 Commission. 18

19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Correct.

Attorney Smith, we are looking at the historical resources which are the subject of the intervention, and I think these things don't look -- I realize you think they are not within our jurisdiction, but actually to me it speaks to how this process has been handled.

Now, Mr. McCoy just basically explained at 1 least part of it that there was, I understand, the minute 2 there's controversy regulators like SHPO or DEEP tend to 3 pull back and wait and see. That clarified that for me. 4 5 I still have questions and I realize you may not think it's my right to ask, but it does speak to credibility 6 when there's a form that is filled out and obviously 7 since that says it's not a historic district but it is. 8 So that --9

I'll let Mr. McCoy respond to that. 10 MR. SMITH: MR. McCOY: The thing I would add about that 11 and that is just this week I was in contact with Jenny 12 Schofield at SHPO. She's -- well Jenny is the National 13 Register coordinator for the State at SHPO. And I said, 14 you know, when I read the designation of the historic --15 the National Register historic application, it defines 16 the National Register as being bounded by Holley, Allen, 17 Sharon and Millerton. Just that one block. And I said 18 but then specific buildings are cited in the application 19 20 that are outside of that area. I said, like the wall and the Holley-Williams house. And she said that's -- she 21 replied, she said that's quite common actually that 22 they'll identify specific buildings in addition to the 23 So, I could see where someone, particularly a 24 area. non-professional who hasn't had a lot of time working 25

with historic districts and SHPO might say oh, the 1 application says it's this block, and this block only. 2 We're on that block, we are not in the district. 3 I can see that as being an honest mistake. 4 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, Chris Smith for the 5 record, I would just like to clarify that the property is 6 not in a historic district under 4-147a under the 7 Historic District Statutes. It was not located in that. 8 We are talking about a National Register. 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I just have to apologize, 10 you may think I'm not looking at you, but I have my 11 laptop with just the camera and a big screen in front of 12 me. So, it always looks like I'm obliquely avoiding 13 looking at -- I'm not looking at the camera. 14 MR. McCOY: Understood. 15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Any other members of 16 the Commission have questions? 17 MS. SHYER: Yes, I do. I'm sorry I never came 18 across a hydrodynamic separator before. It sounds noisy 19 20 but I assume it's not. MR. TUNSKY: Jon Tunsky here. No, it is not 21 noisy. It is below grade and it just uses the natural 22 flow of the water to circulate out sediments that 23 wouldn't normally be caught by a catch basin. 2.4 MS. SHYER: 25 Thank you.

And a second question for you, Mr. Tunsky, you 1 just mentioned on the street or on the Holley side in 2 reference to the volume of concrete that there could be 3 an opportunity to use stones there. Now that, to me, is 4 a very exciting suggestion because of some of the 5 marvelous stones that are on the wall at the moment that 6 face in towards the car park including that kind of half 7 sun dial. I mean, is it possible there's an opportunity 8 there, cost effectively, to use some of that historic 9 material that's facing out to Holley Street and achieve 10 two objectives? 11 MR. TUNSKY: Yeah, no, I think there is -- I 12 think we can revise our wall to more of a dry laid or 13 mortared in place wall using as much of that stone as we 14 possibly can. 15 MR. SMITH: Commissioner Shyer, I think if the 16 Commission were to see fit to approve the special permit 17 application, we would have no objection to that being 18 added as a condition of approval. That the face -- the 19 concrete face have that stone applied to it. 20 MS. SHYER: Wow. Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. SMITH: If possible; correct, Jon? 22 MR. TUNSKY: Yes. 23 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 2.4 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Any other members of the 25

1 Commission?

DR. SCHIFFER: I have a question. 2 So we've 3 seen pictures of the Bicentennial stone wall, picture of the project. Is there some view that will illustrate 4 5 what part of the project necessitates the removal of the stone wall? Can you just put up an image of the outline 6 7 of the project and show me where that wall would have been? 8 Do you want me to bring up the site 9 MS. AYER: plan, Jon? 10 DR. SCHIFFER: If you can bring that up and 11 just show me where the wall is now and it's going to be 12 removed to accommodate the building. 13 MS. AYER: I believe the wall is somewhere 14 around here; is that right, Jon? 15 MR. TUNSKY: Correct. Yes, it follows the 16 shape of our new wall, the street -- the street wall, the 17 proposed building, and then it follows down the right of 18 way and then also follows down Holley Street along the 19 20 side of the street where we're showing some plants or where the foundation is right now. The new wall or I 21 guess the new stone -- or sorry, the existing stone will 22 be used at this wall, which is currently proposed as a 23 concrete wall. And we could also face the other wall 2.4 along the right of way with the stone as well is what 25

1 we're proposing to do.

8

2 DR. SCHIFFER: Can you estimate how many feet 3 of all of the original Bicentennial wall will have to be 4 removed?

5 MR. TUNSKY: Well, it all has to be removed for 6 the construction of this building. We're talking about 7 re-using the stone on site for the proposed walls.

DR. SCHIFFER: Okay.

9 Now, I have one other question. With regard to 10 delivery trucks, is it possible on Millerton Road to have 11 a designated loading zone to avoid the trucks having to 12 double park and impair traffic flow on Millerton Road?

MR. TUNSKY: That's something we can look into. Although it is a DOT road. I'm not sure they're going to allow to use a loading area there.

Chris, do you have any feelings on that? 16 MR. SMITH: Well, I think if it would be the 17 subject of DOT, that's something we can certainly -- I 18 mean, it wouldn't be able to be a condition of approval, 19 20 obviously, but it could be something to recommend we inquire with DOT when we do that next step with DOT, if 21 we were, again, to receive approval here. One thing --22 I'm sorry, Commissioner -- Doctor -- Go ahead. 23 DR. SCHIFFER: Call me Danella. That would be 24 good enough. I think what you are envisioning then is 25

1 trucks would double park if you couldn't get the loading 2 zone?

MR. SMITH: I think a lot of the deliveries 3 as -- Chris Smith, for the record. As our architect 4 5 indicated, the public entrance is going to be in the front of the building off of 44; and there is the public 6 parking space there if we were -- there's a nice aerial 7 that's just before the slide that Jocelyn had up on the 8 screen. But there is parking out front. Presumably 9 that's where the trucks would go. Or as our architect 10 indicated, they could pull into the back parking lot just 11 like you would in any smaller apartment building like 12 this. 13

And I would like to just point out with the 14 stone wall, it's our understanding that approximately 50 15 percent of the existing wall that's there today has been 16 replaced over the past number of years. And I think Kent 17 can comment on that. Kent was going to comment on that 18 when he was doing his presentation during our rebuttal. 19 MR. McCOY: Yes, you're right about that. 20 There are newspaper articles from the Lakeville Journal 21 that indicated that about 50 percent of the wall had been 22 rebuilt. 23

24 MR. SMITH: I think the First Selectman had 25 confirmed that with the SHPO representative. 87

MR. McCOY: Yes, that's correct.

1

2 DR. SCHIFFER: Thank you. I think that fact is 3 important to know.

4 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Dealing with this wall. Is 5 it possible to actually use the entire linear extent of 6 that wall along Holley Street? Could that work? I don't 7 know how many linear feet we're talking about.

MR. McCOY: You know, I would think it could 8 Because even though -- you know, even though --9 work. the wall is guite long and, of course, U-shaped. 10 The original stones, the ones that have the cut stone, the 11 field stone is just rubble that's been filled in and 12 rebuilt. I think we could clad, not only the retaining 13 walls that Jon Tunsky mentioned in the front, but the 14 return wall along Holley Place, you know, that screens 15 the parking lot, if that were also clad in the original 16 stones, it might also help, you know, in the concerns 17 that Rachel Carley mentioned about the materials and how 18 it can feel cheap and inauthentic and to re-use some 19 historic material, I think, would help that a lot. 20 Particularly, down at grade level where you see it at eye 21 level. 22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. McCoy, when I worked 23

24 many years ago at the Roosevelt National Historic Site 25 there was always a discussion about the site, the

88

1 contextuality of the landscape. But I -- in your
2 experience, is moving, essentially, that wall, which is
3 part of the historic nature, basically to another
4 location on the site, is there precedent for moving
5 things around and still maintaining that historical
6 nature.

MR. McCOY: That's a good question. 7 I'm trying to think of an example, you know, where -- you know 8 where -- either because of SHPO preference or whatever, 9 you use existing, either, building material or site 10 material in the building. It did come up with that 11 conversation I had with SHPO on site. She asked me if 12 that's a possibility and I said I don't see why not. 13 So -- but I honestly can't answer your question 14 the way you asked -- like, do I know of a specific 15 example. I can't say that I do. 16 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Any other member of 17 the Commission have a question? 18 MR. RIVA: Michael, Bob Riva. 19 20 MR. SMITH: Yes. Hi, Bob. MR. RIVA: What I would like to see is, 21 Jocelyn, if you can go back to that drawing that you 22 showed Danella for the wall; and I've got a question for 23 On the sidewalk that comes down, is it Holley Rocco. 24 Street, yeah. Rocco, there is -- there's the angled 25

lines right there by the cursor. Is that where the 1 sidewalk ends, Rocco? 2 This is Jon. I can answer 3 MR. TUNSKY: Yes. Yes, that's the -- the double gray line is where 4 that. the sidewalk, yes, ends. 5 MR. RIVA: Okay, Jon. Then what's the 6 7 projection on that overhang? Can you tell me what that is? Do you guys know that off the top of your head? I 8 mean is it a foot, two feet, three feet? 9 MR. TUNSKY: Which overhang are you talking 10 about? 11 MR. RIVA: The back projection that everyone's 12 worried about a truck going under or something or 13 hitting. 14 MR. TUNSKY: Rocco, do you know the exact 15 16 number? MR. PETITTO: Five feet. 17 MR. RIVA: So, it is pretty substantial. Can 18 that sidewalk be brought out a little further to keep 19 vehicles from clipping that corner or a buffer, a bollard 20 or something put there to direct them away from that? 21 MR. TUNSKY: So, with your parking regulations, 22 we to have maintain the 24 foot aisle. So, that is 23 constraining us. So, we can't have any obstructions in 24 that aisle space. 25

Those standards under the Pocketknife Square 3 superceded? zone Overlay District, do we have the flexibility to vary 4 that? That's a rhetorical question. 5 MR. RIVA: Jon, you're limited by the other 6 side, that bumpout on the other side being that distance 7 or the depth that it is because of the cars parking 8 9 there, where you guys put that hedge in, is that where 10 that 24 foot is measured from? Can you adjust that side 11 any? MR. TUNSKY: Right, so -- really to get the 12 maximize the parking in there, I -- that point is 13 allowing me on the wall and then working back towards the 14 building, I kind of maximized what we can do there. 15 Т used the compact spaces out there so that actually helps 16 get some more space there. But I can't do much more. 17 really can't do anything more. 18 MR. RIVA: You can't do anything more? 19 20 MR. TUNSKY: No. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Anything more, Jon? 21 MR. HIGGINS: No, that's it. Thanks guys. 22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: If no other Commissioner has 23 I think is time to let the public have its questions. 2.4 say. I would like to start public comment with Attorney 25

All right.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Are our parking regulations

1

2

MR. RIVA:

ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES (860) 693-8557

Ι

Grickis. 1 2 MR. CASAGRANDE: Mr. Chairman, may I just 3 interject briefly. I do have a couple of cross-examination questions for the testimony of the 4 witnesses. 5 MR. SMITH: I would have no objection to that, 6 Mr. Chairman. Chris Smith, for the record. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Quickly, please, because the 8 9 public is waiting. MR. CASAGRANDE: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair-- Oh, 10 thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Quickly, please. 12 MR. CASAGRANDE: Yes, sir. Through the Chair 13 to Mr. McCoy, you don't dispute Rachel Carley's testimony 14 that Bicentennial Park is included in this Historic 15 District, which is on the National Register; do you? 16 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, if I may and Attorney 17 Casagrande, Dan, Kent will be doing a formal rebuttal to 18 the report and then that probably will be an appropriate 19 time for to you cross-examine him on all the questions 20 you have. 21 MR. CASAGRANDE: I appreciate that Attorney 22 Smith, but as I understand the protocol that the Chair 23 has set, after I do my summation, I don't any more 24 chance -- I don't have new other chance. So, that's why 25

1 I'm bringing it up now.

2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's correct, Attorney3 Casagrande.

4 MR. SMITH: Oh, then so be it, sir. Go ahead. 5 Sorry.

6 MR. McCOY: To answer your question, no, I do 7 not dispute that.

8 MR. CASAGRANDE: And through the Chair, you 9 also do not dispute her testimony, Ms. Carley's testimony 10 that the park has been deemed a contributing resource to 11 the district; correct?

12 MR. McCOY: Correct.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you. And I just have a couple questions for Mr. Tunsky. And if we could go to the slide in the presentation where you show the proposed setbacks on Holley Street. And through the chair, Mr. Tunsky, I believe you testified that the red line represents the street line of the proposed building as it fronts on Holley Street; correct.

20 MR. TUNSKY: Correct.

21 MR. CASAGRANDE: And then the building to the 22 immediate south of that, as Mr. Virbickas testified, is 23 set back almost 30 feet from the front line; correct. 24 MR. TUNSKY: Are you referring to what's 25 located by the yellow arrow?

1 MR. CASAGRANDE: Yes. 2 MR. TUNSKY: Could you repeat your question? 3 Sorry. That building is set back 4 MR. CASAGRANDE: almost 30 feet from Holley Street; correct. 5 6 MR. TUNSKY: That appears correct, yes. 7 And then you testified, MR. CASAGRANDE: though, that the Lakeville Interiors building is close to 8 Holley Street; correct. 9 MR. TUNSKY: Correct. 10 MR. CASAGRANDE: So therefore, what we have on 11 Holley Street with this proposed building are 12 inconsistent setbacks; correct? 13 I suppose, but you could also say MR. TUNSKY: 14 this is one building. So, the setback would be measured 15 from the street line to the building that is closest to 16 the street. 17 That's not my question. MR. CASAGRANDE: 18 My question is, based on your testimony, what we have here 19 20 and is proposed is an inconsistent front yard setback among the buildings -- along that street; correct. 21 MR. SMITH: I would just object, Mr. Chairman. 22 I think Mr. Tunsky did answer the question and said the 23 building, major part of it is where the red line is. 24 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Is the --25

1 (People spoke at the same time.) 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: The assumption now we're 3 dealing with a front yard? MR. CASAGRANDE: 4 Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's your position that 6 Holley Street is the front of the property? 7 MR. CASAGRANDE: That's right. Because, as Mr. Virbickas has testified, it's a corner lot and 8 therefore the front yard setback applies to Holley Street 9 as well as Millerton. So, my question is: 10 Isn't it true that the setbacks of those three buildings, the two 11 existing and the proposed are inconsistent? Yes or no? 12 I don't agree with that. 13 MR. TUNSKY: So, no. MR. CASAGRANDE: Why not? Why not? 14 MR. TUNSKY: Because when you measure the 15 setback for a front yard, you measure the point of the 16 structure that is closest to the street line; and that is 17 what that red line represents. 18 Right. 19 MR. CASAGRANDE: But you agree that the 20 building to the immediate south, setback is 30 feet back from the street line; do you not? 21 MR. SMITH: I think he answered the question, 22 Attorney Casagrande. There's --23 MR. CASAGRANDE: It calls for a yes or no 2.4 answer, Mr. Chair. 25

1 MR. SMITH: No, it doesn't. There's large 2 building and there's different components of the same 3 building, it looks like to me. 4 MR. CASAGRANDE: And I --5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm just going to turn this --6 7 MR. CASAGRANDE: Attorney Smith is testifying. It's a question directed to the witness. 8 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: We don't want either lawyer 10 testifying. Mr. Tunsky, answer the question yes or no and let's get on with it. 11 MR. TUNSKY: I would say, no. 12 13 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr. Tunsky. MR. CASAGRANDE: No meaning what? 14 MR. TUNSKY: No, meaning 30 feet is not the 15 setback. Setback is represented by that red line. 16 MR. CASAGRANDE: I have nothing further, 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney 20 Casagrande. Now, we're going to go to public comment. We'll start with Attorney Grickis. I know he's -- I 21 think he would like to be heard. Maybe I'm wrong. 22 Attorney Grickis, you have to unmute. 23 2.4 MR. GRICKIS: Can you hear me? MS. CONROY: Would you like your video on or 25

1 are you okay with just audio?

MR. GRICKIS: Can you hear me, Abby? I think 2 3 enough bald guys have been on video. So, I'll try to be brief with my comments and thank you, Mr. Chairman, for 4 5 recognizing me.

My most recent letter was submitted on April 6 7 12th and [Audio broke up]. I don't want to wear the Commission out. But certainly it's been a long hearing. 8 You've been extremely patient. I would simply like the 9 Commission to note for the record that it's concluded 10 that no one on the Commission is in a position of 11 impartiality or lacking impartiality or bias and that all 12 Commissioners are capable of acting on this application 13 without any impairment by any form of bias whatsoever and 14 I'm talking about the possible bias of Mr. Cockerline as 15 well as possibly Mr. Riva. 16

Secondly, --17

25

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Grickis. We've 18 having real trouble hearing you. Can you come closer to 19 20 your mic and maybe some of us can turn off our -- I don't know if it's --21

MR. GRICKIS: I'm sorry, can you hear me now? 22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's much better. Thank 23 you. 24 MR. GRICKIS: I apologize. I just would like

1 the Commission in my most recent letter of April 12th, I would like the Commission to note in its minutes that it 2 has concluded that none of it's members are compromised 3 by any factor of bias or lack of objectivity in terms of 4 5 passing upon this application. I've noted before that I'm concerned about the possible conflict of interest of 6 Mr. Cockerline and possibly Commissioner Riva. 7 And I would like the Commission to set for the record that it 8 has concluded that there is no concern of bias among the 9 Commission and that all Commission members, including the 10 alternates, are fully capable of voting objectively on 11 this application. That's point number one. 12 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Grickis, let me 13 answer your question there. You were at the first 14 15 meeting. MR. GRICKIS: Correct. 16 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I went through and asked 17 each of the members a series of questions. I mean, it's 18 under oath, so-to-speak. They were not -- they answered 19 20 the questions. MR. GRICKIS: Correct. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: And I think that's what they 22 answered. 23 24 MR. GRICKIS: Okay. So, it's the conclusion of the Commission that 25

there is no issue of bias possibly influencing a decision 1 2 on this application; is that correct, Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What I'm saying is that the 3 Commissioners all attested, answered the questions that 4 5 they were not pre-judging the application, that they were willing to listen with an open mind to testimony. 6 In the case of Mr. Cockerline, we discussed that the Falls 7 Village Housing Trust, that did not -- he said that would 8 not influence him. In the case of Mr. Riva, he had a 9 10 personal connection or interest through his daughter, Tiffany, he said that would not preclude hem. 11 So, I, as chairman, have to believe my Commissioners. 12 13 MR. GRICKIS: Okay. Okay. That's --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I can't sit here and say 14 they are liars. 15 MR. GRICKIS: I may not agree with that 16 conclusion, but I accept that you went through a 17 deliberative process and I appreciate that. 18 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. MR. GRICKIS: The second issue, the parking has 20 been debated long and hard. In my estimation, no one, 21 certainly not the Applicant, has responded intelligently 22 or authoritatively to the concerns expressed in Attorney 23 Capecelatro's letter. That which the Commission gave or 2.4 the Zoning Commission gave previously cannot be -- with 25

1 one hand cannot now be taken away with the other. And 2 that relates to Attorney Casagrande's presentation and 3 his arguments concerning the non-conforming uses of the three properties 20, 22 and 24 Millerton Road. 4

5 The Commission needs to address squarely and reach conclusions about what Attorney Capecelatro alleged 6 in his letter and convincingly refute the logic that's 7 expressed in those letters and the express language of 8 the special permit that was issued to Deano's Pizza for 9 satellite parking. 10

As a corollary issue to that, you may remember, 11 Mr. Chairman, you requested that my client, 12 Mr. Churchill, re-submit his zoning permit application 13 for the Lakeville gym, which he did. And at another 14 prior meeting one of the Commissioners, I believe it was 15 Mr. Higgins, disputed the fact that the Holley Block park 16 area was ever considered as satellite park. There was a 17 slide submitted in that original presentation that drew 18 an arrow to that parking area as satellite parking. 19 So, No. one, I would like the Commission to 20 thank Mr. Churchill of going through the trouble of 21 re-submitting the materials he previously submitted.

would also like the Commission to acknowledge that, in 23 fact, satellite parking in Holley Block wasn't included 2.4 in that presentation, which was approved. 25

22

Т

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Grickis, you are 2 asking a lot of questions which are going to be part of 3 the deliberations of the Commission. If we were to give 4 you an answer to some of these now, we would, in fact, be 5 pre-judging. We will look at parking, all these issues 6 very closely when we do our deliberations.

7 MR. GRICKIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, but I 8 would appreciate if the Commission would now at least 9 thank Mr. Churchill for going through the trouble of 10 re-submitting documents that were previously submitted 11 and were part of town records which were apparently 12 lost.

13 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm very grateful that 14 Mr. Churchill graciously re-submitted to make our records 15 complete.

16 MR. GRICKIS: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you.

17

MR. GRICKIS: My next issue is concerning the 18 [Unintelligible] area; and just referring to my previous 19 correspondence, I believe that state law requires that 20 the Applicant submit an application in the form required 21 by the Commissioner of the Department of Public Health 22 seeking approval of an activity that will impact an 23 aquifer area. And, to my knowledge, that has not been 24 done by the Applicant. I know a letter was sent, but it 25

was not on the form prescribed by the Commission of the Department of Public Health. It's a simple question. If it they've done it, great. Produce it. If not, they've missed the deadline in which the statute says they have to provide that notification. And to me, that's a defect.

7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Smith will address 8 that later on.

MR. GRICKIS: Okay.

9

The National Register of Historic Places. 10 Ι have to confess that Mr. McCoy's testimony baffles me. 11 Ι was confused by it the first time. I think there's a 12 great deal of obfuscation in what he said. My simple 13 question is who has jurisdiction over the site which is 14 admittedly, by all, on the National Register of Historic 15 Places. Who can undo what was done previously. Who has 16 the jurisdiction to do that. And the representations 17 about what I believe the acronym is SHOPA (ph.). SHPO 18 has not done it and confusing and disingenuous and 19 20 misleading as best.

I think Mr. McCoy owes everybody a better explanation and a more candid explanation of what he's been up to and what is fact and what is fiction. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I guess that would be better done in the rebuttal, Attorney Smith or would you like

1 that --2 MR. SMITH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Yes. Mr. McCoy can address that. 3 4 MR. GRICKIS: I don't want to wear you out, folks. So --5 6 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Wait a second, Attorney 7 Grickis. Attorney Smith, do you want to do that on the 8 rebuttal or do you want me to ask through the Chair to 9 10 Mr. McCoy that question? MR. SMITH: Whatever the Chair's pleasure. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Through the Chair, 12 Mr. McCoy, would you answer Attorney Grickis' question. 13 MR. McCOY: There was a lot to it including 14 15 "disingenuous," etc. So, could you repeat the question. MR. GRICKIS: Mr. McCoy, I heard you at the 16 last public hearing, there was a great deal of 17 subjective -- of testimony about what interaction 18 occurred with SHPO and what did not occur. My question 19 is has been fundamentally, who is it, is it the federal 20 government, the state government, the local government? 21 Who has jurisdiction over this site? Who has the power 22 to say it can be removed from the Register of Historic 23 Places or it can't be? Who has the final say? And what 2.4 was represented to us previously is that there were 25

informal discussions with SHPO and there were no issues, 1 2 that they questioned about the wall. And in speaking of walls, when you --3 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Wait a second. Wait a 4 5 second. Let him answer the first question. I'm losing the thread here. 6 7 MR. McCOY: Yeah, I was, too. But in terms of jurisdiction, I guess you're saying what can be removed 8 from the National Register. I think you're 9 misunderstanding that that property's in the National 10 Register cannot be built on. In fact, if a private owner 11 owned that piece of property, they could to whatever they 12 want on it with no review by SHPO. What kicks in in the 13 SHPO review is the federal and/or state funding. That's 14 when SHPO has to get involved. 15 MR. GRICKIS: Well, I'm not sure I accept that 16 answer because this is on the --17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That's his answer, Attorney 18 Grickis. That is his answer. Whether you accept it or 19 20 not. MR. GRICKIS: I'm not convinced that's the 21 correct answer, Mr. Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. That's your -- We 23 take note of that. 2.4 MR. GRICKIS: Okay, I just think the Commission 25

needs to know who has jurisdiction, who gets to make the decisions. Is it national, is it state, is it local, a combination of both. And make sure that all the boxes have been checked and the answers have been received before the Commission makes a comprehensive decision.

Okay, my next issue is safety. You may 6 remember, Mr. Chairman, and I thank you for your 7 thoughtful comment on the prior application because you, 8 yourself, were quite concerned about safety issues and 9 traffic and the multiplicity of trucks traveling rapidly 10 down Route 44 and the possibility -- and we talked about 11 the crosswalk next to the post office and the danger, 12 including myself that I personally experienced, getting 13 across that crosswalk safely. And in this go round of 14 the application, safety hasn't appeared to anybody. No 15 one's talked about safety. It seems to have been an 16 evanescent issue that is now no longer of concern to 17 anyone. Well, it's still a concern to me. It's still a 18 concern to my client. The pabulum offered by the traffic 19 consultant, I've challenged it previously. I invited the 20 Commission many times to seek it's own consultant, an 21 independent consultant to conduct a traffic study. To 22 date, the Commission has not taken up my suggestion. 23 I think it needs to before it makes a decision. Safety, to 2.4 me, is an issue that's been overlooked in this whole 25

second round of this application. And to me, your job
 is, in part, to protect public safety. And that
 attention needs -- that issue needs more attention before
 this permit could be issued.

5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: All the information from the 6 previous application was carried forward to this 7 concerning the traffic. And the safety report. I think 8 we -- again, I think you're pre-judging what this 9 Commission is going to look at when we deliberate. We 10 have a laundry list which includes that. All of that is 11 going to be in our deliberations.

MR. GRICKIS: Okay, Mr. Chairman. I thank you and I believe you are certainly a man of your word and you will do what you say.

Thank you, Attorney Grickis. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 15 MR. GRICKIS: My next issue is the fire lane 16 I know there's a letter from the fire marshal. 17 issue. My point is that the fire marshal isn't going to fight 18 The fire chief is with his people. As long as 19 the fire. the fire chief has looked at the proposed fire lanes that 20 the applicant has presented and the fire chief concurs 21 with the fire marshal, that there are no issues about 22 truck turn-arounds or accessibility or unique equipment 23 for the firemen, then certainly I will not object to 24 their conclusions if they agree the design is appropriate 25

1 as to the --2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I believe we had the deputy chief testify; but not about that. 3 MR. GRICKIS: One further issue that the 4 5 Commission can explore. 6 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. MR. GRICKIS: One final point in terms of the 7 record, and perhaps you have an answer that I don't know 8 I was surprised that while this recording of 9 about. this -- video recording is being maintained of these 10 public hearings, nevertheless what constitutes the record 11 is a set of minutes that the Commission reviews and edits 12 as it deems appropriate which, in my case, you could be 13 editing what I'm saying right now and it might -- I might 14 not necessarily agree with how it's edited. So if you 15 could just tell me why a transcript isn't maintained of 16 this hearing instead of in favor of minutes? 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Minutes we do are quite a 18 All this detail is on the recording. summary. If you 19 don't feel that's an adequate record? 20 There's no transcript. MR. GRICKIS: That's 21 what surprises me. It seems like for an issue of this 22 importance there ought to be a transcript. Perhaps 23 Attorney Andres could answer that question. 2.4 MR. ANDRES: Certainly. Yes. The Commission 25

does not do transcripts of public hearings unless there's 1 2 an appeal. It's very expensive and it's costly. I don't 3 know of any Commission that does. 4 MR. GRICKIS: When you say --5 MR. ANDRES: -- don't keep transcripts as a matter of form --6 (People spoke at the same time.) 7 MR. GRICKIS: When you say unless there's an 8 9 appeal. Well, there's never an appeal until after a decision's been reached. 10 MR. ANDRES: That's correct. That's --11 MR. GRICKIS: There's never a transcript. 12 MR. ANDRES: That's correct. I don't know of 13 any Commission that spends the money for court reporters 14 to do transcriptions of meetings or hearings unless there 15 is an appeal. That said, there is a video recording 16 which is great. So, I mean, that -- and that's required 17 by the Executive Order and that's -- you know, you can 18 play it back and I think that is certainly a competent 19 record of what was actually said. 20 MR. GRICKIS: Okay, thank you, Attorney Andres. 21 My final comment, Mr. Chairman, as you know, 22 there's a tremendous political up-- groundswell support 23 for affordable housing. It's notorious. We all know 24 that. And certainly my client and I agree that 25

affordable housing is critical. We all need it for all
 the reasons that have been mentioned.

But what -- the support for affordable housing 3 in connection with this particular site as legitimate and 4 5 good faith as the intentions have been expressed, it's simply the wrong site to put this amount of emphasis on 6 affordable housing project which does put into play the 7 legitimate concerns of area businesses. Issues that have 8 been raised are not specious, they are sincere. They are 9 truly felt intentions. It's not someone who's just 10 pushing a [Unintelligible] item. It's genuine. 11 And I did think that there are better sites. Let the political 12 pressure sit on one side. You still are charged with 13 making intelligent, comprehensive, thought-out decisions, 14 to take into play all of the issues that have been put on 15 the table; and after that, you deliberate and you reach 16 the best decision you are able to do. 17

And I just think that there's so much political pressure on moving forward with this particular site because it's just an ineluctable conclusion that it has to happen now on this particular site. And I think that's misguided.

With that, I conclude my comments and thank you
very much for your consideration and your thoughtfulness.
CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney Grickis.

Who do we have next wishing to be heard? 1 I see 2 one hand raised. Abby? Mr. Stucke. I think that's --MR. STUCKE: Yes, it is. Thank you. And I 3 4 have a question that I would like to ask Mr. McCoy 5 through the Chair and it's this: Is the Salisbury Housing Committee had already sought funding from the 6 Connecticut Department of Housing to explore the 7 viability of affordable housing in Bicentennial Park. 8 Ιn the application for the pre-development loan from the 9 State, the housing committee was specifically asked to 10 describe the existing condition of the proposed building 11 site, including its, quote, existing site use, unquote. 12 So, the committee did not disclose that Holley 13 Block is one of two parks in the historic district and is 14 currently being used for dedicated political (sic) 15 parking instead the housing committee misrepresenting the 16 land as currently as a vacant site and a vacant lot. So, 17 that goes beyond -- so, Mr. McCoy, in your 18 representation, you didn't explain why it was described 19 as a vacant site when it clearly wasn't. 20 I can understand maybe why not putting it in as a. --21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Mr. Stucke, are you making a 22 statement or are you asking a question? 23 24 MR. STUCKE: I'm asking a question. Please, let's get to it. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 25

110

1 MR. STUCKE: Yes. The question is why was it 2 represented as a vacant site when it clearly wasn't a 3 vacant site? Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Through the Chair, Mr. 4 5 McCoy, do your best to answer that. I think you already did. 6 7 MR. McCOY: Yeah. I can't answer that because I didn't have involvement in filing that application. 8 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Excuse me? 9 MR. McCOY: I can't answer that because I had 10 no involvement in filing that application. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Thank you Mr. McCoy. 12 Let's move to the next public comment. George 13 Massey is next. 14 Thanks. I'm here. 15 MR. MASSEY: So --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please get closer to your 16 microphone. 17 MR. MASSEY: Okay. Is this better? 18 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yep. 20 Mr. MASSEY: Okay. Just a couple comments. On the wall, I think that has already been fallen down, put 21 back together, put back together, put back together 22 So, I think the extreme sensitivity about moving 23 again. those stones is a bit overblown. It's particularly a 2.4 matter of curiosity that the Holley building so reviled, 25

apparently, by the champions of Federal architecture and the demolition of the Holley building seems to have been cheered; and now a wall built from the rubble is somehow sacred and must be preserved at all costs. That's curious to me.

6 Then I would like to make a comment on parking. 7 I think the rules are clear that Planning & Zoning may 8 allow a -- approve a permit when people can't provide 9 onsite parking in one of the older buildings. And P&Z 10 can do this if they rule that there's sufficient public 11 or joint parking in the area and they can forgive the 12 Applicant being made to demonstrate it.

It's in -- What is it -- it's in 703-8 and it's 13 said the Commission may approve fewer than the number of 14 required parking spaces as provided in 703-9 when it 15 determines the park needs of the proposed use can, in 16 part, be served by proximate parking and parking spaces 17 that are jointly used. When Attorney Capecelatro put his 18 little post-it with a car in the parking lot, that's a 19 demonstration that there is adjacent public parking, by 20 no means is claiming any particular space. In fact, the 21 zoning rules are clear that you don't get to claim any 22 kind of space unless it's on the deed. 23

24 So, I think the fact that there will continue 25 to be adjacent public parking means that none of these

1 uses will become any less conforming. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr. Massey. Next is this Barbara Douglas? 3 MS. DOUGLAS: Abby, can you hear me? 4 5 MS. CONROY: Yes, we can hear you. MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you. Thank you 6 Mr. Chairman. Forgive me it's past my bed time so I will 7 do my best. Some new things came on that I want to talk 8 9 about hearing what was said tonight. 10 I would like to address the parking issue and perhaps a little bit differently than has been done 11 I did try to research it by looking at the 12 before. Institution of Transportation Engineer's public 13 indications of trip generator and parking generator; and 14 from that I see that it's not just a matter of counting 15 spaces in the area. If someone could bring up, please, 16 that picture of the site with the -- that we had just a 17 minute ago. 18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I assume this is Barbara 19 20 Douglas who is talking. MS. DOUGLAS: This is Barbara Douglas, yes. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: For the record. 22 MS. DOUGLAS: One thing you have to remember is 23 that there is a slope on Holley Street as well as a slope 24 on Main Street with the road curving with -- I'm sorry, 25

it's Millerton Road going to Main Street curving to the
 left. And that is very important.

We talk about the commercial retail and 3 professional office activity in Lakeville is down, in a 4 5 hope that this will be regenerated. So, I would like to 6 see P&Z requesting from someone a study of what our space needs are with regard to parking in a recovered economy. 7 And then in light of the Institute of Engineers that you 8 recognize that not all parking spaces are equal. 9 There 10 is a hierarchy of spaces.

That people will not -- either people servicing 11 the area or patrons will not go to a site, a space 12 because of distance, safety such as incline and ice and 13 convenience; and also the propensity to be more 14 convenient and up in air. So, one would say that the 15 Holley residence would prefer to be above ground than 16 below ground. And so, I think that a study of parking 17 spaces on a -- just to recap on a recovery basis and also 18 a hierarchy of spaces is important to be considered. 19

20 With regard to safety, I have probably -- my 21 husband and I have probably written over 100 letters to 22 the Selectman, the State Troopers and to the State 23 combined regarding our concerns for safety on Main 24 Street. We're here at the corner of Bissell and 315 Main 25 when we lived earlier at the [Unintelligible] it never

1 occurred to us that there was a problem. There's high 2 speed. We have had, in addition to all the cars that 3 have hit the fence of our neighbor down the block, we 4 have had about 6 light poles come down since we've been 5 back. And we have had cars that have traversed over the 6 sidewalk. So, the sidewalks are not safe.

We have written about our concern that you're 7 8 probably more dangerous in a crosswalk than you are 9 crossing like a dog just by eyeing the street. That 10 there seems to be a game of chicken. And lack of giving the pedestrian the right of way on the crosswalk. 11 We were particularly concerned about the crosswalk up by 12 Holley Block because of the speed of the traffic coming 13 out of Millerton, the speed of the traffic coming around 14 the bend, the lack of line of sight and the general 15 disregard of people in the crosswalk. 16

Also, with regard to safety, I provided the 17 Planning & Zoning with a picture that I took from the 18 corner of Holley and Main Street with a very tiny -- what 19 was it, one and a half foot high sign for Lakeville 20 Interiors were actually blocked a car -- a truck coming 21 from Millerton into Salisbury. And so, when you talk 22 about using the front of the building for public access 23 and add to that that the residents will park there as 2.4 well, that you are increasing the danger of for 25

pedestrians and for vehicular traffic. So, that safety
 remains a huge concern.

3 We talk about -- you talked about bias and the fact that the Commission will be voting without bias. 4 5 And I believe that. But there are two things that pull 6 at your heart strings: Number 1 is the need for housing for our young people annual the people servicing our 7 community. We want people to be firemen, we want nurses, 8 we want teachers, etc. But one thing I think we need to 9 10 understand and this comes from my eight years on the Salisbury Housing Committee, is that there are very few 11 funding arrangements that will allow us to get priority 12 to our own residents, to those related to the community 13 either by relations or by working. And so, this question 14 could be to Salisbury housing as to whether or not they 15 will be allowed to give any preference whatsoever to 16 those in their community that we may think of as our 17 family and friends. 18

Also, there was a question on whether or not earlier in one of the letters would be as a results housing committee be viable. And it is right to say that when I put in my housing application for the north end of Salisbury, nobody said Barbara can you afford this. Only my mother said that. And I think that it fair that we don't ask that question. However, my own home at 315 1 Main tells you that no matter how loving a not for profit 2 and how useful it is in the community, it runs into 3 financial difficulties. And I have purchased my home from such entities. And therefore, one needs to believe 4 5 that the fact that if the housing committee were to reduce the size of the project, it would probably not be 6 attractive for State funding who has a much more -- who 7 has a very competitive approach towards giving their 8 9 grants.

And therefore, there is the legitimate concern 10 that by voting against this project, one could be 11 endangering the project, obviously, and also perhaps in 12 some way endangering the committee. And one needs to 13 believe that the Planning and Zoning Commission will not 14 factor those worries into their decision. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Barbara. 16 The next one is David Valcin. 17 MR. VALCIN: Yes, hello, Mike, how are you? 18 I am currently chair of the Board of It's David Valcin. 19 Education for Salisbury Central School. And I first want 20 to just thank all the Commissioners for their time, their 21 thoughtfulness and their thoroughness in this process. 22 And I think that everyone has faith in your judgment, 23 ultimately. 2.4 I kind of want to beat a drum that's been 25

beaten a little bit before, but -- and is well worn. We employ a number of teachers at our K through 8 elementary and middle school. Many of them live a little further afield. Some of them are local residents. Many of them are parents of children within the school, itself, and part of the community as it is.

7 What we are finding is that as older teachers retire and younger teachers come in and we are sort of in 8 the process of that, it's very, very difficult to have 9 those newer teachers actually be part of our community. 10 And that goes with other paraprofessionals, that goes 11 with our custodial staff, etc., etc. We actually go out 12 of our way to allow employees of any stripe to have their 13 her children attend our elementary and middle school so 14 that they can be part of the community, so that it's 15 easier on the parents to be employed and to still 16 maintain a relationship with their kids. 17

I think that having affordable housing in this town is essential. I would echo what the management of LaBonne's, Bob, had to say. I would echo what he had to say that in order to create a vital pool of employees, it helps to have them reside locally. And to be invested in the community.

The second thing I just wanted to talk about is as a parent. I'm the parent of three young boys ranging

1 right now from 11 to 17. We have been fortunate to be 2 residents up here for the last, almost decade. We have 3 made fine use of the town growth every summer, without With exception, of course, of last year. So we do 4 fail. 5 spend a significant amount of time trekking back and forth between Petco to On The Run to The Grove, up the 6 hill to Deano's and back and forth and back and forth. 7 We have crossed that street I don't know how many times; 8 and, of course, you know, there was a certain point where 9 the kids crossed it themselves. 10

I wouldn't say that it is without peril, but having been born and raised in New York City, I would also say that it's not a death trap by any stretch as it exists now. And I don't imagine that having some extra residents in the area would blow that out of proportion.

I just don't -- I know that we need a lot of 16 affordable housing to get us up to snuff. To get us up 17 to the standard that we would like to maintain. I know 18 that this doesn't take care of all of that in one fell 19 swoop and I know there are other possibilities that we 20 have both explored and contemplated. But this is the one 21 that's before us now. And I think that I -- as somebody 22 who walks those streets on a regular basis, I would 23 welcome the addition of some neighbors. 2.4

25 And that's it. I appreciate everybody's time.

1 I know everybody's just trying to get the right thing 2 done here. Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. 3 Is there any other member of the public who 4 5 wishes to speak? MS. CONROY: Mr. Chairman, we do have, I see 6 some people put hands up. We also do have at least one 7 person who is a phone call in listener. So, just in case 8 9 they didn't get any directions before, if you are the one 10 calling in on the phone and you want to raise your hand, you can dial star 9 and then, if prompted, you would dial 11 star 6 to mute or unmute. But we have some hands up. 12 13 So, we will go with the hands up. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Right. Let's continue 14 15 with --MS. CONROY: Van Deusen perhaps? 16 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Van Deusen, yes. MR. VAN DEUSEN: Can you hear me? 18 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, we do. MR. VAN DEUSEN: Okay, fine. 20 As you know, Deano's Pizza is just a few steps 21 from where the people in the proposed building will be 22 stepping out on the sidewalk along Route 44. Presently, 23 there is public parking and [Unintelligible] proposed 24 building all the way up to Deano's and Deano's is quite 25

busy. There's also an art gallery there. When they have
 showings, there's quite a bit of traffic there.

I was very sorry to hear that the box trucks, UPS and so forth would be stopping right in front of the building to make deliveries. And if there's parking there, they are going to be out in 44. That's very dangerous. This building is built -- would be built on a curve, a downward curve. As we all know people go quite fast down there.

There's a pole at the intersection that has 10 been run into many times in the past. I'm very upset 11 that school children or young children will come down 12 that elevator, step right out on the sidewalk and 13 possibly go out between parked cars where traffic on 44 14 going down the hill won't see them. So, you have a very 15 dangerous situation there. And it's especially dangerous 16 if you're going to have a box truck parked outside of the 17 cars parked there, actually park blocking Route 44. 18

19 Secondly, your traffic expert that you had said 20 he had gone back to the records and there were no 21 accidents of any consequence in that area. Isn't that 22 true? Well, that's what he said. Well, I spoke to a 23 woman who was parked between Deano's and the proposed 24 site of the building, she was parked there, she was 25 loading her car, she went back for another load, this is

on a snowy day, and as she was coming out, a car or a truck hit her car that was parked, totaled it, pushed it into a car that was in front of her car and that was also badly damaged. This is on a snowy day. So, accidents do happen and they happen right in that particular area.

6 So these children, parents, whoever is going to 7 be using that, will be in peril at various times, 8 especially on slippery days. But I can't believe you're 9 going to have box trucks and UPS -- you have quite a 10 number of people living in that building, so I'm sure 11 there will be a daily delivery by one of the box trucks.

12 So what can you do about that? You can't build 13 this building because it puts a lot of people in peril. 14 That's what I wanted to say. Please. So, if you have a 15 grandchild living in that building or a son and daughter, 16 they will be at peril someday if you let this building be 17 built. That the all I have to say. Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr. Van Deusen.
19 The next one is Bruce palmer, please.

20 MR. PALMER: Thanks, Michael. It just a 21 question about the time capsule. I don't know if anybody 22 has this answer, but I believe that Bicentennial Park 23 does have a time capsule that was buried? I'm wondering 24 if there are plans for that and what they are? Thank 25 you.

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. I actually have 2 a question you submitted. You submitted something in the 3 record about that. Is the capsule in the walls; isn't it? 4 5 MR. PALMER: I truthfully don't know if the capsule is in the wall or in the ground. 6 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I think that's something we can task the Applicant in their response: Telling us 8 9 where the capsule is. 10 MR. PALMER: I appreciate it. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Bruce. Barbara Douglas's hand is up. I don't think 12 13 she means it to be up. Does she? Any other members of the --14 MS. DOUGLAS: Barbara's here. 15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Oh, but I mean let's first 16 get through the first before we have a second. 17 Is it quick, Barbara? 18 Susan Galluzzo? 19 20 MS. DOUGLAS: May I suggest that you walk the campus, what I call campus, of Salisbury Bank & Trust and 21 have them do a light show for you lights on, lights off. 22 All that you mentioned are exampled on that property and 23 you are welcome to come to my property to see how it is 2.4 interpreted at a residential property. 25

1 And just minor, remember there may be no 2 preference in allowing our local people to go into our new affordable housing. It may be a new issue of looking 3 how to address worker housing and getting the funding 4 5 that permits that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Barbara. 6 7 I think Susan Galluzzo is next. MS. GALLUZZO: I'm --8 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: She's not there? Okay. 9 MS. GALLUZZO: I think it was Mr. Petitto and I 10 know you're not supposed to ask a question you don't know 11 the answer to, was it Mr. Petitto that said something 12 about the elevation in the back where the trucks come 13 through is about the same height as a McDonalds. 14 15 Mr. Petitto is that you? MR. PETITTO: Yes. 16 MS. GALLUZZO: Well, how high is McDonald's 17 drive through? 18 MR. PETITTO: Typical drive throughs or 7-6 to 19 20 8 feet. MS. GALLUZZO: Said typically. But you said 21 McDonald's. How high is McDonald's. 22 Typically. 23 MR. PETITTO: MS. GALLUZZO: You don't know, is the answer; 2.4 is that right? 25

1 MR. PETITTO: Yeah, I'll say I don't know. MS. GALLUZZO: That's all. 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Susan. 3 4 Okay, no more hands. 5 Okay, I'm going to poll the Commission. Is the Commission ready to close this hearing? Mr. Riva? Mr. 6 7 Riva? MR. RIVA: Yes, Michael. Yeah, I would 8 9 probably say yes, that we're ready to --10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Shyer? 11 MS. SHYER: No, I have a couple of questions of 12 the Applicant that haven't yet been addressed. 13 MS. ALLEE: Aren't we suppose to have a 14 rebuttal. 15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That is correct. The 16 question is: Are we going to close the hearing tonight? 17 If we are going to close the hearing tonight there's 18 going to be rebuttal from both sides. 19 20 So, Cathy, if you have some questions, why don't you ask them now. 21 MS. SHYER: Thank you. 22 And firstly, just a shout out to our Land Use 23 Administrator for putting all this new material on and 24 making it very clear on the website what is new material. 25

1 And I came across a site plan that was done for Peter Oliver and I couldn't see in the corner of it who 2 had prepared it, but it seemed to have been done to A2 3 standard. Can anybody answer that for me? It seemed to 4 5 come in in this week's submission from the applicant. Does anyone know what I'm talking about. 6 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, I know what you're talking about. It was a submission that -- an A2 survey 8 9 done [Unintelligible], yes. MS. SHYER: Yes, But I couldn't see who had 10 done it. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What's the relevance to 12 that? 13 MS. SHYER: I was just interested in who had 14 But it also raised a question that I had prepared it. 15 about the right of way that came up several times 16 tonight. RJS, isn't that -- is that right of way 17 actually parallel to Millerton Road and then swings up 18 perpendicular to Millerton Road? Is that correct, so 19 20 that it's on the northwest side of the proposed building? It isn't -- I'm asking for clarification. Is this right 21 of way that RJS have, does it continue across the south 22 side of the proposed building or is it nowhere on that 23 land? 2.4 MR. SMITH: Commissioner Shyer, Jon, I don't 25

1 know if that's for you to answer. But it's my 2 understanding that HE Coal prepared the survey. 3 MS. SHYER: Thank you. MR. CASAGRANDE: Mr. Chairman, I think I can 4 5 answer the second question. MS. SHYER: 6 Thank you. 7 MR. CASAGRANDE: If I may. MR. SMITH: I think we are trying to respond to 8 9 it if we can, Jon? MR. TUNSKY: Yeah, I believe the right of way 10 does not continue to the south side of the property. 11 It's only along the RJS Holding property. 12 13 MS. SHYER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: If you disagree with that 14 15 Attorney Casagrande, you can do that on rebuttal. MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you. 16 MS. SHYER: And I have another question of the 17 applicant. Assuming everybody got green lights and this 18 project was a go, how long it -- is there any estimate 19 20 how long it will take to build? MS. AYER: I can try to respond to that. This 21 is Jocelyn from the Salisbury Housing Committee. Again, 22 you're talking about from the moment we start 23 construction? 2.4 MS. SHYER: I'm thinking in terms of the amount 25

1 of disruption to the commercial businesses that are 2 adjacent. That's who I'm thinking about with my 3 question, yeah. MS. AYER: Rocco, what is your opinion about 4 5 how long a build like this takes to construct, typically? MR. PETITTO: I would say typically a build 6 7 this size is going to take somewhere between 12 and 18 months depending on the contractor who builds it. 8 9 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. Any other questions, Cathy? 10 MS. SHYER: No, that's all of mine. Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: After this, will you be 12 13 ready to close the hearing? MS. SHYER: I'll keep looking at my notes. 14 15 Please go on and I'll answer that. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Dr. Schiffer, are you ready 16 to close the hearing? 17 DR. SCHIFFER: Yes, I am Mr. --18 Dr. Commissioner. 19 20 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. Mr. Higgins, are you ready to close the 21 hearing? 22 MR. HIGGINS: Yes, I mean, we've gotten a lot 23 of good information and I think we're at a stage where a 2.4 lot of the comments and a lot of the additional 25

1 information is a bit repetitive. It's important, but it's nothing that's new. So, I think yes, I'm ready. 2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Ms. Allee? Debra? 3 Unmute. Unmute. Debra, you have to unmute. 4 5 MS. ALLEE: Sorry, my mouse wasn't working. Ι apologize. Yes, I'm ready to close the hearing. 6 7 Sorry. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. Marty? 8 MR. WHALEN: Yeah, I agree. That's -- I've 9 heard enough. 10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Are there any other 11 commissioners that I'm not seeing on the screen? It's 12 very hard for me to -- okay. 13 All right. There seem to be no more hands 14 So there will be nobody to close the hearing, 15 raised. Cathy --16 MS. SHYER: Chairman Klemens, I do have one 17 more question of the Applicant. 18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please ask it. 19 20 MS. SHYER: Because this is very different project then what you are currently managing at 21 [Unintelligible] or Faith Village -- Faith House, if 22 residents nearby had a problem with leaves on the 23 sidewalk being slippery, too much double parking on the 2.4 road and sight lines, who would they address this to? 25

1 Neighbors, I mean.

4

2 MS. AYER: Chairman, I can respond to that if 3 that's okay.

CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Please.

5 MS. AYER: So, we do have a property manager of 6 the site and she will have an office on site and they 7 would be welcome to call her with any concerns about the 8 way that the property is managed or if there was leaves 9 or anything else that needed to be dealt with.

10 MS. SHYER: Thank you. And that would be a 11 full-time -- sort of office hours 9 to 5 or 8 to 4 or 12 something like that they would be available?

MS. AYER: Typically, we share a property manager between our sites because we don't have that many units. So, she would have hours at that site and again it would be available by phone at one of the sites in town so she would easily be able to come over if there was an issue.

MS. SHYER: Thank you. I'm finished,Dr. Klemens. Thanks, Michael.

21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I have one -- I actually 22 have one sort of nested set of questions. I remain sort 23 of concerned by the situation of the trucks parking on 24 the road. We've heard a lot about that. I was not 25 pleased that we really don't know -- Ms. Galluzzo asked

1 night and then we don't know. So, I think the Applicant 2 needs to really think this through in terms of parking and in terms of delivery. I think we've heard all kind 3 of things. And I really think in your closing you need 4 5 to get your act together on this. Because there's a lot of pieces out there concerning Commissioners, concerning 6 neighbors, concerning Intervenor. I mean the idea of box 7 trucks not being able to get in, maybe get in, maybe 8 sitting on the roadway, maybe double parked, maybe taking 9 up parking spots. This is an Achilles heel in your plan 10 and I would like you to come up in your closing statement 11 to give us some clarity. Because I've heard confusion on 12 how this is going to work. 13 That's just my charge to the -- I don't know if 14 you want to respond now or if you want to do it on 15 rebuttal. 16 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, Chris Smith for the 17 record. We are prepared to do our rebuttal when you give 18 19 us the green light. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. And you will address 20 this issue brought up in your rebuttal? 21 MR. SMITH: Mr. Petitto can certainly address 22 those and I think there was a little confusion in the 23 There was a question concerning the height of a height. 24 McDonald's drive-through. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Right, I understand. 2 MR. SMITH: He didn't know what the height was, 3 but that our site -- But anyway. But we're ready when 4 you give us the okay. 5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: No more public wishes to 6 speak. Let's go to closing statements. Attorney Casagrande. 7 MR. CASAGRANDE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 I'11 try to be very brief. I would like to just thank the 9 Commission on behalf of the Intervenors for the -- your 10 time and your patience and your consideration. 11 These are vexing issues, to say the least, and it's much 12 appreciated. 13 It's our position, members of the Commission, 14 that approval of this application would be an unwarranted 15 and unnecessary tragedy for Salisbury. Why is it a 16 tragedy? Because, very simply, it involves the 17 destruction of Bicentennial Park, which you've heard 18 Ms. Carley's testimony, uncontradicted by Mr. McCoy, that 19 20 Bicentennial Park is a critical component of this historic district and is a contributing source to it. 21 The applicant's response, their expert's 22 response to that simple fact that it's going to destroy 23 Bicentennial Park -- and you remember I asked him on 24 cross-examination, are you saying to the Commission that 25

the destruction of Bicentennial Park will not 1 unreasonably impair the public trust in this historic 2 3 resource, and he said yes. Now, the only words I can 4 find to characterize that response is it's Orwellian. 5 It's Orwellian. It's double speak. It's simply not It's almost an insult to your intelligence to 6 credible. suggest the destruction of this vital historical resource 7 is not going to impair the historical resource. 8

9 Why is it unnecessary? Because we have demonstrated, through Mr. Miller, that there are feasible 10 improvement alternatives available to the town and other 11 sites as identified in the Affordable Housing Plan. 12 The denial of this application, Mr. Chairman, would not 13 impede the town's goal of more affordable housing. Ιt 14 would simply require the town, as Mr. Miller testified, 15 to look to other sites that would be more compatible, 16 will not raise issues of the destruction of historical 17 resources and they could accommodate these 12 units, 18 19 especially on the Pope property, very nicely.

20 Why would approval of the application be 21 unreasonable? Several reasons. First, as Mr. Virbickas 22 testified, it doesn't comply with the regulation's 23 technical requirements. I'll just focus on two of those 24 aspects. As he pointed out, the Holley Street setback is 25 not a consistent front yard setback. That's what Section

405.2 of the regulations require. If you have a 1 2 consistent front yard setback, yes, you can move it closer to the street line. That's not what we have. 3 And the suggestion from Mr. Tunsky that the proposed building 4 5 setback and the south -- the building south of that which is 30 feet back and then out to the curb with the 6 Lakeville Interiors, that that's not inconsistent, it's 7 just not credible. We ask the Commission to look at the 8 regulation and interpret it in the manner in which it was 9 intended. 10

Second, as you pointed out tonight and last 11 time, it's going to create a situation of unsafe access 12 for delivery vehicles in the rear of the building. I 13 won't belabor his testimony. But the applicant's 14 solution, which is you'll probably hear from in a bit, is 15 well, we'll just make all the deliveries come in on 16 Millerton Road. You see the sign all the time, all 17 deliveries in the rear. Well, that's not what this 18 19 applicant wants to do. It wants to make all deliveries in the front. 20

How is that possibly safe on Millerton Road? And in response to Dr. Schiff's [Verbatim] question tonight, Mr. Tunsky said well we'll look into this whether we can do the -- a loading area on Millerton Road; but he admitted that DOT might not allow a loading

area on Millerton Road and Attorney Smith said, well, we can look into it. Well, it's too late for that. This is the close of the hearing. As you pointed out, Mr. Chairman, there's a lot of unanswered questions. And it's too late for them to say, well, we're going to take a look at this later.

And Attorney Smith also said you cannot 7 condition approval on establishing a loading area -- on 8 having DOT establishing a loading area or approve a 9 loading area on Millerton. I agree with that because the 10 Commission cannot impose a condition which is dependent 11 on the approval of another agency unless that approval is 12 reasonably probable from the record. There is no 13 evidence on this record that they've even contacted DOT 14 about that. And frankly, the notion that DOT would 15 approve a loading area on this road is -- it's frankly 16 preposterous. 17

And one other point was made I think by 18 Mr. Grickis about your concerns, Mr. Chairman, about 19 traffic safety and you said, well, those concerns were 20 already in the previous record. I would just point out 21 to the Commission that we asked the Commission to 22 incorporate the record from the prior public hearing and 23 that request was denied. So, I would reiterate that 2.4 request that the transcript and the exhibits from the 25

1 prior hearing be incorporated. If I'm wrong on that --2 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Who -- [Unintelligible]. 3 MR. CASAGRANDE: I believe Abby Conroy 4 indicated that would not be possible. But I would ask 5 that the Commission do that. It's entirely common in these kind of applications, which are denied or approved, 6 and then a new application is filed for a Commission to 7 incorporate the prior public record. So we would ask 8 9 that be incorporated. But again, there is just too many unanswered 10 questions about the safety of this building and the 11 proposal to have delivery vehicles come in on the front 12 in a loading zone is just not credible. 13 Second, why is it unreasonable. The 14 application we believe does not comply with the 15 compatibility and suitability of location requirements of 16 the regulations. I won't belabor this point, we talked 17 about the loss of parking spaces critical to the 18 surrounding businesses. As I said before, put aside the 19 20 legal issue of whether losing the Bicentennial Park will create or exacerbate non-conformities of these 21 businesses. The facts are you have firsthand testimony 22 of these business owners in affidavits testifying to 23 their average daily use of this parking lot of 12 to 16 2.4 spaces. And testifying that if those spaces are 25

drastically reduced or eliminated, it will jeopardize the
 viability of their businesses.

The applicant's traffic expert's response --3 and curiously, I saw in the applicant's submission for 4 tonight that, well, the record shows over ten years 5 there's only been three to five spaces used per day by 6 the surrounding business. I don't see any testimony in 7 the record to support that assertion. And again, -- and 8 the suggestion, frankly, that each tenant of this 9 building of the 12 units is only going to use one space. 10 That just does not jive with the reality of real parking 11 needs of families in this area of working couples. 12 So again, as I said in the first session, in 13

14 the end who's testimony should you credit? An 15 out-of-town consultant who obviously was acting in good 16 faith, but knows nothing about the parking needs of 17 downtown Salisbury or these businesses; or the business 18 owners who say to you, plead to you, please don't do this 19 because we need these spaces for the viability of our 20 businesses.

21 We submit that the answer should be clear. 22 We ask the Commission to ask itself in your 23 deliberations, why is it worth it to destroy Bicentennial 24 Park? Why jeopardize these businesses? Especially when 25 you have viable alternatives that the town could explore. And especially when we've introduced evidence that show
 that the funding for this project received from CHFA was
 obtained based on misrepresentations about the very
 nature of the site.

5 So, in conclusion, members of the Commission, 6 again thank you for your time. We believe if it wasn't 7 clear before, it should be clear by now that my clients, 8 the Intervenors, they don't oppose affordable housing 9 anywhere in Salisbury.

10 VOICE: Right.

MR. CASAGRANDE: Suggestions to the contrary on and off this record are frankly they are outlandish and they are offensive to the integrity of my clients. Their need are real. They are not Nimbies. All they are saying is this is the wrong site. Other sites are available and should be pursued.

We ask the Commission to fairly consider our 17 arguments on the merits. Please, please do not be swayed 18 by the personal public attacks on my clients as elitists 19 who don't want lower income people in town. You've seen 20 the articles. Please. You know and I need not remind 21 you that that kind of bias and pretuberative (ph.) 22 attacks have no place in your deliberations. 23 We all should have the same goals here. We 24 want to increase affordable housing in Salisbury. That 25

1 is a laudable public purpose. We all agree on that. But not at the expense of destroying a valuable historic 2 resource of the town and of jeopardizing the viability of 3 the businesses who depend on it for parking. And for 4 these reasons, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, 5 thank you for your time. We ask you to deny this 6 application. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you, Attorney 8 Casagrande. 9 Land Use Administrator would like a moment 10 before we get to Attorney Smith. 11 MS. CONROY: Just, we did get an e-mail in 12 while I was -- while we've been on this, that included 13 some additional supplemental information from the 14 Applicant. Just communications back and forth with DPH. 15 They did include their DPH notification in the original 16 packet. But they've submitted more -- some more 17 supporting documentation for that that demonstrates that 18 So, I don't necessarily think you need me 19 communication. to read it for you line for line but it will be included 20 and uploaded to the website as it was received prior to 21 the close of the hearing. 22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Did you want to say 23 something about the --2.4 25 MS. CONROY: As far as the record goes, we

1 actually had an e-mail discussion about this, 2 Mr. Casagrande and I, that I had not been -- nobody's 3 requested that I include the whole record. The -- it was my opinion that wasn't your intent because nobody had 4 5 requested it. But again, I emphasize that it was my opinion and it was also my opinion at the time that the 6 Intervenor did not have an intent to include that because 7 they had re-submitted specific documentation from the 8 prior hearing and not requested that the full record from 9 the prior application be included. 10 So --MR. CASAGRANDE: May I just respond to that 11 12 very quickly. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Let me talk --13 MR. CASAGRANDE: Sure. 14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I mean, at this juncture can 15 we bring forward traffic report and put them in the 16 record? 17 The traffic reports are in. MS. CONROY: 18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: In the record? 19 20 MS. CONROY: Yeah. MR. CASAGRANDE: I would just add to what 21 Ms. Conroy said. There may be a little bit of 22 misunderstanding. My position clearly said that we want 23 the record of the prior hearing to be incorporated and 2.4 that's when Ms. Conroy e-mailed me and said that would be 25

1 an issue and -- So the Intervenors have requested it. 2 And we did submit other reports from the first hearing 3 only because, you know, we understood that her position, presumably the Commission's, was that the entire record 4 5 would not be incorporated. But I still think it's 6 appropriate to incorporate the entire record. MS. CONROY: I guess I miss that had when we 7 had a specific dialogue you didn't ask me to include it, 8 so I must have missed that. 9 10 MR. CASAGRANDE: That's okay. MR. SMITH: Chris Smith, on that issue and I 11 know our rebuttal time is what it is here. 12 But --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You have plenty of rebuilt 13 time. 14 MR. SMITH: Thank you. I would respectfully 15 submit to the Commission, but subject to your counsel 16 weighing in, certainly I would respectfully submit 17 that -- and only because the hearing may be closed 18 tonight, that the record from the prior application not 19 20 be included. I would have had no objection to it at the outset but to do that now as you're about to close the 21 hearing would -- I don't think that would be appropriate 22 just because of the -- you're about to close the hearing 23 and then you are incorporating items that may not be in 2.4 the record now that were in the record the first time. Ι 25

didn't participate the first time, so I have no idea -- I 1 2 just don't know what was in there. I think, from a 3 procedural standpoint, that it would not be appropriate to do that. And the Intervenor certainly -- as I said, I 4 5 would have agreed with Attorney Casagrande, I wouldn't have had any problem with it. But it do it now I don't 6 think would be appropriate. 7 8 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: My concern --MR. SMITH: [Unintelligible] before now. 9 10 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: My concern, Attorney Smith, were the traffic reports were brought forward and they 11 are in the record. 12 MR. SMITH: And I think we specifically 13 requested that since we were using the same traffic 14 reports when Mr. Balskus was --15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That was my -- I was 16 concerned about. My concern has been resolved. 17 Mr. Smith, you remember -- it seemed like a 18 lifetime ago -- I asked you when you do your rebuttal, 19 are there onsite measures, other measures not involving 20 an offsite development that can be taken to address the 21 alleged impairment of historic resource. Should the 22 Commission conclude that the Intervenor has met their 23 If they are, please be prepared to discuss them burden. 2.4 during your presentation which now is turning to you. 25

1 I would ask you one more thing. 2 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: To have you opinion consider 3 Why is there no consideration to having a loading 4 this: 5 area on Holley Street? MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, why is 6 there no consideration? 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Why isn't that -- we've 8 talked about we can't get the box trucks and the moving 9 vans in the back. We're talking about delivering from 10 the front of the building, which does create -- I can see 11 problems there. What is to prevent using, certainly for 12 deliveries and that, maybe not for moving, but for your 13 standard deliveries, why can't they park on Holley 14 15 Street. MR. SMITH: I will let Mr. Petitto respond to 16 He was going to be going first in the rebuttal. 17 that. So, I presume that Rocco can incorporate that -- respond 18 And it was the Commission that raised that to that. 19 issue. Attorney Casagrande seemed to be indicating that 20 we brought that up and that was our proposal; but I'll 21 let Mr. Petitto address that. If you would like, we can 22 start our rebuttal now with Rocco. 23 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, let's start the 24 rebuttal. 25

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. PETITTO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As we

1

2

3 designed the building, the process was creating a public 4 access to the building, not access the private part of 5 the building where the residents would be. Creating a 6 private access for the residents there that no one else 7 would be able to get into.

So, if you look at the plan of the building, 8 9 you can see that the front door is where all the public 10 access is. Public rest rooms, there's community room, there's the office. There's also a mail room that's 11 accessed off that lobby. So, that is the front door. 12 In 13 our discussions through design we discussed where vehicles may go and it was brought up that several 14 businesses on Millerton Road have their deliveries 15 delivered from Millerton Road. We also do, as you 16 pointed out, have a pull off parking area on Holley 17 Street. So, if -- I don't foresee that a UPS driver will 18 19 be driving down Millerton Road, have to make a delivery to the building and decide it would be a good idea to 20 double park there. I would assume that they would take 21 the time to drive around the corner and park on Holley 22 Street and walk around the building to the front door or 23 they would have to park in the parking lot in the back, 2.4 taking up a lane and a half, to unload there and then 25

walk around the building to deliver the packages. 1 So, there's actually three access points where they can stop. 2 3 And in discussions with the design team and 4 some people that are on the design team from the local 5 area, the access from Millerton Road to the building didn't seem like an issue. And access for voluntary 6 ambulance, there's 9-foot clearance under the building, 7 so there's enough room for a typical ambulance. As I 8 said before, the elevator is large enough for a gurney 9 10 and emergency vehicles. [Verbatim]. If we can go to the next slide. 11 MR. SMITH: Excuse me, Rocco just to confirm 12 The building does provide for a 9-foot clearance? 13 that: I'm just reading what's there but just to confirm that. 14 MR. PETITTO: Yes, the building will have a 15 9-foot clearance all the way into the drive lot. 16 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 17 I think you pass this on to Kent MR. PETITTO: 18 19 McCoy now. Hold on, I think there's -- I MR. SMITH: 20 thought there was another slide with the fire. I think 21 there was a slide --22 That's the previous one. 23 MR. PETITTO: Yep, that's the letter we have from the fire marshal from the 2.4 town of Salisbury that he will be reviewing all plans and 25

1 confirming and life safety issues with our design which 2 will include, you know, sprinklers and other measures as 3 well as access to the outside of the building where 4 emergency vehicles will be able to supply the building 5 with any life safety equipment need.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, for the record, Chris 6 Smith, one of the points that Mr. Balskus had asked me to 7 point out to the Commission, because he is teaching a 8 class that I think goes to 10 o'clock this evening and he 9 apologizes for not being able to be here. 10 But just to note that the fire marshal, who is the fire marshal --11 most towns that I go to it's the fire marshal that 12 provides comments. There is a fire chief. I understand 13 But the fire marshal opines that the site design, 14 that. the building as designed is, quote, in compliance with 15 current building and fire codes, end of quote. So, we 16 spent a lot of time being concerned about a delivery 17 truck, a UPS truck being able to get there, when your 18 fire marshal in your town feels that access can be made 19 20 to the building with fire trucks and whatnot.

21 So --

22 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I'm not going to sit and 23 spar with you, Attorney Smith. But I think the number of 24 UPS trucks will be much more frequent than the number of 25 fire trucks.

1 MR. SMITH: Exactly, but the point being that 2 there is the ability to access to drive in; and it keeps 3 being brought up as an alleged safety concern when, in 4 reality, it's not. And that's been the testimony from 5 our experts on that. I know the Intervenor's counsel, in his summation, was making reference to it being a safety 6 issue. And it simply is not. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Point taken. 8

9 MR. SMITH: I agree with you, too. Definitely 10 it would be different. But with your permission, 11 Mr. Chairman, Mr. McCoy had prepared a response in his 12 presentation for rebuttal relative to Ms. Carley's 13 report.

Kent McCoy here, QA+M MR. McCOY: Thanks. 14 Architecture. I think a lot of the issues that you see 15 on the page here we've talked about already in our 16 presentation and in our conversation. But one thing I 17 would like to rebut right away was that Attorney 18 Casagrande's statement that I referred to Bicentennial 19 20 Park as a vital historical resource. What I was asked by Attorney Casagrande was, is it a contributing feature of 21 the historic district. Those were two entirely different 22 things. Because a contributing feature is the kind of 23 technical terminology that a National Register 2.4 application uses to identify features that get reviewed 25

by the SHPO. I agree that the park -- anything that goes on the park has to be reviewed by the SHPO if the project is Federally or State-funded. But I did not call it a vital historic resource. But it is a contributing feature because that's how it's listed in the application.

Moving on from that, though, you know, I 7 have -- you'll see this slide and the next two I talk a 8 lot about the size of the building and its bulk. 9 But I think really the more salient point here is the second 10 bullet point, Typology. And as Ms. Carley pointed out, 11 the Federal style typology of the village is single 12 family houses set back from the road with foundation, 13 plantings and driveways and that sort of thing. That 14 doesn't mean that there are no Federal-style buildings of 15 the size that we're proposing on this site. So, I think 16 there's a little disagreement there about what's 17 appropriate for the district and what the donor's request 18 for Federal-style building implies. 19

I think we have already cleared up the issue of concrete walks and steps. Yes, our walks are concrete as all concrete walks are -- all sidewalks are on Millerton Road and that we intend to relocate the historic stones and include them in site walls and building walls. However, the next -- skipping to the next one,

I want to point out we're specifically not designing a 1 replica building. We're trying to as much as possible 2 3 reflect the spirit and scale and -- of the federal style, but in a new building. In fact, the secretary of 4 interior who administers new construction in historic 5 districts reviewed by SHPO, but the quidelines are that 6 you can't do replica building. They are not approved. 7 Buildings must be reflective of their time, but there's 8 some respect for their location. 9

10 Also, as we said earlier in the presentation, 11 SHPO will not give us any review until a notification 12 form is filed and that we need our funding for.

The other thing is the images that were in 13 Ms. Carley's report that were the sort of paste-ups on 14 top of our -- on top of the photographs are a bit 15 misleading because an elevation is a direct projection in 16 a way that a building is never seen. And so it gives a 17 false impression of bulk where the modeling software that 18 we use in the office actually gives the correct 19 20 proportion and image of the bulk. We can go on to the next slide. 21 22

But again, these are not as important, but just -- I was looking at buildings in and around the historic district, in the neighborhood and/or the national or local historic district; and I kept thinking

149

not only was a building of this size already on the site previously, but all -- so many buildings in the area, including single family houses, are not incredibly different from what we're showing here.

You can see that the Boathouse and the Farnam 5 Inn are very large. Certainly, we all know the Interiors 6 building is large. The Shannon footprint is not unlike 7 ours. And then, in terms of height, if you go to the 8 next slide, the -- yeah. You know, as previously said, 9 you know, we've got the old factory which it's window 10 placement and window rhythms and overall height, even the 11 change of material from the base is not dissimilar to 12 what we're proposing. So, to my eye, what is being 13 proposed is compatible with the district. 14

15 I think that's it. Thank you.

16 MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, with your permission 17 I have just a couple quick questions for Mr. McCoy.

18 Mr. McCoy, based on your experience and your 19 knowledge of this particular site, is this site located 20 in any type of district where it is regulated with any 21 specific type of criteria?

22 MR. McCOY: No. No. Again, as I said, it only 23 depends on funding and then it's an advisory review from 24 SHPO. It's not regulated.

25 MR. SMITH: And as far as the -- Chris Smith

1 for the record -- as far as the grass area which is known 2 as Bicentennial Park, that portion of the property that's 3 not parking lot, is -- would this building replacing the existing parking lot in that area on the existing 4 5 property, would that, in your opinion, result -- would that be reasonably likely to result in the unreasonable 6 pollution, impairment or destruction of the air, water or 7 other -- whatever type of historic resource of the State 8 of Connecticut may be attributed to the site and or the 9 immediate neighborhood? 10 MR. McCOY: I would say no. 11 MR. SMITH: And as to -- because we heard from 12 Ms. Carley, as you recall, there was a little unclarity 13 whether replacing the park would be okay and then if you 14 put a building in with the scale and size, I believe that 15 you're familiar with the building that was there and that 16 was demolished in the mid 1960s; correct? 17 MR. McCOY: Yes, I am. 18 I think that was indicated on the MR. SMITH: 19 Intervenor slide from this evening, slide No. 15 in the 20 bottom right-hand corner? 21 MR. McCOY: That's correct, yes. 22 MR. SMITH: And in your opinion, would the --23 is this proposed building consistent with what was there 24 before and demolished in the 1960s? 25

MR. McCOY: Yes, it's actually smaller. It's 1 2 smaller and lower than what existed there previously. MR. SMITH: In your professional opinion, will 3 the construction of the proposed building and use of the 4 5 property, will that -- is that reasonably likely result in the unreasonable pollution, impairment or destruction 6 of the air, water or other natural resource of the State 7 of Connecticut including any type of historic resource? 8 MR. McCOY: No. 9 10 MR. SMITH: Okay. And in your opinion, does the proposal comply 11 with the special permit and site plan criteria as pride 12 in Salisbury zoning regulations? 13 MR. McCOY: Yes. 14 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much. 15 I do have similar questions, Mr. Chairman, just 16 very quickly for both Mr. Tunsky and Mr. Petitto, if 17 possible. 18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You are going to going to 19 submit this Power Point for the record? 20 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. It's already in the 21 We submitted this yesterday. record. 22 This one -- yeah, Mr. Chairman, 23 MS. CONROY: this one is submitted and I just received an e-mail from 2.4 the Intervenor with their slides. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Great. So, all of that will be available to us for deliberations. 2 Thank you. MR. SMITH: There was a Power Point slide a 3 couple slides ago before the photographs it said I 4 5 believe, Berke, at the bottom but it's Bulk Images. 6 To both Mr. Tunsky and Mr. Petitto, in your opinions, does the application, as submitted, comply with 7 the site plan and special permit regulations and cite as 8 provided in the Salisbury zoning regulations? 9 It does. 10 MR. TUNSKY: MR. PETITTO: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: If you can identify just who 12 it is. 13 Jon Tunsky, it does. MR. TUNSKY: 14 MR. PETITTO: Rocco Petitto. It does. 15 MR. SMITH: And last question. In your opinion 16 will the proposal, is it reasonably likely that the 17 proposal, if approved and constructed, will result in the 18 unreasonable pollution, impairment or destruction in the 19 air, water or other natural resource of the State of 20 Connecticut? Mr. Tunsky? 21 MR. TUNSKY: Jon Tunsky. No. 22 MR. SMITH: Mr. Petitto. 23 MR. PETITTO: Rocco Petitto. No. 2.4 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Petitto. Thank you 25

1 Mr. Chairman.

2 What I would like to do is just spend just a summary, a brief amount of time on legal issues which, as 3 Mr. Casagrande indicated, no attorney likes to discuss 4 with a land use board or Commission in our state; 5 however, the legal issues have been first and foremost at 6 the forefront of the Intervenor's claim. So, I do think 7 that I should address them and explain very briefly 8 what's in my letter that I did submit to the Commission. 9 And that was dated April 8th, 2021, that I believe 10 addresses the pertinent issues that have been raised. 11 The legal issues. They dovetail into the record. 12 So, in 13 my conclusion, I would like to tie these comments into the record, which is what you'll be basing your decision 14 15 on.

And at the outset, with regards to the Section 16 22A-19 intervention, as we're aware, historic issues have 17 been raised and again, at the outset, I would like to 18 19 reiterate that there is no regulatory authority, state, federal or local, involving historic impact review that 20 governs this proposal. Certainly, it's not located 21 within an historic district as provided by Chapter 97 a 22 of the Connecticut General Statutes which governs the 23 historic district commissions throughout the state. And 2.4 I would like to note that the historic district 25

commission did not determine it necessary to locate this
 property within that district.

Going to the burden of proof, I think everybody 3 agrees that the burden of proof when concerning the 4 claims and allegations in a Section 22A-19 intervention 5 pleading is on the Intervenors. The folks that have 6 submitted that pleading. And they have the burden -- and 7 I'm not going to walk through it -- but they have the 8 burden of establishing, by substantial expert testimony, 9 that the conduct is reasonably likely to result in the 10 unreasonable pollution, impairment or destruction in the 11 air, water or other natural resource of the State of 12 Connecticut. And that's a very important burden because 13 it can't be conclusory, and it can't be speculative. 14

The Intervenors have to identify and quantify 15 the alleged unreasonable pollution or destruction or 16 impairment. Very similar to a wetlands type of standard 17 in review as set forth in the River Bend decision with 18 Expert testimony, and you have to identify and 19 Simsbury. quantify harm. You can't just have a broad stroke that 20 it will result in an adverse impact to a wetlands, for 21 example. You have to identify and quantify it. 22 I think what I would respectfully submit what 23 we have on the record before you is the substantial 2.4

#### ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES (860) 693-8557

evidence is the testimony from Ms. Carley and I think

25

1 when questioned by the Chair as to whether the removal of 2 the park area of this site that's the non-parking area, 3 you know, vehicle parking area, if that was prohibited. I don't think we got a definitive answer that it was. 4 5 There was testimony that it was a contributing factor to the historic area, in general, to that neighborhood, but 6 I don't think the testimony was that it could not be 7 built on. 8

I also think that in response, if I'm not 9 mistaken, that a building could be put on there and then 10 when specifically asked as to what type of design should 11 be incorporated, it was a little blurry. I would 12 respectfully submit that's not enough to substantiate 13 your burden of proof that this proposal is going to 14 somehow unreasonably destroy some natural resource in the 15 State and in the center of Salisbury and this Lakeville 16 There was no specific resource was identified 17 center. where it was going to be unreasonably destroyed and where 18 that didn't comply with the statute. 19

In fact, I think the testimony from Ms. Carley was that you could replace -- you could build on this site and then she had some concerns, general concerns with the architecture; and I would respectfully submit that doesn't rise to the level of unreasonable pollution of a natural resource. It's one thing to say something

1 is going to happen on a site where a wetlands is being 2 destroyed. It's another thing to say that something's 3 going to happen on a property that somehow adversely affects some historical component of the neighborhood. 4 5 And I would respectfully submit that's fairly speculative and it doesn't rise to the occasion of sustaining the 6 burden of proof in order to establish and satisfy the 7 burden of proof under 22A-19. 8

Now, of course, as the Chair indicated and as 9 everyone is aware, that unless the Commission finds, 10 based on the substantial expert testimony in the record, 11 that this conduct will -- is reasonably likely to result 12 in unreasonable pollution or destruction of the air, 13 water or other natural resource, we don't get the 14 feasible improvement alternatives. Everyone agrees on 15 that. That's the Paige decision. 16

And I was specifically asked and both Attorney 17 Casagrande and I were asked by the Chair as to whether we 18 had an opinion as to whether offsite alternatives for 19 20 construction would be included as a potential feasible and prudent alternative under 22A-19. And in my letter 21 to the Commission on April 8th, 2021, I point out to you 22 that the only case that either one of us found was a case 23 with Attorney Casagrande -- that involved one of his 24 partners' family, I guess, up in Litchfield in a 25

wetlands, and the Court did point out that offsite 1 alternatives could be considered within a wetlands 2 application on adjacent property if the Commission found 3 that there was going to be an adverse impact. And in 4 that case the Commission hadn't found it, so the Court 5 didn't go any further. And they just said that in that 6 particular case, and it was quoted by Attorney Casagrande 7 in his letter to you of April 8th at page 3 or 4 at the 8 bottom where the Court held, quote, If the Court required 9 the Commission to consider whether the applicant's 10 adjacent land contained a feasible and prudent 11 alternative, then the plaintiff, the neighbor, would be 12 allowed to dictate where an applicant can develop land 13 even when the Commission is satisfied the subject 14 property does not interfere with the wetlands and water 15 course, end of quote. 16

Attorney Casagrande has not provided this 17 Commission -- and I'm not aware of any -- legal authority 18 that says that when a Commission is reviewing claims 19 20 under 22A-19 that a feasible and prudent alternative would consider a no-build situation on that property in 21 requiring the applicant or the owner of that property to 22 go build on some other property that they have in town. 23 It doesn't exist. 2.4

And I know Attorney Casagrande, in one of his

25

1 letters that came in I think today, and possibly
2 yesterday, pointed out that I didn't provide the
3 Commission with any case law to -- that held that you
4 can't do it. Well, when you're looking at authority for
5 a Commission, you look at your enabling legislation.
6 What you are authorized by the General Assembly to do and
7 to consider.

8 And that's generally pretty explicit. And I 9 respectfully submit that there is absolutely no authority 10 for this Commission to deny an owner of a property the 11 ability to develop their property saying that you would 12 be better off putting this pharmacy, this 12 units, this 13 addition for an accessory apartment on another property 14 owned by yourself.

So that's -- I respectfully submit to the 15 Commission that the Intervenors have not sustained their 16 burden of proof relative to 22A-19. There is no need to 17 look at feasible and prudent alternatives. And if you 18 were to look at feasible and prudent alternatives, I 19 would respectfully submit that the Commission is not 20 authorized to look for offsite alternatives, unless, as a 21 in the wetlands situation, it's on adjacent property 22 controlled where the alleged harm can be addressed and 23 mitigated. 24

25

As to the next slide, if we can go there,

1 Jocelyn. Thank you.

2	Zoning 9 conformities and satellite parking.
3	I'm just spending a little time on these two because
4	these are two of the main legal issues that have been
5	brought up. They really weren't addressed this evening.
6	But there's a lot of material in the record concerning
7	such. And in my I would simply ask you with regards
8	to my letter of April 8th, 2021, under the second
9	heading: Valid Legal Non-conformities Allegedly
10	Associated with Other Neighboring Properties, there's two
11	concept that were raised by Attorney Capecelatro. And
12	it's in the record. And Mr. Casagrande has raised it as
13	well and subscribes to it. And that is the false claim
14	that if you were to approve this proposal, it will
15	somehow increase valid zoning non-conformities with other
16	properties.
17	That just doesn't make any sense, whatsoever.
18	I provide you with a good I think is a good example
19	with the shopping center and essentially, if one property
20	owner has a valid non-conformity, they don't have the
21	ability to prevent their adjacent property owner from
22	developing their property claiming that somehow that
23	development is going to make their non-conformity it's

24 going to increase. And I gave you the example of a

25 shopping center where shopping center A has 120 spaces --

excuse me, has 100 spaces and that's what it was -- when 1 it was initially approved and built, it required 100 2 3 parking spaces for the square footage. Zoning was changed and now requires 150. So, shop center A, 4 5 presumably these other properties adjacent to -- and in the immediate neighborhood, has a valid non-conformity as 6 to park of 50 spaces. It's short 50 spaces, but they 7 pre-dated zoning so they're okay. 8

Now, shopping center B immediately adjacent, 9 they have 150 spaces but with their current tenant, they 10 only need 120 spaces. So, there's 30 extra spaces for 11 the adjacent property center B. Center B goes to add a 12 restaurant use to the center, shopping center B, which 13 requires an additional 30 spaces, well they can do that, 14 they are within the parking requirements. They will be 15 going from 120 to 150 and they provide 150 spaces. 16

The point being that the shopping owner of A --17 the shop owner of shopping center A, the owner of 18 shopping center A cannot appear before the Commission and 19 legally claim that his adjacent neighbor, his adjacent 20 property owner who owns shopping center B can't add the 21 restaurant and use up those parking spaces on shopping 22 center B's property because shopping owner A shopping 23 center A uses them. And that's what you're hearing here. 24 And if that were to be approved by the Commission in my 25

hypothetical, that is not going to be increase the
 conformity of that first shopping center, shopping center
 A. They are still going to be short 30 parking spaces
 under the regulations, but they are still valid.

5 So, to claim that legally by approving this 6 application for special permit approval it somehow 7 increases some non-conformity on some other be 8 properties, either in the immediate neighborhood or 9 anywhere in the town off Salisbury, that's just not 10 legally correct.

Now, as to the second claim, the pizza shop, 11 Deano's, there's evidence in the record there was a 12 special permit application going back in 2006. These are 13 all in the record. The application indicates that there 14 were four onsite parking spaces that were required --15 excuse me, five. And the application indicated that 16 there were four onsite and one in front of the shop. 17 So, they didn't need any additional offsite parking. They 18 They required five spaces, four onsite, one 19 complied. right out in front of the pizza shop. They may have 20 shown satellite parking on a piece of paper -- on the 21 sheet that the site plan that they had made pending 22 satellite parking, somebody had. But what's important to 23 realize is one, not only was it not required to comply 24 with your zoning regulations, but your zoning regulations 25

specifically and explicitly state that when there's going to be satellite parking, that the, quote, Applicant shall provide a written agreement with the owner of the satellite parking space for the use of such parking space, end of quote. That's Section 703.7 of your regulations.

And that exists throughout the state. 7 One property owner can't bind another to parking by just 8 showing it on an application without having the owner of 9 the property's authorization to submit that application. 10 And your regulations, as just every other regulation that 11 I've seen dealing with satellite parking throughout the 12 state, requires something in writing from the owner who 13 allegedly is supplying the satellite parking in order for 14 that to be part of an application. 15

And just as the pizza -- Deano's can't encumber 16 this property that we're talking about, the subject 17 property this evening, with satellite parking, I 18 respectfully submit that the Commission, when it approved 19 the special permit in 2006, in turn did not and cannot 20 and could not at that point in time encumber this 21 property with that satellite parking. Most importantly, 22 it's simply just not needed. 23

24 So, you are -- if you were to approve this 25 contrary to what's been told to you from counsel and

1 what's been represented by THE intervenors and Attorney 2 Capecelatro, you will not be creating a violation of that 3 special permit. It wasn't even needed and your own regulations require a written agreement which wasn't 4 5 provided, so therefore, there isn't any satellite parking attributed to that special permit. And my quess is that 6 Deano's, the pizza operation, will not be shut down if 7 this building is built and that there's plenty of on 8 street parking that will accommodate; but more 9 importantly, the legal arguments that have been made to 10 you are just inappropriate and do not apply. 11 The next slide with the site design. 12 Respectfully submit and you heard testimony 13 from our experts that the right-of-ways, we heard a lot 14 of concern about this right-of-way on that side of the 15 building and the easement. It's not encumbered, 16 whatsoever. Mr. Casagrande -- Attorney Casagrande did 17 submit a letter, I think it might have come in today, 18 about how by putting fire striping there for a fire lane 19 20 that encroaches in the easement. Well, there's a fire and the fire trucks are there, nobody's going to be able 21 to get by and -- but that's the encroaching on any 22 It's -- in addition to that, there is access 23 easement. heading in the other direction from that property. But I 24 would respectfully submit that there is no encroachment 25

1 that's going to impede any rights-of-ways associated with 2 any adjacent property owners.

As far as any disputes, as Attorney Casagrande 3 indicated that there could be potentially litigation for 4 5 years tying this up relative to that right-of-way and in a quiet title action, I presume. The two cases I provide 6 in my letter to you are just that. The Courts have held 7 that if there's a dispute concerning whether an easement 8 exists or restrictive covenant and whether an application 9 is going to affect it in some way or whether that 10 easement somehow affects the application, that's for a 11 quiet title action. That's not for the Planning and 12 Zoning Commission to consider, with all due respect. 13

The last point dealing with the donor's 14 We have heard quite a bit about that. And I intents. 15 think it very simple. Number one, the town of -- this is 16 right from the letter from -- an excerpt from the donor's 17 letter, Mr. Belcher, to the Town Board of Selectmen from 18 December 20, 1969. One that the town agrees -- this was 19 the basis, the donor was providing money to the town to 20 purchase the subject property. 21

First provision, Town of Salisbury agrees that the present structure will be removed within nine months. That's what happened. It was taken down. That's the building that's in slide No. 15 in the Intervenor's 1 presentation this evening on the bottom left-hand side.

2 Number 2, If the building construction is not started within one year of acquiring title thereto and 3 demoing the then current building, then the site will be 4 what, it will be cleared, graded and landscaped for a 5 town park or attractively landscaped for a parking area. 6 It will be maintained in a neat and attractive fashion. 7 You got both of those. There's a little park area. 8 Т don't know if there's benches there, and then you have 9 the parking. 10

Number 3, The town agrees that if any building 11 were ever erected on this cleared land then the building 12 shall have an exterior design in keeping with the Federal 13 or early 18th century image of our village. And, quite 14 frankly, that's what's happened. And that's what's 15 before you this evening. And I would respectfully submit 16 that with the last application there was concern from the 17 Commission that it did not comply with the Federal style, 18 The building was re-designed and 19 so that was withdrawn. this application was re-submitted to incorporate 20 Federal -- the Federal style architectural features and 21 components into this exterior design. 22

And in conclusion, and I know Jocelyn has a few quick comments for you from SHC, but as we know, there's two applications before you. One is the special permit

application I respectfully submit that the application
does comply with your special permit and site plan
requirements in your -- provided in your regulations.
And secondly, as the 22A-19 intervention claims, that I
respectfully submit that the Intervenors have not
sustained their burden of proof and that there's no need
to go to a feasible and prudent alternative.

And even if they -- the Commission determines 8 the Intervenors did sustain burden of proof and 9 identified and quantified harm to some sort of specific 10 resource out there, and how it was going to be harmed, 11 that a feasible and prudent alternative is not to 12 relocate these 12 affordable housing units to some other 13 property in the town owned by the town, especially, for 14 example, on a site adjacent -- immediate adjacent to a 15 transfer station. I mean, I do quite a bit of affordable 16 housing applications throughout the state and I have 17 never heard, not with one application, have I not heard 18 that everyone's in favor of affordable housing, however, 19 this isn't the most appropriate site. Up until now, to 20 be honest with you, Mr. Chairman and members of the 21 Commission, my example for one of the more interesting 22 alternatives that I heard was the old warehouse down by 23 That was in Westport with an the train station. 24 application. I have to say that I haven't heard a more 25

inappropriate alternative as I heard with this 1 2 application would be to re-locate next to the town's transfer station. 3 Again, I would respectfully submit that the 4 Intervenors have not sustained their burden and then the 5 question is: Does the application comply with your 6 criteria for special permit approval and I respectfully 7 submit that it does. 8 And with that, I would -- on behalf of myself I 9 know Jocelyn has a few closing comments but I would like 10 to thank the Commission for your time. Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Attorney Smith, I do have 12 13 one question. MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. 14 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Maybe you can help me here. 15 You brought up River Bend. 16 MR. SMITH: 17 Yes. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I know that when you deal 18 19 with natural resources, these are quite quantifiable. 20 MR. SMITH: Yes. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: And when you deal with this 21 historic intervention, which I've never dealt with, isn't 22 by very nature this a much more subjective analysis than 23 would be -- River Bend was just lack of evidence 2.4 [Unintelligible] of harm. Here, isn't it by very nature, 25

because this is historic, because it deals with interpretation, isn't it by nature a more subjective, less evidence-based matter?

MR. SMITH: I would respectfully submit that 4 5 it's not, Mr. Chairman. And there is one case, and Attorney Casagrande pointed to it, I'm very familiar with 6 United Progress out of Stonington with the borough. 7 it. That was back in 1994, Judge Berger. That was an 8-30g 8 application which is an affordable housing application, a 9 fairly Substantial one. That was denied for a number of 10 reasons under 8-30q. And there had been an intervention 11 and Judge Berger does make reference to historic being a 12 consideration under 22A-19. He also found it could be 13 under -- 8-30g as well. And as the Chair maybe members 14 of the Commission are aware, dealing with an 8-30g the 15 question is there going to be an adverse impact to a 16 substantial public interest. Of course the question is 17 what's a substantial public interest. And I can tell you 18 that when you think about it, since that one case, it's 19 20 been 26, 27 years, you haven't had any cases on it dealing with historic; because it's probably a nebulous 21 and very difficult burden of proof to sustain but you --22 the Intervenor still has that burden. You can't just 23 haphazardly -- and just -- for an example, in an 8-30g 24 decision within a 22A-19 to say that well there's going 25

to be an adverse impact on an adjacent historic district, where it's just well what's causing the harm and it's like, well, it's the scale and size of the building. It's like, well, it's pretty comparable what was there or in some cases what is there and how is that somehow going to harm a property in the historic district.

And that is totally subjective. And 7 Mr. Chairman, I would -- that's not enough. That's 8 almost being subjective and conclusory. That's like 9 10 saying I don't think it compatible with the neighborhood with a special permit application. You know, is that 11 really being -- is that fair? Is that actually 12 substantiating the standard? It's a very high standard 13 We're talking about reasonably likely to 14 here. unreasonably pollute, impair or destroy a natural 15 resource or the air or water. 16

So, I would respectfully submit that I do 17 recognize that historic is extremely subjective. But I 18 do think that what we heard from the Intervenor's 19 consultant was -- you asked the specific questions, was 20 the consultant saying that they couldn't replace the 21 park. And I don't think there was a straight answer 22 there, but I don't think the answer was no. 23 The park has to stay because then the next follow up was, if there's a 2.4 building, what is wrong with this architecture. 25 And

1 there wasn't any real answer other than it's not my job -- I'm paraphrasing, it not my responsibility to 2 3 design this building. So, I would respectfully submit that it isn't 4 just pure subjectively. That there has to be some sort 5 of expert testimony and that's why we have Mr. McCoy here 6 for you this evening. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. That helps me 8 9 greatly. Sorry so to interrupt. 10 MR. SMITH: No, no. Thank you. And I think Jocelyn is up. 11 MS. AYER: Jocelyn Ayer, Salisbury Housing 12 Committee. I see Abby yawning. I agree. I just want to 13 make some very quick closing comments. 14 As you all know and has been brought up 15 tonight, we have -- we listened, we brought back a 16 substantially revised design. This is just a couple of 17 the folks that, again, did have concerns with our last 18 design and now are fully supportive of the project. 19 So, I just wanted to very briefly reiterate that. 20 And again, a lot of issues, questions about 21 parking, you know, we do have 22 onsite parking spaces 22 which exceeds the minimum requirements of the zone by 8 23 spaces. And is more than adequate to accommodate our 24 units. It does leave 8 outdoor spaces for the public. 25

And that's during peak time for our building. So, there
 will be even more than 8 spaces available, typically, you
 know, over the -- during the hours where the businesses
 need them.

5 So again, I just want to -- in closing, I just want to say, you know, from our perspective, this is not 6 an either/or project. This project can give us both the 7 affordable housing that we all know Salisbury desperately 8 needs and continue to provide public parking as much 9 public parking as is currently used. Again, First 10 Selectman Rand did submit photos between 2010 and 2018 11 that show 3 to 5 cars parked there, typically. We are 12 going to have 8 spaces available. 13

You know, the neighboring businesses have testified that they need 12 to 16 parking spaces per day. But again, is that for an hour? Is that for eight hours? If it's for an hour, then again we have the shared park on the site that can accommodate what we have seen and what has been shown in the record to be the need for parking.

And again, also there is on street parking on Holley Street and on Millerton Road as well. So, we believe that this project can do both. Meet two vital needs of the town, which is shared public parking and affordable housing. It's not either/or.

And the other piece that's not either/or is 1 that we can have affordable housing here and still have 2 that historic resource of the wall. Again, this wall was 3 substantially rebuilt not that long ago. We can keep the 4 5 historic portions of the wall and integrate them into 6 this building so we can have both. We can have the wall, the historic resource and a little pocket park for people 7 to enjoy. We can even fix the bench. We can have a 8 9 non-broken bench in our little park.

10 So, we can have both that historic resource, 11 the wall incorporated into the new building and 12 affordable housing.

As you all know, Salisbury residents voted to 13 provide this site, this specific site for 12 to 18 units. 14 We can't make it any smaller than that and keep up our 15 end of the bargain which was to create 12 to 18 units of 16 affordable housing on this site. The suggestion, I think 17 Mr. Miller said, there should be potentially up to 104 18 units on the Pope field site. I don't think that would 19 go over very well for the neighbors of Pope. So again, 20 every site will have challenges. This is the site we're 21 talking about today. We believe that everything we have 22 submitted that we have answered all of the questions and 23 that we meet the zoning requirements in the Pocketknife 2.4 Square regulations. 25

Again, we really do appreciate all of your time 1 on this, staying up late, and we obviously would very 2 much like for you to approve this special permit for 3 providing these critically needed affordable housing 4 units as well as shared parking and, you know, a pocket 5 park preserving the historic pieces of the wall. 6 7 I also would just say, again, we can obviously relocate the time capsule to also put that back into the 8 wall located on the property. So again, this is a 9 both/and project and we really appreciate your time. So, 10 thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Thank you. That concludes 12 13 your presentation, Attorney Smith? MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. And thank you again and 14 members of the Commission for your time and 15 consideration. Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I notice that there are 17 members of the public who have raised their hand. 18 Unfortunately, the time for the public to have spoken, 19 and I repeatedly asked if there were more comments, was 20 earlier. We are in the process of summation and I can't 21 recognize the members of the public who wish to speak 22 again. 23 I'm sorry. Mr. Chairman, just to clarify and MS. CONROY: 24 perhaps this is an Attorney Andres question. I have now 25

received an e-mail at 9:45 and 9:57 from Barbara Douglas 1 2 that she's requested that it be shared. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 3 I --(People spoke at the same time.) 4 5 MS. CONROY: -- appropriate or not because it's 6 after the public comment part of the hearing. 7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: I would just say we can put it in the record. I don't think we need to read it into 8 9 the record. Do you, Chuck? MR. ANDRES: Well, I -- you know, it says so 10 I --11 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Two e-mails you received? 12 MS. CONROY: Yes. It just feels a little 13 strange because it's clearly been beyond the part where 14 we offered --15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: If I'm going to have Barbara 16 Douglas's letter read into that, I have to recognize the 17 members of the public. And the attorneys have done her 18 summation and rebuttals. 19 20 MR. ANDRES: Right. MS. CONROY: Right. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: It would be nice if people 22 would follow the very scripted and clear directions that 23 were laid out at 5:30. 2.4 MS. CONROY: And if we were in an in person 25

1 meeting, we wouldn't be able to accept it at that 2 point -- at this point; is that correct? 3 MR. ANDRES: Yeah, you could either do either. 4 You could-- it's up to you, you can open it up and let 5 people speak and then allow the Applicant to respond if any or you can say what you said earlier, that all the 6 communications either with the hands raised now or the 7 written e-mail were submitted after you closed the public 8 portion of the meeting. You indicated there are no more 9 public comment simply reserve the rebuttal. 10 So --CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: So --11 MR. ANDRES: It shouldn't come in. 12 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What shouldn't come in. 13 The Douglas memo? 14 MR. ANDRES: All of them. There's no 15 difference between someone raising their hand and 16 speaking and someone putting an e-mail in. Same thing. 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: My sense is that everyone's 18 had a shot at this. I mean -- what does the rest of the 19 20 Commission think? Wake up guys and --I agree with you, Michael. MR. HIGGINS: Ι 21 think you have set the rules an the standards and we need 22 to abide by them. My question was going to be I'm 23 assuming you would like to close the hearing tonight and 24 deliberate some time besides tonight. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: That would be my intention. 2 I would like a motion to close the public hearing at 3 10:04 p.m. MS. SHYER: I'll say the motion --4 5 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: You'll make the motion, 6 Cathy? 7 MS. SHYER: Yeah, I make the motion. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Do I have a second. 8 9 MR. RIVA: Second. Bob Riva, Michael. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Let's call the roll for this 10 one with the members. We have Marty Whalen? 11 MR. WHALEN: 12 Aye. 13 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Bear with me, it's late. Jon Higgins is voting tonight? 14 15 MR. HIGGINS: Aye. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Bob Riva? 16 17 MR. RIVA: Aye. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Cathy Shyer? 18 19 MS. SHYER: Aye. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Michael Klemens? 20 Klemens is aye, also. 21 The hearing is closed at 10:04. 22 I have set the following. Deliberations are 23 going to begin on Wednesday, April 28th. That is an 24 open, public meeting but the public cannot comment. 25

All materials submitted at the close of the 1 public hearing will be posted in the town website by no 2 later end of day, April 19th, 2021. No additional 3 materials can be accepted after the close of the public 4 That is at 10:04, April 14th, 2021. 5 hearing. And I remind and I restate again that all ex 6 parte communications between members of the public as 7 well as the Applicant and the Intervenor with Commission 8 members and staff is illegal. Please do not send 9 10 comments, copy us on group memos. We have to remain sequestered from all of you until we basically begin our 11 deliberations. 12 And somehow, Abby, could you please get Mary 13 Oppenheimer to get all the Commission members off of her 14 mailing blast lists. 15 With that --16 MR. HIGGINS: Michael, I have just a question. 17 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, sir. 18 19 MR. HIGGINS: Because I have some questions 20 about the Intervenor that I think would involve Chuck. So, I wasn't sure if you were going to have a meeting 21 before the deliberations to talk about process in 22 executive session or are you going to --23 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: No, we can't do it --24 there's -- I don't believe we should do it in executive 25

178

session. I do believe that Chuck will be there at our 1 deliberations. And at that point, before we even start, 2 we can ask our questions. 3 MR. HIGGINS: That was the question, whether it 4 5 was going to be an executive session or whether we can have the discussion with him as part of the public 6 hearing. I'm fine --7 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: It is not a public 8 hearing -- it's part of deliberations. Chuck, I believe 9 we can't do -- we cannot have a Q and A session in 10 executive session. 11 MR. ANDRES: Correct. Yeah, questions can just 12 13 be asked during the normal deliberations. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: My goal, Jon, for the 14 deliberations, is that we're first going to have quite a 15 bit of discussion with Chuck to answer everyone's 16 questions. I have questions, also. But it will be done 17 in a public forum. But there will be no public input. 18 19 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: There will be lots of eyes watching us. 21 MR. HIGGINS: Thank you for the 22 clarification. 23 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: What time -- we should say 2.4 what time are the deliberations. That's one thing I 25

didn't think of. How do you all feel about doing it at 1 5:30 or do you want to go back to 6:30? 2 MR. HIGGINS: I'm fine with either. 3 MR. RIVA: 5:30 is fine with me if you choose 4 I'm flexible. 5 that. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Debra? 6 7 MS. ALLEE: I think I prefer 6:30 because then I could eat something before the meeting. 8 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Yes, I eat a meal late, 9 somewhere in the middle of it. 10 Okay. Marty? 11 MR. WHALEN: 5:30. 12 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 5:30. Cathy's gone? 13 MS. SHYER: No, I'm here. I'm here. I am 14 I would prefer 5:30. 15 here. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay. Debra, you're going 16 to have to pack yourself a picnic. 17 MS. SHYER: Sorry, Debra. 18 19 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Okay, so it's going to be at 20 5:30. MS. ALLEE: All right. 21 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Good. 22 MS. ALLEE: It's 5:30 on the 28th? 23 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: 5:30 on the 28th. 2.4 MS. CONROY: Which is a Wednesday. 25

1 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Correct. We are doing it on 2 Wednesday because our schedules are so full between 3 wetlands and P&Z, we have to do this on Wednesdays. Abby 4 does to have sleep once in a while. 5 And there's no guaranty we're going to finish We'll start deliberations. We may well have to 6 it. extend it. I have no idea. Because, in my sense, there 7 comes a point of no return when you really are not sharp 8 and I think for the deliberations we really have to be on 9 point for this. 10 All right. Good night. Thank everyone for 11 their patience. Public. Abby, do you want to say 12 13 something. Just a motion to adjourn. 14 MS. CONROY: 15 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Oh, yes. Thank you. Do I have a motion to adjourn the hearing? 16 Thank you, Abby. 17 MR. HIGGINS: So moved. 18 CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: Second? 19 20 MR. RIVA: Bob Riva, second, Michael. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: All those in favor? 21 22 ALL: Aye. CHAIRMAN KLEMENS: We are adjourned at 10:11. 23 (Whereby, the hearing adjourned.) 2.4 25

CERTIFICATION I hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the audio recording provided, limited only by the technology of the recording. Dated this 16th day of July, 2021. Viktoria Stockmal VIKTORIA V. STOCKMAL, CRR, RMR 

## ALLAN REPORTING SERVICES (860) 693-8557

< Dates >	41:21, 158:8	1-bedroom 36:21	<b>135</b> 32:19
<b>10/17/2020</b> 6:2	April 8th, 2001 5:18	<b>1.</b> 45:24	<b>14</b> 6:5, 74:14, 74:15
10:04, April 14th,	April 8th, 2021 7:8,	<b>10</b> 6:1, 146:9	<b>15</b> 6:6, 151:20, 165:25
<b>2021</b> 178:5	154:10, 157:22, 160:8	<b>10-foot-6</b> 34:25,	<b>150</b> 161:10, 161:16
<b>3/16/2021</b> 5:18	December 20, 1969	35:16	<b>150.</b> 161:4
<b>3/19/2021.</b> 6:8	165:19	<b>100</b> 114:21, 161:1,	<b>16</b> 6:7, 38:25, 136:24,
<b>3/23/2021</b> 6:14, 6:15	February 1st, 2021	161:2	172:15
<b>3/26/2021</b> 6:16	5:12	<b>104</b> 31:2, 31:5, 31:6,	<b>16th</b> 182:7
<b>4/12/2021</b> 6:15, 6:19	January 2017 6:10	173:18	<b>17</b> 6:9, 44:15, 46:2
<b>4/12/2021.</b> 6:20	July, 2021. 182:7	<b>10:04</b> 177:3, 178:5	<b>17.</b> 26:24, 119:1
4/13/2021 6:1	March 16th, 2021	<b>10:04.</b> 177:22	<b>18</b> 6:22, 12:17, 12:21,
4/14/2021 5:11	5:16	<b>10:11.</b> 181:23	128:7, 173:14, 173:16
<b>4/4/2021</b> 6:5	March 22nd 2:3	<b>11</b> 6:2, 119:1	<b>187</b> 32:17, 75:2
<b>4/5/2021</b> 6:18	March 8th 2:3	<b>11.</b> 47:3	<b>18th</b> 19:11, 19:14,
<b>4/6/2021</b> 6:6, 6:19	September 17th 5:20	<b>114</b> 71:6	20:12, 23:24, 166:14
<b>4/7/2021</b> 6:17	<b>\$39,860.</b> 12:20	<b>12</b> 6:3, 31:5, 36:25,	<b>19</b> 6:22, 12:17
<b>4/8/2021</b> 5:24, 6:7		38:25, 40:23, 128:7,	<b>1960</b> 19:8
<b>4/8/2021.</b> 5:21		133:18, 136:24,	<b>1960s</b> 23:25, 151:17,
<b>APRIL 14, 2021</b> 1:4	< 0 >	137:10, 159:12,	151:25
April 19th, 2021	<b>0.03</b> 72:3	167:13, 172:15,	<b>1967</b> 5:19
178:3	<b>0.05</b> 72:4	173:14, 173:16	<b>1970</b> 17:1
April 28th 177:24		<b>120</b> 160:25, 161:11,	<b>1977.</b> 37:2
<b>April 6, 2021</b> 5:14		161:16	<b>1990</b> 36:22
April 7th, 2021 5:16	<1>	<b>12th</b> 97:7, 98:1	<b>1994</b> 169:8
April 8th 39:10,	<b>1</b> 116:6	<b>13</b> 6:5, 39:1	<b>1996.</b> 16:24
	1	I	

	<b>26</b> 169:20	130:11, 158:8	
	<b>27</b> 35:1, 169:20	<b>4-147a</b> 83:7	
< 2 >	<b>28</b> 35:1	4-bedroom 37:1	< 6 >
<b>2</b> 14:14, 32:15, 166:2	<b>28th</b> 180:23, 180:24	<b>40</b> 61:18	<b>6</b> 115:4, 120:12
<b>20</b> 23:2, 36:20, 40:22,	<b>29.</b> 35:2	<b>405-6(a)2</b> 75:4	<b>60</b> 45:10
100:4		<b>405.2</b> 134:1	<b>68.9</b> 71:25
<b>2006</b> 163:20		<b>414</b> 27:24	<b>69</b> 72:2
<b>2006.</b> 162:13	< 3 >	<b>44</b> 87:6, 105:11,	<b>6:30</b> 180:2, 180:7
<b>2007</b> 39:21	<b>3</b> 4:4, 13:7, 23:19,	121:14	<b>6:50</b> 12:14
<b>2010</b> 172:11	158:8, 166:11, 172:12	<b>44.</b> 120:23, 121:6,	
<b>2014</b> 71:11	<b>30</b> 93:23, 94:5, 95:20,	121:18	
<b>2018</b> 27:16, 172:11	96:15, 134:6, 161:11,	<b>46</b> 23:18	< 7 >
<b>2019</b> 32:13	161:14, 162:3	<b>46-3</b> 23:18	<b>7-6</b> 124:19
<b>2020</b> 5:20	<b>30th</b> 5:13	<b>47.</b> 46:17	<b>703-8</b> 112:13
<b>2021</b> 5:13	<b>31</b> 33:12, 33:13		<b>703-9</b> 112:15
<b>22</b> 40:22, 40:23,	<b>315</b> 114:24, 116:25		<b>703.7</b> 163:5
100:4, 171:22	<b>32</b> 35:13, 35:15	< 5 >	<b>75</b> 30:21
<b>22A-19</b> 10:21, 11:3,	<b>33</b> 35:13, 35:15	<b>5</b> 130:11, 172:12	<b>7:21.</b> 66:20
12:9, 15:4, 15:7,	<b>34.</b> 36:16	<b>50</b> 87:15, 87:22, 161:7	<b>7:30.</b> 69:7
154:17, 155:5, 157:8,	<b>37</b> 73:25	<b>5:30</b> 175:24, 180:2,	
157:21, 158:20,	<b>380</b> 32:19	180:4, 180:23, 180:24	
159:17, 167:4, 169:13,		<b>5:30.</b> 180:12, 180:13,	< 8 >
169:25		180:15, 180:20	<b>8</b> 34:21, 118:2,
<b>24</b> 39:18, 74:14,	< 4 >	<b>5:31</b> 1:5, 2:1	124:20, 130:11,
90:23, 91:10, 100:4	<b>4</b> 4:8, 4:10, 74:15,	<b>5:50</b> 12:13	171:23, 171:25, 172:2,
	1	1	1

172:13	8:25, 20:5, 28:22,	86:19, 109:17, 130:17,	accessory 29:25,
<b>8-12</b> 37:9	30:2, 50:2, 101:19,	131:8, 144:7, 146:4,	159:13
<b>8-13</b> 13:4, 13:7,	109:11, 114:25,	146:10, 146:18,	accidents 121:21,
13:10, 13:18	120:24, 126:9,	164:21, 176:1	122:4
<b>8-30g</b> 169:8, 169:11,	129:22, 136:2, 142:9,	<b>above</b> 114:16, 182:3	accommodate 77:12,
169:14, 169:15,	168:25	<b>ABSENT</b> 1:16	85:13, 133:18, 164:9,
169:24	[verbatim] 31:24,	absolutely 12:10,	171:24, 172:18
<b>800.3</b> 32:7	134:22, 145:10	21:13, 22:9, 159:9	according 31:7
<b>86</b> 71:25		abutters 10:23	achieve 84:10
<b>8:21.</b> 66:20		accept 80:11, 80:15,	Achilles 131:10
	< A >	80:16, 99:17, 104:16,	acknowledge 100:23
	<b>A.</b> 110:21, 162:3	104:19, 176:1	acquiring 166:3
< 9 >	<b>A2</b> 126:3, 126:8	acceptable 52:10	acronym 102:18
<b>9</b> 39:1, 76:14, 120:11,	<b>Abby</b> 1:22, 4:8, 5:3,	accepted 19:8, 178:4	across 18:24, 20:1,
00011,70111,120111,		· · · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
130:11, 160:2	13:21, 37:11, 38:10,	accepting 23:24,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6,
130:11, 160:2	13:21, 37:11, 38:10,	accepting 23:24,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6,
130:11, 160:2 <b>9-and-a-half</b> 34:21	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2,	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14,
130:11, 160:2 9-and-a-half 34:21 9-foot 145:7, 145:13,	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13,	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22
130:11, 160:2 <b>9-and-a-half</b> 34:21 <b>9-foot</b> 145:7, 145:13, 145:16	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13, 178:13, 181:3, 181:12,	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10, 76:12, 76:13, 76:16,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22 act 131:5
130:11, 160:2 9-and-a-half 34:21 9-foot 145:7, 145:13, 145:16 97 154:22	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13, 178:13, 181:3, 181:12, 181:17	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10, 76:12, 76:13, 76:16, 76:19, 76:20, 115:23,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22 act 131:5 acting 97:13, 137:15
130:11, 160:2 9-and-a-half 34:21 9-foot 145:7, 145:13, 145:16 97 154:22 9:45 175:1	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13, 178:13, 181:3, 181:12, 181:17 <b>abide</b> 176:23	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10, 76:12, 76:13, 76:16, 76:19, 76:20, 115:23, 134:12, 144:4, 144:6,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22 act 131:5 acting 97:13, 137:15 action 37:13, 47:14,
130:11, 160:2 9-and-a-half 34:21 9-foot 145:7, 145:13, 145:16 97 154:22 9:45 175:1 9:57 175:1	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13, 178:13, 181:3, 181:12, 181:17 <b>abide</b> 176:23 <b>ability</b> 47:13, 147:2,	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10, 76:12, 76:13, 76:16, 76:19, 76:20, 115:23, 134:12, 144:4, 144:6, 144:10, 145:2, 145:5,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22 act 131:5 acting 97:13, 137:15 action 37:13, 47:14, 165:6, 165:12
130:11, 160:2 9-and-a-half 34:21 9-foot 145:7, 145:13, 145:16 97 154:22 9:45 175:1 9:57 175:1 [audio 56:3, 60:15,	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13, 178:13, 181:3, 181:12, 181:17 <b>abide</b> 176:23 <b>ability</b> 47:13, 147:2, 159:11, 160:21	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10, 76:12, 76:13, 76:16, 76:19, 76:20, 115:23, 134:12, 144:4, 144:6, 144:10, 145:2, 145:5, 145:6, 146:3, 146:19,	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22 act 131:5 acting 97:13, 137:15 action 37:13, 47:14, 165:6, 165:12 activities 44:18
130:11, 160:2 9-and-a-half 34:21 9-foot 145:7, 145:13, 145:16 97 154:22 9:45 175:1 9:57 175:1 [audio 56:3, 60:15, 97:7	13:21, 37:11, 38:10, 70:2, 97:2, 110:2, 113:4, 136:3, 171:13, 178:13, 181:3, 181:12, 181:17 <b>abide</b> 176:23 <b>ability</b> 47:13, 147:2, 159:11, 160:21 <b>able</b> 15:14, 15:15,	accepting 23:24, 25:12, 52:11 access 65:24, 76:10, 76:12, 76:13, 76:16, 76:19, 76:20, 115:23, 134:12, 144:4, 144:6, 144:10, 145:2, 145:5, 145:6, 146:3, 146:19, 147:2, 164:23	20:17, 21:14, 24:6, 24:12, 83:19, 105:14, 126:1, 126:22 act 131:5 acting 97:13, 137:15 action 37:13, 47:14, 165:6, 165:12 activities 44:18 activity 101:23, 114:4

33:21, 35:25, 51:6,	additions 78:12,	<b>adjourn</b> 69:7, 181:14,	aesthetics 29:14
53:8, 57:14, 61:1,	78:18	181:16	affect 7:15, 50:16,
81:24, 82:22, 88:5,	address 8:1, 8:16,	adjourned 181:23	64:16, 165:10
91:16, 108:20, 115:21,	15:2, 69:24, 71:1,	adjourned. 181:24	affects 37:20, 40:13,
118:10, 118:12,	74:6, 75:15, 75:21,	<b>adjust</b> 91:10	45:7, 157:4, 165:11
121:18, 123:1, 126:18,	79:12, 100:5, 102:7,	administers 149:5	affidavits 38:22,
130:21, 140:1, 145:2,	103:3, 113:10, 124:4,	Administrator 5:2,	136:23
149:19, 152:1, 170:12	129:25, 131:20,	5:4, 37:7, 38:11,	affirmative 8:3
adamant 4:17	131:22, 142:21,	125:24, 139:10	affirmatively 45:22,
adaptive 34:4	143:22, 154:8	admiration 30:13	56:6
add 7:4, 7:5, 51:1,	addressed 36:7,	<b>admit</b> 60:6	afford 116:23
73:20, 74:2, 82:11,	36:15, 125:13, 159:23,	admitted 134:25	Affordable 7:9, 27:12,
115:24, 140:21,	160:5	admittedly 102:15	27:16, 28:24, 30:13,
161:12, 161:21	addresses 29:4, 73:5,	advance 34:20, 34:21	108:24, 109:1, 109:3,
added 71:13, 73:25,	75:19, 154:11	adverse 37:20, 45:7,	109:7, 110:8, 118:18,
84:19	addressing 69:23	50:16, 72:12, 155:21,	119:17, 124:3, 133:12,
adding 73:24	adequate 107:20,	158:4, 169:16, 170:1	133:14, 138:8, 138:25,
addition 25:9, 82:23,	171:24	adversely 157:3	167:13, 167:16,
115:2, 119:24, 159:13,	adjacent 42:4, 43:13,	advice 36:12	167:19, 169:9, 172:8,
164:23	112:20, 112:25, 128:2,	advise 14:4	172:25, 173:2, 173:12,
additional 5:10, 32:2,	158:3, 158:11, 159:22,	advisory 44:22,	173:17, 174:4
34:7, 49:9, 68:16,	160:21, 161:5, 161:9,	150:23	afield 80:20, 118:4
70:23, 72:18, 128:25,	161:12, 161:20, 165:2,	aerial 18:25, 25:1,	afraid 62:20
139:14, 161:14,	167:15, 170:1	73:10, 87:7	afternoon 3:3
162:18, 178:3	adjoining 65:25	aesthetically 55:6	afterwards 66:9
		1	1

<b>agency</b> 44:19, 47:4,	ALLEE 1:19, 3:1,	allows 74:20	159:19, 159:21,
135:12	61:4, 61:7, 61:23,	<b>almost</b> 81:10, 93:23,	167:23
<b>agenda</b> 14:15	62:8, 125:14, 129:3,	94:5, 119:2, 133:6,	Although 33:5, 34:16,
<b>agent</b> 46:11	129:5, 180:7, 180:21,	170:9	86:14
aggrieved 37:19	180:23	alone 32:20, 42:11	altogether 18:7
<b>ago</b> 88:24, 113:18,	allegations 9:10,	already 3:3, 13:14,	Ambulance 5:14,
142:19, 153:4, 173:4	9:14, 79:10, 155:5	28:20, 44:11, 110:6,	76:15, 77:6, 145:7,
agree 29:17, 41:23,	alleged 8:17, 100:6,	111:5, 111:21, 135:21,	145:8
56:10, 95:13, 95:19,	142:22, 147:3, 155:16,	147:16, 148:20, 150:1,	Amendment 4:23,
99:16, 106:25, 107:15,	159:23	152:21	37:24, 42:16
108:25, 129:9, 135:10,	Allegedly 160:9,	ALTERNATES 1:18,	American 17:23
139:1, 147:9, 148:1,	163:14	2:8, 98:11	<b>among</b> 9:2, 94:21,
171:13, 176:21	<b>Allen</b> 1:16, 2:6, 2:12,	alternative 7:11, 7:19,	98:9
agreed 142:5	2:14, 2:23, 4:25,	7:22, 7:24, 27:6,	<b>amount</b> 8:23, 9:19,
agreement 163:3,	49:15, 49:16, 82:17	27:11, 27:19, 43:16,	23:21, 28:6, 109:6,
164:4	<b>allot</b> 10:10	44:11, 52:9, 157:21,	119:5, 127:25, 154:3
agrees 155:4, 157:15,	allotted 9:11	158:12, 158:20, 167:7,	amplified 25:5
165:19, 165:22,	<b>allow</b> 10:7, 33:5,	167:12, 168:1	amplify 16:13
166:11	33:7, 86:15, 112:8,	alternatives 5:24,	analysis 7:23, 9:1,
<b>ahead</b> 54:11, 61:6,	116:12, 118:13,	7:12, 7:20, 7:22,	28:14, 32:16, 38:21,
86:23, 93:4	134:25, 176:5	41:20, 42:2, 42:3,	75:2, 168:23
<b>air</b> 114:15, 151:7,	allowed 38:4, 38:6,	42:14, 43:12, 43:17,	and/or 104:14, 149:24
152:7, 153:20, 155:12,	116:16, 158:13	45:8, 52:6, 133:11,	Anderson 5:23, 5:24
157:13, 170:16	allowing 4:15, 27:1,	137:25, 157:15,	<b>ANDRES</b> 1:24, 5:2,
<b>aisle</b> 90:23, 90:25	91:14, 124:2	157:19, 158:2, 159:18,	5:23, 5:25, 36:11,
	1		1

41:21, 107:24, 107:25,	apparently 101:11,	appropriate 30:7,	<b>aquifer</b> 8:25, 72:13,
108:5, 108:11, 108:13,	112:1	30:18, 50:1, 50:6,	101:24
108:21, 174:25,	appeal 10:24, 14:13,	50:9, 92:19, 106:25,	architect 43:22,
175:10, 175:20, 176:3,	108:2, 108:9, 108:16	107:13, 141:6, 141:22,	43:23, 71:6, 76:7,
176:12, 176:15,	appear 161:19	142:3, 142:7, 148:18,	87:4, 87:10
179:12	appeared 105:15	167:20, 175:5	architects 32:11,
angled 89:25	appears 94:6	appropriately 77:9	80:19
<b>annual</b> 116:7	appellants 37:18	approval 27:15, 29:9,	architectural 14:18,
anonymous 3:11	applicable 15:4,	39:7, 47:5, 64:19,	19:16, 49:25, 63:20,
answered 95:22,	45:16, 81:10	84:19, 86:19, 86:22,	166:21
98:19, 98:23, 99:4,	Applicants 45:9	101:23, 132:15,	Architecture 18:2,
173:23	applications 78:25,	133:20, 135:8, 135:12,	18:3, 18:4, 19:23,
answers 105:4	136:6, 166:25, 167:17	162:6, 168:7	76:7, 112:1, 147:15,
Anybody 3:13, 64:11,	applied 84:20	approvals 81:12	156:23, 170:25
105:15, 122:21, 126:4	applies 42:20, 95:9	approve 29:14, 84:17,	areas 13:10, 21:10,
<b>anyway</b> 132:3	<b>apply</b> 15:7, 38:14,	112:8, 112:14, 135:9,	28:17, 41:17, 78:16
<b>apart</b> 16:24, 49:5	164:11	135:16, 160:14,	<b>argue</b> 50:25, 62:6
apartment 6:3, 36:18,	appoint 2:13	163:24, 174:3	argues 7:18, 7:21
37:1, 87:12, 159:13	appreciate 13:23,	approved 13:11, 40:5,	<b>argument</b> 39:19,
apartments 29:25,	15:5, 64:8, 92:22,	47:21, 55:4, 100:25,	39:25
30:5, 34:8, 36:22, 38:7	99:18, 101:8, 119:25,	136:6, 149:7, 153:18,	arguments 100:3,
apologize 12:18,	123:10, 174:1, 174:10	161:2, 161:25, 163:19	138:18, 164:10
60:25, 83:10, 97:25,	appreciated 132:13	approving 162:5	armaments 17:22
129:6	approach 58:17,	approximately 87:15	<b>Army</b> 81:11
apologizes 146:10	117:8	<b>April</b> 97:6, 98:1	around 16:15, 16:21,
	l	I	

20:4, 20:25, 25:5,	assistance 44:22	attributed 151:9,	158:18, 169:15
51:4, 51:6, 51:7,	assisted 44:16	164:6	<b>away</b> 90:21, 100:1,
61:10, 61:21, 85:15,	associate 10:15	<b>audio</b> 97:1, 182:4	147:18
89:5, 115:14, 144:22,	Associated 17:19,	authentic 60:21	<b>Aye</b> 177:12, 177:15,
144:23, 145:1, 149:23	160:10, 165:1	authoritatively 99:23	177:17, 177:19,
arrangements 77:3,	<b>assume</b> 40:7, 83:20,	Authority 37:11,	177:21, 181:22
116:12	113:19, 144:21	43:10, 55:22, 71:2,	<b>Ayer</b> 70:17, 70:19,
arrives 2:14	Assuming 127:18,	154:19, 158:18, 159:4,	85:9, 85:14, 127:21,
arrow 72:21, 73:9,	176:24	159:9	128:4, 130:2, 130:5,
73:11, 93:25, 100:19	assumption 95:2	authorization 163:10	130:13, 171:12
arrows 72:17, 72:19	attacks 138:19,	authorized 159:6,	
<b>art</b> 121:1	138:23	159:21	
Artel 31:23	attempt 35:5	<b>avail</b> 65:13	< B >
articles 87:21, 138:21	attend 77:10, 118:14	available 31:2, 42:2,	<b>B.</b> 6:6, 161:12
aside 17:3, 17:6,	attendees 4:8, 4:12	43:17, 65:14, 70:2,	backing 41:5
25:14, 58:25, 136:19	attending 4:2	130:12, 130:16,	badly 122:4
<b>asks</b> 15:21	attention 9:8, 20:15,	133:11, 138:16, 153:2,	baffles 102:11
aspects 9:20, 133:24	106:3	172:2, 172:13	<b>bald</b> 97:3
Assembly 159:6	attested 99:4	average 38:21, 38:23,	<b>balls</b> 61:24
assert 41:10	attorneys 27:3, 36:9,	136:24	Balskus 142:15,
assertion 64:15,	66:8, 175:18	avoid 86:11	146:7
137:8	attractive 117:7,	avoiding 83:13	Bank 123:21
assesses 44:25	166:7	awaiting 43:24	<b>bar</b> 3:23
<b>assist</b> 9:7, 10:16,	attractively 166:6	aware 2:20, 28:20,	<b>Barbara</b> 113:3,
28:23, 45:8	attribute 56:11	60:6, 154:17, 157:10,	113:19, 113:21,
	1	1	

116:23, 117:16,	became 13:1	besides 176:25	132:18, 132:20,
123:12, 123:15,	become 113:1	<b>best</b> 12:1, 15:4, 15:5,	132:24, 133:1, 136:20,
123:18, 124:6, 175:1,	becomes 18:25	26:23, 31:15, 36:12,	137:23, 147:19, 151:2
175:16	<b>bed</b> 113:7	40:2, 59:7, 102:20,	<b>big</b> 24:9, 76:21,
bargain 173:16	began 2:3	109:17, 111:5, 113:8	77:21, 83:12
base 32:21, 150:12	<b>begin</b> 2:2, 25:11,	bet 35:12	<b>bigger</b> 50:25
based 28:2, 32:18,	69:16, 177:24, 178:11	better 31:21, 47:8,	<b>bind</b> 163:8
34:14, 41:22, 74:2,	beginning 12:4,	48:16, 48:23, 49:1,	Bissell 114:24
94:19, 138:3, 150:18,	12:16	74:20, 97:23, 102:21,	<b>bit</b> 28:13, 34:12,
157:11	<b>behalf</b> 8:12, 31:24,	102:24, 109:12,	34:13, 49:24, 62:16,
basements 28:18	132:10, 168:9	111:18, 159:12	111:24, 113:11, 118:1,
<b>basic</b> 42:20	<b>belabor</b> 134:14,	beyond 37:12,	121:2, 129:1, 134:15,
Basically 27:2, 33:13,	136:17	110:18, 175:14	140:22, 149:15,
33:25, 56:10, 79:3,	Belcher 165:18	<b>bias</b> 97:12, 97:14,	165:15, 167:16,
82:1, 89:3, 178:11	belied 44:6	97:15, 98:4, 98:9,	179:16
<b>basin</b> 71:15, 72:23,	below 25:4, 83:22,	99:1, 116:3, 116:4,	<b>blank</b> 80:17
83:24	114:17	138:22	<b>blast</b> 178:15
basing 154:14	Belter 5:19, 5:20	Bicentennial 13:19,	blatant 46:14
basins 71:13, 71:19	bench 173:8, 173:9	17:15, 17:16, 18:5,	Block 18:14, 21:17,
<b>basis</b> 40:15, 114:18,	<b>benches</b> 166:9	20:2, 21:15, 25:1,	23:12, 25:6, 27:21,
119:23, 165:20	<b>Bend</b> 115:15, 155:18,	38:22, 38:24, 39:3,	30:16, 30:25, 31:1,
<b>bays</b> 24:10	168:16, 168:24	39:24, 40:11, 42:8,	77:25, 82:18, 83:2,
<b>Bear</b> 10:2, 177:13	Benesch 71:9	43:4, 43:7, 45:25,	83:3, 100:16, 100:24,
beat 117:25	Berger 169:8, 169:12	56:21, 85:3, 86:3,	110:14, 115:3, 115:13
<b>beaten</b> 118:1	Berke 153:5	92:15, 110:8, 122:22,	blocked 115:21
	1	1	1

blocking 121:18	121:3, 121:17, 122:9,	168:16, 171:15,	23:21, 24:19, 49:22,
<b>blow</b> 119:15	122:11, 131:7, 143:9	171:16	49:24, 50:12, 50:18,
blue 72:17, 76:4	<b>boxes</b> 105:3	Bruce 6:16, 122:19,	50:20, 50:24, 51:9,
blurry 156:12	<b>boys</b> 118:25	123:11	54:6, 59:23, 148:9,
Board 37:11, 117:19,	break 66:22, 67:12,	<b>buffer</b> 74:3, 90:20	149:18, 149:20, 153:5
154:5, 165:18	70:6	build 54:19, 63:19,	<b>bullet</b> 148:11
Boathouse 150:5	Brian 14:21, 26:19,	122:12, 127:20, 128:5,	bumpout 91:7
<b>Bob</b> 1:12, 2:7, 48:4,	26:23	128:6, 156:21, 158:23	<b>burden</b> 7:18, 8:19,
48:8, 89:19, 89:20,	brick 25:6, 60:14	Buildings 17:11,	11:10, 37:17, 142:24,
118:20, 177:9, 177:16,	<b>brief</b> 69:11, 97:4,	17:19, 19:10, 20:20,	155:3, 155:4, 155:7,
181:20	132:9, 154:3	30:5, 30:8, 34:6, 34:8,	155:9, 155:13,
<b>bold</b> 64:15	briefly 15:2, 39:17,	51:20, 51:21, 60:18,	156:14, 157:7, 157:8,
bollard 90:20	71:4, 92:3, 154:8,	61:24, 61:25, 77:11,	159:17, 167:6, 167:9,
borders 18:7	171:20	78:12, 78:19, 79:14,	168:5, 169:22, 169:23
<b>born</b> 119:12	<b>bring</b> 77:9, 85:9,	82:19, 82:23, 94:21,	buried 9:23, 122:23
<b>borough</b> 169:7	85:11, 113:16, 140:16	95:11, 112:9, 148:15,	business 39:8,
both/and 174:10	bringing 93:1	149:8, 149:23, 150:2	136:23, 137:7, 137:17
bottom 15:19, 29:3,	Britain 71:6	builds 128:8	businesses 37:21,
151:21, 153:5, 158:9,	broad 8:24, 155:20	<b>built</b> 53:10, 54:17,	38:13, 38:24, 39:3,
166:1	broke 97:7	57:10, 57:20, 58:13,	40:11, 40:14, 109:8,
boundaries 27:24	brought 43:21, 56:1,	59:3, 61:11, 78:5,	128:1, 136:19, 136:22,
boundary 13:10, 57:2	58:15, 68:22, 73:23,	78:16, 104:11, 112:3,	137:2, 137:17, 137:20,
bounded 82:17	81:14, 90:19, 131:21,	121:7, 122:17, 156:8,	137:24, 139:4, 144:15,
<b>box</b> 34:19, 34:24,	142:11, 143:21,	161:2, 164:8	172:3, 172:14
35:4, 35:16, 76:22,	144:14, 147:3, 160:5,	<b>Bulk</b> 21:8, 23:8,	<b>busy</b> 121:1
	l	1	1

	122:2, 122:3	<b>cease</b> 38:11	150:6, 154:21
	<b>care</b> 17:2, 17:3, 17:6,	Celeste 36:25	certify 182:3
< C >	119:19	<b>cell</b> 3:13	<b>CG-20</b> 33:19, 38:2
calculate 32:23	carefully 59:8, 59:21	Center 15:19, 17:25,	<b>Chair</b> 3:5, 92:13,
calculations 32:3,	carried 106:6	29:8, 30:4, 156:16,	92:23, 93:8, 93:16,
32:18	<b>cars</b> 91:8, 115:2,	156:17, 160:19,	95:25, 103:9, 103:11,
<b>Call</b> 4:19, 4:20, 86:24,	115:5, 121:14, 121:18,	160:25, 161:4, 161:9,	103:12, 110:5, 111:4,
120:8, 123:21, 130:7,	172:12	161:12, 161:13,	117:19, 156:1, 157:9,
148:3, 177:10	<b>case</b> 8:5, 9:21, 42:3,	161:18, 161:19,	157:18, 169:14
called 57:3, 57:4	42:4, 42:18, 43:6,	161:21, 161:23,	<b>Chair</b> 92:10
calling 4:2, 120:10	45:4, 57:16, 64:6,	161:24, 162:2	challenged 105:20
calls 80:23, 95:24	66:5, 99:7, 99:9,	centers 29:5, 29:13,	challenges 173:21
<b>camera</b> 83:12, 83:14	107:13, 120:8,	30:8, 30:9	champions 112:1
campus 123:21	157:23, 158:5, 158:7,	Central 11:9, 117:20	<b>chance</b> 92:25
candid 102:22	159:3, 169:5, 169:19	century 16:24, 19:11,	<b>change</b> 39:2, 51:11,
<b>cannon</b> 61:24	<b>cases</b> 165:6, 169:20,	19:14, 20:12, 23:24,	150:12
capable 97:13, 98:11	170:5	54:15, 166:14	changed 161:4
Capecelatro 39:14,	<b>catch</b> 71:13, 71:15,	<b>CEPA</b> 46:3	changes 72:20, 73:2
99:24, 100:6, 112:18,	71:19, 72:23, 83:24	<b>certain</b> 32:11, 119:9	changing 25:18
160:11, 164:2	<b>Cathy</b> 1:13, 2:8,	Certainly 3:12, 81:7,	<b>Chapter</b> 13:13,
capsule 122:21,	125:20, 128:10,	86:18, 97:8, 99:22,	154:22
122:23, 123:3, 123:6,	129:16, 177:6, 177:18,	106:13, 106:24,	character 18:18,
123:9, 174:8	180:13	107:25, 108:19,	20:11, 21:6, 21:9,
<b>car</b> 84:7, 112:19,	caught 83:24	108:25, 131:22,	21:23, 22:19, 25:18,
115:21, 121:25, 122:1,	causing 170:2	141:17, 142:4, 143:12,	45:7, 50:21, 62:3
	1	1	1

characterize 46:13,	<b>choose</b> 180:4	154:7, 160:13, 161:20,	145:7, 145:13, 145:16
133:4	<b>Chris</b> 8:12, 41:22,	162:5, 162:11	clearances 34:14
charge 131:14	66:15, 80:5, 83:5,	claiming 112:21,	cleared 148:20,
charged 109:13	86:16, 87:4, 92:7,	160:22	166:5, 166:12
Charles 5:2	131:17, 141:11, 146:6,	<b>claims</b> 155:5, 158:19,	<b>clearly</b> 32:7, 33:16,
<b>chat</b> 3:4, 3:9, 3:14,	150:25	167:4	35:17, 110:20, 111:2,
3:17, 4:22	<b>Chuck</b> 1:24, 175:9,	clarification 12:1,	140:23, 175:14
<b>cheap</b> 88:19	178:20, 179:1, 179:9,	56:16, 64:9, 126:21,	clerestory 23:13
<b>Check</b> 3:25	179:16	179:23	<b>client</b> 11:6, 11:16,
<b>checked</b> 105:4	Churchill 40:5,	clarifications 32:3	81:15, 100:12, 105:19,
cheered 112:3	100:13, 100:21, 101:9,	clarified 24:8, 82:4	108:25
CHFA 44:9, 44:15,	101:14	<b>clarify</b> 13:22, 26:13,	<b>clients</b> 9:6, 10:22,
44:17, 45:18, 47:5,	circulate 83:23	27:4, 54:3, 54:10,	138:7, 138:13, 138:19
47:14, 47:19, 55:18,	circulation 29:6,	83:6, 174:24	clipping 90:20
55:19, 56:2, 80:13,	29:7, 29:11	<b>clarity</b> 131:12	<b>close</b> 20:15, 94:8,
81:6, 138:2	circumstances 38:9,	<b>class</b> 72:7, 146:9	125:6, 125:17, 125:18,
chicken 115:10	43:15	classical 20:4	128:13, 128:17,
<b>chief</b> 106:19, 106:20,	cite 37:5, 153:8	<b>Clause</b> 42:16, 42:20,	128:21, 129:6, 129:15,
106:21, 107:3, 146:13	cited 18:5, 82:19	42:22	135:3, 139:22, 141:21,
<b>children</b> 118:5,	cites 17:14, 43:11	<b>clear</b> 11:4, 11:6,	141:23, 176:24, 177:2,
118:14, 121:12, 122:6	citizen 16:15, 51:3	18:25, 24:5, 40:15,	178:1, 178:4
<b>chimney</b> 23:19	<b>City</b> 119:12	64:13, 65:23, 112:7,	closed 24:10, 141:18,
<b>chimneys</b> 48:15,	<b>civil</b> 72:1	112:22, 125:25,	176:8, 177:22
	<b>clad</b> 88:13, 88:16	137:21, 138:7, 175:23	closely 101:6
48:17, 48:23, 49:4,		, ,	
48:17, 48:23, 49:4, 49:8	<b>claim</b> 81:4, 112:22,	clearance 76:14,	<b>closer</b> 32:19, 97:19,

111:16, 134:3	coming 22:5, 25:13,	49:12, 55:16, 55:23,	116:17, 117:2, 118:6,
closest 94:16, 95:17	36:3, 51:6, 115:13,	84:16, 86:23, 91:23,	118:10, 118:15,
<b>closing</b> 67:4, 131:4,	115:14, 115:21, 122:1	98:7, 101:22, 126:25,	118:23, 144:10
131:11, 132:6, 168:10,	commemorates	128:19	compact 91:16
171:14, 172:5	25:22	Commissioners 2:7,	compacted 72:9
<b>co-host</b> 15:14	comment 14:2, 14:4,	48:11, 63:8, 64:22,	comparable 170:4
<b>co-hosts</b> 15:16	14:6, 74:5, 87:18,	76:1, 97:13, 99:4,	compatibility 44:23,
Coal 127:2	91:25, 96:20, 105:8,	99:12, 100:15, 117:21,	136:16
Cockerline 1:16,	108:22, 111:13, 112:6,	129:12, 131:6	compatible 133:16,
97:15, 98:7, 99:7	175:6, 176:10, 177:25	Commissions 36:8,	150:14, 170:10
code 9:1, 23:5, 50:19	commenting 54:25	154:24	compelling 76:3
codes 146:16	comments 3:8, 3:9,	committed 13:14	compensation 42:23
coherent 68:13	3:10, 14:22, 14:25,	Committee 6:16, 8:8,	competent 36:12,
collaborated 79:14	16:12, 31:25, 49:20,	8:13, 28:14, 110:6,	108:19
collected 71:19	67:5, 67:20, 68:22,	110:10, 110:13,	competitive 117:8
collegial 79:13	97:4, 109:23, 111:20,	110:16, 116:11,	complete 101:15
Collinsville 78:23	128:25, 146:13,	116:21, 117:5, 117:13,	completely 21:3,
Colonial 20:8, 20:14,	154:13, 166:24,	127:22, 171:13	23:14, 80:12
24:24, 53:3, 53:4,	168:10, 171:14,	<b>common</b> 82:22, 136:5	compliance 33:18,
53:7, 59:10, 59:22,	174:20, 178:10	communication	146:15
60:1	commercial 22:25,	139:19	complied 162:19
combination 105:3	23:1, 34:7, 50:5,	communications	complies 75:4
combined 114:23	114:3, 128:1	139:15, 176:7, 178:7	compliment 21:5,
<b>comes</b> 4:4, 15:21,	COMMISION 1:2	community 18:19,	21:23
89:24, 116:10, 181:8	Commissioner 48:3,	50:22, 116:8, 116:13,	complimentary 59:22
			1

complimenting 21:8	12:2, 41:4, 60:5, 65:3,	conclusory 155:14,	confused 63:15, 68:7,
compliments 50:21	98:6, 105:9, 115:12,	170:9	102:12
<b>comply</b> 45:14, 75:6,	130:23, 142:17,	concrete 21:21,	confusing 102:19
133:22, 136:15,	146:17	21:24, 22:5, 22:7,	confusion 131:12,
152:11, 153:7, 156:19,	concerning 3:4, 8:3,	22:9, 61:21, 73:19,	131:23
162:24, 166:18, 167:2,	8:24, 63:21, 79:10,	73:21, 84:3, 84:20,	Connecticut 17:10,
168:6	100:3, 101:18, 106:7,	85:24, 148:21, 148:22	32:9, 32:10, 55:21,
component 132:20,	131:6, 131:7, 131:24,	concurs 106:21	71:7, 71:11, 72:10,
157:4	155:4, 160:6, 165:8	condition 23:24,	76:8, 78:23, 110:7,
components 96:2,	concerns 21:20,	53:2, 64:19, 65:1,	151:9, 152:8, 153:21,
166:22	37:23, 88:17, 99:23,	84:19, 86:19, 110:11,	154:23, 155:13
composite 60:12	109:8, 114:23, 130:7,	135:8, 135:11	connection 99:10,
composites 60:11	135:19, 135:20,	conditions 21:23	109:4
comprehensive	156:22, 171:18	conduct 7:14, 105:22,	connections 72:18
105:5, 109:14	concise 68:21	155:10, 157:12	<b>CONROY</b> 1:22, 2:11,
compromised 98:3	conclude 8:18, 25:13,	confess 102:11	2:19, 2:20, 2:24, 4:10,
concept 160:11	56:14, 109:23, 142:23	confirm 32:24,	5:3, 5:7, 13:21, 15:10,
concern 13:3, 39:15,	concluded 97:10,	145:12, 145:14	15:13, 15:15, 15:18,
60:3, 64:22, 98:9,	98:3, 98:9	confirmed 44:1,	15:25, 16:4, 37:12,
105:17, 105:18,	concludes 174:12	87:25	38:10, 70:3, 70:8,
105:19, 115:7, 116:2,	conclusion 98:25,	confirming 146:1	70:15, 96:25, 113:5,
117:10, 142:8, 142:10,	99:17, 109:20, 138:5,	conflict 78:13, 98:6	120:6, 120:16, 136:3,
142:17, 147:3, 164:15,	154:13, 166:23	conforming 113:1	139:12, 139:25,
166:17	conclusions 100:6,	conformities 160:2	140:18, 140:20,
concerned 3:14,	106:25	conformity 162:2	140:22, 140:25, 141:7,
,		_	

152:23, 174:24,	33:10, 72:10, 133:25,	contemplated 119:21	contributing 17:15,
175:5, 175:13,	134:2, 151:24	contemplates 78:4	17:17, 18:6, 18:10,
175:21, 175:25,	constitutes 107:11	context 19:2, 19:5,	45:21, 62:5, 64:5,
180:25, 181:14	constraining 90:24	20:10, 20:21, 24:24,	77:22, 93:10, 132:21,
conscience 26:1	construct 128:5	25:5, 25:8, 58:4, 60:1,	147:21, 147:23, 148:4,
consequence 121:21	constructed 153:18	63:13, 63:21	156:5
Conservation 29:3,	constructing 34:10	contextual 34:5	Control 8:8, 71:2
29:23	construction 28:18,	contextuality 89:1	controlled 159:23
consider 7:19, 7:21,	28:22, 34:19, 78:9,	contiguous 8:7	controversy 80:22,
16:19, 25:15, 38:11,	78:12, 78:25, 86:6,	contingency 19:6	82:3
40:17, 41:14, 41:20,	127:24, 149:5, 152:4,	continuation 2:2	convenience 114:14
42:1, 42:14, 43:14,	157:20, 166:2	<b>continue</b> 9:11, 10:6,	convenient 114:15
58:21, 63:25, 138:17,	consult 44:17	43:6, 53:22, 58:12,	conversation 52:20,
143:3, 158:10, 158:21,	consultant 81:8,	112:24, 120:14,	89:12, 147:17
159:7, 165:13	105:20, 105:21,	126:22, 127:11, 172:9	conversations 3:23
consideration 17:18,	105:22, 137:15,	continued 2:3, 2:4,	conversions 30:8
45:15, 60:1, 109:24,	170:20, 170:21	8:21, 35:22	convinced 104:21
132:11, 143:4, 143:7,	consultants 67:21	contract 40:1, 40:3,	convincingly 100:7
169:13, 174:16	consultation 79:11	40:4	coordinator 82:14
considered 7:10,	consulting 13:1	contractor 128:8	<b>сору</b> 69:20, 178:10
17:12, 17:17, 18:11,	contact 14:10, 44:3,	contradicted 60:20	corner 19:18, 22:7,
62:5, 100:17, 114:19,	82:12	contradiction 24:17	71:15, 73:9, 90:20,
158:2	contacted 135:14	<b>contrary</b> 138:11,	95:8, 114:24, 115:19,
considering 43:12	contain 27:13	163:25	126:2, 144:22, 151:21
consistent 13:12,	contained 158:11	contributes 19:1	corners 76:5
	1	1	

cornices 20:4	<b>could</b> 68:10, 176:4	20:3	crossing 115:9
corollary 100:11	council 28:2	<b>Cramer</b> 5:22, 5:24	crosswalk 105:12,
Corps 81:11	counsel 14:4, 141:16,	create 25:10, 41:7,	105:14, 115:8, 115:11,
Correct 15:11, 32:25,	147:5, 163:25	41:17, 118:21, 134:12,	115:12, 115:16
36:10, 40:8, 40:9,	counting 113:15	136:21, 143:11,	<b>CRR</b> 182:13
52:24, 79:5, 81:19,	country 17:11	173:16	cryptically 3:11
84:22, 85:16, 88:1,	couple 27:2, 33:23,	created 18:16	<b>cubic</b> 32:17, 32:19,
93:2, 93:11, 93:12,	49:14, 49:18, 71:1,	creates 13:24	32:20, 32:23, 72:4,
93:19, 93:20, 93:23,	75:25, 92:3, 93:14,	Creating 73:13, 76:4,	75:2
94:5, 94:6, 94:9,	111:20, 125:12,	144:3, 144:5, 164:2	cultural 44:24
94:10, 94:13, 94:21,	150:17, 153:4, 171:17	credibility 47:16, 82:6	<b>curb</b> 134:6
98:16, 98:21, 99:2,	couples 137:12	credible 133:6, 134:8,	curiosity 111:25
104:22, 108:11,	course 4:1, 17:14,	136:13	<b>curious</b> 40:2, 49:23,
108:13, 125:16,	18:16, 19:25, 21:16,	credit 137:14	112:5
126:19, 149:19,	21:24, 25:21, 26:15,	crimp 31:18	curiously 137:4
151:17, 151:22,	33:14, 34:23, 88:10,	<b>criteria</b> 63:20, 63:23,	current 38:2, 146:16,
162:10, 176:2, 179:12,	119:4, 119:9, 157:9,	150:21, 152:12, 168:7	161:10, 166:4
181:1, 182:4	158:16, 169:17	critical 109:1, 132:20,	currently 34:10,
correctly 33:1	<b>Court</b> 42:18, 108:14,	136:18	45:23, 71:22, 85:23,
correspondence	158:1, 158:5, 158:9	critically 174:4	110:15, 110:17,
101:20	<b>Courts</b> 64:14, 165:7	cross-examination	117:19, 129:21,
<b>cost</b> 84:9	covenant 165:9	92:4, 132:25	172:10
costly 108:2	coverage 72:1, 72:3	cross-examine 92:20	<b>cursor</b> 90:1
<b>costs</b> 112:4	covered 26:14	crossed 119:8,	<b>curve</b> 121:8
<b>couch</b> 10:3	craftsmanship 19:22,	119:10	curving 113:25, 114:1
	l	l	l

custodial 118:12	6:5, 6:6, 6:7, 6:8, 6:14,	163:16, 164:7	deed 40:24, 57:25,
<b>Cut</b> 32:15, 54:2, 54:9,	6:15, 6:16, 6:17, 6:18,	death 119:13	58:2, 66:1, 78:3, 78:4,
56:3, 60:25, 74:8,	6:19, 6:20, 154:10,	debated 99:21	112:23
75:2, 88:11	182:7	Deborah 1:19	deeded 40:24, 41:8,
cut/fill 32:16	daughter 99:10,	<b>Debra</b> 2:10, 2:11,	65:24
<b>cuts</b> 23:14	122:15	2:20, 2:22, 2:25, 61:6,	deemed 45:4, 93:10
	David 117:17, 117:19	63:11, 129:3, 129:4,	deems 107:13
	<b>day</b> 38:25, 51:5,	180:6, 180:16, 180:18	<b>DEEP</b> 71:11, 72:11,
< D >	122:1, 122:4, 137:6,	decade 119:2	82:3
<b>D.</b> 72:7	172:15, 178:3, 182:7	decades 37:14,	defect 102:6
daily 38:21, 38:24,	<b>days</b> 18:1, 45:10,	38:13, 43:8	defined 33:8, 57:1
122:11, 136:24	122:8	decide 41:8, 144:20	defines 13:5, 13:8,
Dainius 14:24, 31:22	deadline 45:11, 102:4	decided 80:24	20:7, 82:16
damaged 122:4	<b>deal</b> 30:12, 79:9,	decides 64:19, 64:25	Definitely 35:18,
<b>Dan</b> 10:14, 92:18	80:22, 102:13, 103:17,	deciding 40:17	61:16, 147:9
Danella 1:18, 2:9,	168:18, 168:21	decision 10:24,	definition 13:7, 13:18
86:24, 89:23	<b>Dealing</b> 88:4, 95:3,	43:25, 44:4, 65:18,	definitive 156:4
danger 105:12,	163:12, 165:14,	99:1, 105:5, 105:24,	delayed 80:1
115:25	169:15, 169:21	108:10, 109:17,	deliberate 11:10,
dangerous 115:8,	deals 169:1	117:15, 154:14,	106:9, 109:16, 176:25
121:7, 121:16	dealt 42:4, 130:9,	155:18, 157:16,	deliberation 12:9
date 105:23	168:22	169:25	Deliberations 7:25,
Dated 5:12, 5:13,	<b>Deano</b> 100:9, 119:7,	decisions 105:2,	12:11, 15:7, 101:3,
5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20,	120:21, 120:25,	109:14	101:6, 106:11, 137:23,
5:21, 5:24, 5:25, 6:2,	121:23, 162:12,	dedicated 110:15	138:23, 153:2, 177:23,
	1	1	1

178:12, 178:22, 179:2,	133:10	described 110:19	149:1
179:9, 179:13, 179:15,	demonstrates 139:18	description 77:19	desist 38:12
179:25, 181:6, 181:9	demonstration	<b>design</b> 19:10, 21:8,	desktop 15:23, 16:2
deliberative 99:18	112:20	22:1, 22:14, 50:15,	desperately 172:8
deliver 145:1	<b>denial</b> 40:15, 43:3,	50:18, 50:21, 52:21,	destroy 42:8, 42:25,
delivered 144:16	47:17, 133:13	57:8, 59:4, 63:20,	132:23, 137:23,
deliveries 87:3,	denied 38:15, 42:10,	72:10, 75:4, 106:25,	156:15, 170:15
121:5, 134:16, 134:18,	135:24, 136:6, 169:10	144:13, 145:3, 145:4,	destroyed 156:18,
134:19, 143:13,	<b>dense</b> 50:14	146:1, 146:14, 156:11,	157:2
143:14, 144:15	density 23:8, 50:17,	164:12, 166:13,	destroying 7:15,
delivering 143:10	59:24	166:22, 171:3, 171:17,	139:2
delivery 34:24, 76:11,	<b>deny</b> 42:13, 47:18,	171:19	destruction 37:21,
86:10, 122:11, 131:3,	47:23, 139:6, 159:10	designate 37:6, 77:16	132:18, 133:1, 133:7,
134:13, 136:12,	Department 17:9,	designated 37:11,	133:17, 151:7, 152:6,
144:19, 146:17	18:11, 101:22, 102:2,	63:14, 64:2, 73:4,	153:19, 155:11,
-, -			
demoing 166:4	110:7	78:7, 78:16, 81:1,	155:16, 157:13
			155:16, 157:13 <b>detail</b> 19:21, 20:7,
demoing 166:4	110:7	78:7, 78:16, 81:1,	
demoing 166:4 demolished 21:18,	110:7 <b>depend</b> 139:4	78:7, 78:16, 81:1, 86:11	detail 19:21, 20:7,
demoing 166:4 demolished 21:18, 22:9, 78:4, 151:17,	110:7 depend 139:4 dependent 135:11	78:7, 78:16, 81:1, 86:11 designation 17:10,	<b>detail</b> 19:21, 20:7, 107:19
<b>demoing</b> 166:4 <b>demolished</b> 21:18, 22:9, 78:4, 151:17, 151:25	110:7 depend 139:4 dependent 135:11 depending 128:8	78:7, 78:16, 81:1, 86:11 <b>designation</b> 17:10, 82:15	detail 19:21, 20:7, 107:19 detailed 17:14, 29:15,
<ul> <li>demoing 166:4</li> <li>demolished 21:18,</li> <li>22:9, 78:4, 151:17,</li> <li>151:25</li> <li>demolition 112:2</li> </ul>	110:7 depend 139:4 dependent 135:11 depending 128:8 Depends 65:17,	<ul> <li>78:7, 78:16, 81:1,</li> <li>86:11</li> <li>designation 17:10,</li> <li>82:15</li> <li>designed 49:25,</li> </ul>	<b>detail</b> 19:21, 20:7, 107:19 <b>detailed</b> 17:14, 29:15, 70:24
demoing 166:4 demolished 21:18, 22:9, 78:4, 151:17, 151:25 demolition 112:2 demonstrate 27:14,	110:7 depend 139:4 dependent 135:11 depending 128:8 Depends 65:17, 150:23	<ul> <li>78:7, 78:16, 81:1,</li> <li>86:11</li> <li>designation 17:10,</li> <li>82:15</li> <li>designed 49:25,</li> <li>51:14, 57:4, 71:10,</li> </ul>	detail 19:21, 20:7, 107:19 detailed 17:14, 29:15, 70:24 details 19:19, 20:3
<pre>demoing 166:4 demolished 21:18, 22:9, 78:4, 151:17, 151:25 demolition 112:2 demonstrate 27:14, 37:20, 42:5, 47:6,</pre>	110:7 depend 139:4 dependent 135:11 depending 128:8 Depends 65:17, 150:23 deprive 42:15	<ul> <li>78:7, 78:16, 81:1,</li> <li>86:11</li> <li>designation 17:10,</li> <li>82:15</li> <li>designed 49:25,</li> <li>51:14, 57:4, 71:10,</li> <li>77:12, 144:3, 146:15</li> </ul>	detail 19:21, 20:7, 107:19 detailed 17:14, 29:15, 70:24 details 19:19, 20:3 determination 43:18,
<pre>demoing 166:4 demolished 21:18, 22:9, 78:4, 151:17, 151:25 demolition 112:2 demonstrate 27:14, 37:20, 42:5, 47:6, 112:12</pre>	110:7 depend 139:4 dependent 135:11 depending 128:8 Depends 65:17, 150:23 deprive 42:15 depth 91:8	<ul> <li>78:7, 78:16, 81:1,</li> <li>86:11</li> <li>designation 17:10,</li> <li>82:15</li> <li>designed 49:25,</li> <li>51:14, 57:4, 71:10,</li> <li>77:12, 144:3, 146:15</li> <li>designer 36:1</li> </ul>	detail 19:21, 20:7, 107:19 detailed 17:14, 29:15, 70:24 details 19:19, 20:3 determination 43:18, 45:12

determined 46:9,	devices 4:2	175:23	disingenuous
75:2	dial 84:8, 120:11	directly 9:13, 10:1,	102:19, 103:15
determines 41:24,	dialogue 141:8	21:14, 47:15	displace 31:3
112:16, 167:8	diameter 74:15	disabled 3:5, 4:22	displacement 27:13
determining 41:13	dictate 158:13	disagree 10:19,	dispute 37:12, 92:14,
detract 29:17	difference 72:7,	39:13, 41:7, 127:14	93:7, 93:9, 165:8
<b>Deusen</b> 6:20, 120:16,	176:16	disagreeing 54:21	disputed 100:16
120:17, 120:18,	different 7:9, 21:3,	disagreement 148:17	disputes 39:11, 165:3
120:20, 122:18	23:14, 49:25, 55:9,	disappear 74:16	disputing 11:6, 11:21
develop 11:23, 13:1,	80:14, 96:2, 129:20,	discharged 71:21	disregard 37:22,
158:13, 159:11	147:10, 147:22, 150:4	disclose 110:13	37:23, 38:19, 38:25,
developable 13:8	differently 49:25,	discuss 2:18, 8:19,	115:16
developed 13:9,	51:14, 113:11	57:1, 67:16, 68:3,	disruption 128:1
13:11	difficult 29:10, 118:9,	142:24, 154:4	dissimilar 150:12
developers 45:8	169:22	discussed 8:6, 27:9,	distance 23:21,
developing 12:23,	difficulties 117:3	27:10, 30:16, 66:20,	24:21, 60:4, 91:7,
160:22	dimension 19:21	99:7, 144:13	114:13
Development 7:9,	dimensionally 34:25	discussing 67:19	distant 33:17
7:13, 8:9, 8:16, 13:5,	direct 74:20, 74:21,	discussion 14:6,	distinct 9:15
17:19, 27:7, 28:21,	90:21, 149:16	18:4, 27:6, 29:16,	distinctive 17:1
29:3, 29:23, 29:25,	directed 8:4, 48:22,	54:16, 62:11, 65:10,	distressing 22:24
33:25, 34:17, 44:23,	71:19, 96:8	88:25, 140:1, 179:6,	Districts 17:8, 17:11,
45:1, 79:4, 142:21,	direction 71:10,	179:16	78:19, 79:15, 83:1,
160:23	164:24	discussions 104:1,	149:6
device 4:6	directions 120:9,	144:13, 145:3	dividers 60:12
	I	1	

<b>Doctor</b> 86:23	86:21, 134:25, 135:9,	drainage 32:3	< E >
documentation	135:14, 135:15	drastically 137:1	<b>e-mail</b> 3:2, 6:17, 6:19,
139:18, 140:8	double 86:12, 87:1,	<b>draw</b> 53:17	7:5, 139:12, 140:1,
documents 5:7, 5:9,	90:4, 129:24, 131:9,	drawing 51:10, 61:8,	152:24, 175:1, 176:8,
6:11, 9:4, 101:10	133:5, 144:21	89:22	176:17
<b>dog</b> 79:7, 115:9	<b>Douglas</b> 113:3, 113:4,	drawings 22:3, 24:8	e-mailed 140:25
<b>DOH</b> 44:16	113:6, 113:20, 113:21,	<b>drew</b> 100:18	<b>e-mails</b> 175:12
doing 4:14, 15:11,	113:23, 123:12,	drilled 36:20	earlier 72:17, 114:25,
87:19, 92:18, 180:1,	123:15, 123:20, 175:1,	drive 35:23, 76:15,	116:20, 149:10,
181:1	175:17, 176:14	124:18, 124:19,	174:21, 176:6
<b>done</b> 28:3, 59:6,	dovetail 154:12	144:22, 145:16, 147:2	early 17:20, 18:1,
101:25, 102:3, 102:16,	dovetailed 12:25	drive-through 131:25	19:11, 45:12, 166:14
102:19, 102:25,	<b>down</b> 2:15, 20:18,	drive-throughs 76:17	<b>ease</b> 29:6, 29:11
113:11, 120:2, 126:1,	20:24, 25:4, 36:20,	driver 144:18	easement 40:24,
126:3, 126:9, 126:11,	39:1, 51:6, 85:18,	driveways 148:14	63:8, 64:14, 64:16,
175:18, 179:17	85:19, 88:21, 89:24,	driving 144:19	64:24, 65:20, 65:21,
<b>donor</b> 5:19, 148:18,	105:11, 111:21, 114:4,	<b>drum</b> 117:25	164:16, 164:20,
165:14, 165:17,	115:3, 115:4, 121:9,	<b>dry</b> 84:13	164:23, 165:8, 165:11
165:20	121:12, 121:15,	<b>due</b> 165:13	easements 81:15
donors 57:17	144:19, 164:7, 165:24,	during 8:20, 17:22,	easier 118:16
<b>door</b> 20:5, 144:9,	167:23	87:19, 142:25, 172:1,	easily 11:15, 130:17
144:12, 144:23	downtown 137:17	172:3, 179:13	<b>east</b> 20:18, 22:3,
doors 24:6, 24:7,	downward 121:8	dwellings 38:4, 38:6	24:20, 40:21, 51:23,
24:10, 60:12	<b>DPH</b> 139:15, 139:16		60:2
<b>DOT</b> 86:14, 86:18,	draft 80:16		<b>eat</b> 180:8, 180:9
	1		

<b>echo</b> 118:19, 118:20	176:7, 180:3	140:5	<b>ends</b> 90:2, 90:5
echoed 39:15	either/or 172:7,	employ 118:2	enforced 37:10
economy 114:7	172:25, 173:1	employed 118:16	Enforcement 37:7,
<b>edge</b> 18:8, 76:5	elected 2:6	employees 39:21,	37:12, 37:13
edited 107:15	elementary 118:2,	118:13, 118:21	enforcing 37:8
editing 107:14	118:14	<b>empty</b> 58:25	engage 14:6
editor 3:6	elevate 4:18	enabling 159:5	Engineer 14:25,
edits 107:12	elevation 34:15,	encapsulates 25:24	31:23, 32:9, 72:2,
educate 19:13	35:22, 35:25, 124:13,	encompassed 54:23	113:13
Education 117:20	149:16	encourage 29:24,	Engineering 9:2,
effect 21:1, 21:4,	elevations 22:2,	30:8	31:23
23:14, 29:10, 72:5	24:20, 26:14, 34:15,	encroaches 164:20	Engineers 71:9,
effectively 84:9	60:3	encroaching 164:22	114:8
effort 16:14, 25:10	elevator 77:8, 121:13,	encroachment	<b>enjoy</b> 173:8
effort 16:14, 25:10 efforts 9:7	<b>elevator</b> 77:8, 121:13, 145:9	encroachment 164:25	enjoy 173:8 enough 13:21, 69:8,
efforts 9:7	145:9	164:25	enough 13:21, 69:8,
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1	145:9 elevators 77:11	164:25 <b>encumber</b> 163:16,	<b>enough</b> 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3,
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8	164:25 <b>encumber</b> 163:16, 163:21	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9,
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17 egress 41:4, 41:11,	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8 eliminated 137:1	164:25 encumber 163:16, 163:21 encumbered 164:16	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9, 156:13, 170:8
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17 egress 41:4, 41:11, 64:20	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8 eliminated 137:1 elitists 138:19	164:25 encumber 163:16, 163:21 encumbered 164:16 end 16:21, 21:2, 36:4,	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9, 156:13, 170:8 ensure 68:12 entered 9:3, 40:1
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17 egress 41:4, 41:11, 64:20 eight 116:10, 172:16	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8 eliminated 137:1 elitists 138:19 eloquently 27:8	164:25 encumber 163:16, 163:21 encumbered 164:16 end 16:21, 21:2, 36:4, 67:3, 116:22, 137:14,	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9, 156:13, 170:8 ensure 68:12 entered 9:3, 40:1
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17 egress 41:4, 41:11, 64:20 eight 116:10, 172:16 either 44:19, 58:7,	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8 eliminated 137:1 elitists 138:19 eloquently 27:8 emergency 76:16,	164:25 encumber 163:16, 163:21 encumbered 164:16 end 16:21, 21:2, 36:4, 67:3, 116:22, 137:14, 146:16, 158:16, 163:5,	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9, 156:13, 170:8 ensure 68:12 entered 9:3, 40:1 entering 34:18
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17 egress 41:4, 41:11, 64:20 eight 116:10, 172:16 either 44:19, 58:7, 62:7, 70:7, 75:16,	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8 eliminated 137:1 elitists 138:19 eloquently 27:8 emergency 76:16, 77:12, 145:10, 146:4	164:25 encumber 163:16, 163:21 encumbered 164:16 end 16:21, 21:2, 36:4, 67:3, 116:22, 137:14, 146:16, 158:16, 163:5, 173:16, 178:3	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9, 156:13, 170:8 ensure 68:12 entered 9:3, 40:1 entering 34:18 Enterprises 46:11
efforts 9:7 Egan 7:1 egregious 59:17 egress 41:4, 41:11, 64:20 eight 116:10, 172:16 either 44:19, 58:7, 62:7, 70:7, 75:16, 81:15, 89:9, 89:10,	145:9 elevators 77:11 eligible 46:5, 46:8 eliminated 137:1 elitists 138:19 eloquently 27:8 emergency 76:16, 77:12, 145:10, 146:4 Emily 7:1	164:25 encumber 163:16, 163:21 encumbered 164:16 end 16:21, 21:2, 36:4, 67:3, 116:22, 137:14, 146:16, 158:16, 163:5, 173:16, 178:3 endangering 76:19,	enough 13:21, 69:8, 76:15, 86:25, 97:3, 129:10, 145:8, 145:9, 156:13, 170:8 ensure 68:12 entered 9:3, 40:1 entering 34:18 Enterprises 46:11 entire 47:11, 51:16,

entirely 55:9, 136:5,	17:1, 18:17	176:18, 179:16,	exception 119:4
147:22	establishing 135:8,	181:11	excerpt 165:17
entities 117:4	135:9, 155:9	Everything 10:3,	exciting 84:5
entitled 54:3	esthetics 29:16	51:2, 61:8, 67:24,	exclude 53:15
entrance 76:13, 87:5	estimate 86:2, 127:19	173:22	excludes 53:18
<b>entry</b> 20:6	estimation 99:21	evidence 12:7, 40:23,	<b>Excuse</b> 2:9, 22:3,
envisioned 57:19	<b>etc.</b> 9:1, 9:25, 103:15,	43:16, 135:14, 138:1,	35:3, 35:6, 111:9,
envisioning 86:25	116:9, 118:12	155:25, 162:12,	145:12, 161:1, 162:16
<b>equal</b> 114:9	evaluate 19:5, 20:9,	168:24	Executive 108:18,
equipment 106:23,	21:11, 45:5, 53:16,	evidence-based	178:23, 178:25, 179:5,
146:5	58:19, 59:7, 79:23	169:3	179:11
<b>equity</b> 65:14	evaluated 59:6, 59:8	<b>Ex</b> 34:24, 178:6	exercising 37:24
erected 166:12	evanescent 105:17	exacerbate 136:21	<b>Exhibit</b> 6:1, 6:2, 6:3,
Especially 41:5,	evening 2:4, 10:3,	<b>exact</b> 90:15	6:5, 6:6, 6:7, 6:9, 6:22,
121:16, 122:8, 133:19,	16:11, 146:9, 151:20,	Exactly 10:9, 147:1	12:21, 19:21, 40:23,
137:24, 138:1, 167:14	160:5, 163:18, 166:1,	examine 21:10	44:15, 46:2
<b>Esq</b> 1:24	166:16, 171:7	<b>example</b> 28:8, 67:24,	Exhibits 12:17,
essential 25:25,	event 8:2	78:22, 89:8, 89:16,	135:25
118:19	Everybody 16:11,	155:22, 160:18,	<b>exist</b> 21:25, 78:19,
Essentially 4:11,	68:14, 69:13, 102:21,	160:24, 167:15,	158:24
4:15, 17:2, 43:11,	119:25, 120:1, 127:18,	167:22, 169:24	existed 152:2
89:2, 160:19	155:3	exampled 123:23	existence 25:17
Establish 25:11, 30:2,	<b>Everyone</b> 26:1, 69:8,	excavation 75:1	existing 13:15, 21:6,
157:7	90:12, 117:23, 157:10,	exceeds 171:23	21:8, 27:8, 27:14,
established 16:23,	157:15, 167:19,	Except 75:20	29:25, 30:5, 30:8,

34:4, 34:6, 45:22,	explained 82:1	<b>eyes</b> 179:20	47:6, 61:1, 136:22
46:7, 50:21, 53:16,	explains 4:22		fail 119:4
71:15, 72:8, 72:23,	explanation 102:22		fails 45:18, 45:20
73:20, 79:2, 79:15,	<b>explicit</b> 39:20, 159:8	< F >	failure 45:13, 46:16,
85:22, 87:16, 89:10,	explicitly 163:1	facades 33:9	46:23
95:12, 110:11, 110:12,	<b>explore</b> 107:5, 110:7,	face 73:20, 84:7,	<b>fair</b> 3:18, 116:24,
151:4	137:25	84:19, 84:20, 85:24	170:12
<b>exists</b> 40:20, 119:14,	explored 119:21	facing 60:14, 84:10	<b>fairly</b> 138:17, 157:5,
163:7, 165:9	exposed 73:21	fact 11:21, 13:17,	169:10
expanded 77:21	express 100:8	13:18, 13:25, 18:10,	fairness 3:16, 32:23
expectation 42:24	expressed 99:23,	22:11, 25:15, 28:11,	<b>Faith</b> 109:5, 117:23,
<b>expense</b> 139:2	100:8, 109:5	31:4, 32:10, 44:6,	129:22, 137:16
expensive 108:2	extend 181:7	47:11, 51:14, 51:19,	fall 80:1
experience 36:8,	<b>extent</b> 64:18, 88:5	55:1, 56:13, 62:9,	fallen 111:21
50:8, 78:15, 89:2,	<b>exterior</b> 19:9, 166:13,	65:9, 77:17, 78:10,	<b>Falls</b> 99:7
150:18	166:22	88:2, 100:16, 100:24,	false 47:1, 47:4, 47:7,
experienced 105:13	<b>extra</b> 119:14, 161:11	101:4, 102:23, 104:11,	81:5, 149:18, 160:13
Expert 121:19,	extracted 63:24	112:21, 112:24, 116:4,	familiar 27:19, 66:5,
132:22, 137:3, 155:9,	extraordinary 19:15	117:5, 132:23, 149:4,	68:20, 151:16, 169:6
155:19, 157:11, 171:6	<b>extreme</b> 111:23	156:20	families 137:12
experts 9:2, 10:3,	extremely 60:24,	factor 41:12, 98:4,	family 5:20, 38:4,
14:16, 66:13, 67:20,	97:9, 170:18	117:15, 156:5	38:6, 116:18, 148:13,
147:5, 164:14	<b>eye</b> 23:20, 88:21,	factory 23:10, 150:10	150:3, 157:25
<b>explain</b> 4:8, 9:12,	150:13	facts 40:10, 43:14,	<b>fan</b> 19:19
15:5, 110:19, 154:8	<b>eyeing</b> 115:9	43:25, 45:18, 46:15,	far 3:14, 66:19, 80:20,
	I	I	

139:25, 150:25, 151:1,	53:4, 53:7, 59:10,	fence 115:3	Finance 55:21
165:3	59:22, 60:18, 63:19,	fencing 21:13	financial 117:3
Farm 20:17	63:23, 103:20,	few 14:22, 16:12,	<b>find</b> 133:4
Farmington 76:8	104:14, 112:1, 148:12,	35:2, 116:11, 120:21,	finding 8:3, 118:7
Farnam 150:5	149:3, 154:20, 166:13,	166:23, 168:10	finds 7:13, 7:17,
fashion 166:7	166:18, 166:21	fewer 112:14	157:10
<b>fast</b> 121:9	Federal-colonial	fiction 102:23	Fine 66:24, 67:13,
<b>favor</b> 107:17, 167:19,	24:17	field 88:12, 173:19	70:8, 119:3, 120:20,
181:21	Federal-period 19:22	<b>Fifth</b> 42:16	179:7, 180:3, 180:4
feasible 5:24, 7:10,	Federal-style 148:15,	fight 62:25, 106:18	<b>finish</b> 61:5, 181:5
7:12, 7:20, 7:22, 7:24,	148:19	figures 32:17	finished 130:19
42:2, 42:9, 43:15,	Federally 148:3	filed 10:25, 44:1,	fire 5:12, 35:8, 72:24,
133:10, 157:15,	<b>feel</b> 50:1, 59:2, 60:7,	80:4, 80:25, 81:8,	73:2, 106:16, 106:17,
157:20, 158:11,	76:18, 88:19, 107:20,	136:7, 149:12	106:18, 106:19,
158:20, 159:18,	180:1	filing 81:5, 111:8,	106:20, 106:21,
159:19, 167:7, 167:12	feelings 86:16	111:11	106:22, 145:21,
feature 22:16, 77:22,	feels 146:19, 175:13	fill 21:3, 25:8, 32:15,	145:24, 146:11,
147:21, 147:23, 148:5	feet 23:18, 23:19,	51:22, 75:2	146:12, 146:13,
features 147:25,	34:21, 61:18, 72:4,	filled 82:7, 88:12	146:14, 146:16,
166:21	76:14, 86:2, 88:7,	filling 34:9	146:19, 146:20,
Fed 34:24	90:9, 90:17, 93:23,	<b>fills</b> 61:19	146:25, 164:19,
Federal 18:3, 19:11,	94:5, 95:20, 96:15,	final 28:14, 30:15,	164:20, 164:21
19:14, 19:16, 20:7,	124:20, 134:6	65:18, 103:24, 107:7,	firehouse 34:9
20:12, 20:14, 23:23,	fell 119:19	108:22	firemen 106:24, 116:8
24:1, 51:21, 53:3,	felt 109:10	finally 80:2	firm 32:25
	l		l

First 2:18, 4:22, 5:10,	30:22, 91:4	footprint 150:7	forward 11:20, 58:18,
6:19, 7:1, 7:13, 11:9,	flexible 30:24, 180:5	forbids 43:11	67:23, 106:6, 109:19,
14:16, 14:20, 26:21,	flow 83:23, 86:12	force 43:4	140:16, 142:11
37:24, 44:25, 50:11,	flows 71:25, 72:2,	forefront 154:7	found 157:23, 158:3,
53:1, 53:13, 54:14,	72:3	foregoing 182:4	158:5, 169:13
63:12, 63:22, 64:2,	flummoxed 3:7	foremost 14:18,	foundation 54:23,
66:21, 69:23, 76:2,	<b>focus</b> 10:5, 11:8,	53:13, 66:21, 154:6	78:1, 85:21, 148:13
87:24, 98:14, 102:12,	11:17, 31:13, 31:19,	foresee 144:18	foundations 18:14,
104:5, 117:20, 123:16,	38:17, 133:23	Forgive 112:11, 113:7	21:18
123:17, 133:21,	focused 62:23, 62:24,	form 44:21, 45:19,	four 23:16, 24:6, 24:9,
137:13, 141:2, 141:25,	62:25	46:3, 47:13, 52:23,	162:15, 162:17,
142:1, 143:17, 154:6,	focuses 19:24	80:11, 80:12, 80:16,	162:19
162:2, 165:22, 172:10,	focusing 9:8, 36:20	80:25, 82:7, 97:14,	<b>Fox</b> 6:17
179:15	folks 103:5, 155:6,	101:21, 102:1, 108:6,	frankly 135:15,
firsthand 136:22	171:18	149:12	135:16, 137:9, 138:12,
firstly 125:23	follow 54:1, 170:24,	formal 92:18	166:15
fit 30:7, 74:1, 84:17	175:23	formed 33:7, 33:22	free 3:12
<b>Five</b> 59:16, 90:17,	follow-up 26:7, 41:22	former 63:3	frequent 146:24
137:6, 162:19	following 5:8, 8:20,	forming 33:11	friends 116:18
five. 162:16	73:15, 177:23	forms 33:21, 47:2	front 20:5, 23:18,
<b>fix</b> 173:8	follows 85:16, 85:18,	forth 40:25, 119:6,	25:7, 33:4, 33:6,
fixtures 50:8, 50:10,	85:19	119:7, 121:4, 139:15,	33:10, 73:7, 76:11,
52:4, 74:8, 74:11,	foot 23:3, 90:9, 90:23,	155:18	83:12, 87:6, 87:9,
74:13	91:10, 115:20	fortunate 119:1	88:14, 93:23, 94:20,
flexibility 30:14,	footage 161:3	forum 179:18	95:3, 95:6, 95:9,
	I	I	I

95:16, 115:23, 121:4,	80:17, 81:1, 104:14,	genuine 109:11	governments 28:2
122:3, 133:25, 134:2,	110:6, 116:12, 117:7,	George 111:13	governs 154:21,
134:20, 136:12,	124:4, 138:2, 149:12,	<b>gets</b> 105:1	154:23
143:11, 144:9, 144:12,	150:23	getting 12:18, 22:17,	gracious 13:21,
144:23, 162:17,	funds 47:7, 47:14	36:9, 105:13, 124:4	56:11, 56:17
162:20	Furnace 25:3	gift 19:7, 19:8, 23:25,	graciously 101:14
frontage 33:8, 35:17		53:3, 58:14, 78:4	grade 72:20, 83:22,
fronts 21:15, 93:19		gifted 77:17	88:21
Froze] 30:9, 60:15	< G >	<b>give</b> 25:4, 36:12,	graded 166:5
full 2:7, 74:8, 140:9,	gable 20:4	43:4, 78:22, 101:3,	grades 35:25
181:2	gables 19:20	116:16, 131:12,	grandchild 122:15
full-time 130:11	gallery 121:1	131:18, 132:4, 149:11,	grant 12:20, 12:22,
fully 60:6, 60:8,	Galluzzo 3:19, 6:2,	172:7	12:24, 29:13
98:11, 171:19	7:5, 123:19, 124:7,	<b>given</b> 12:20	grants 117:9
function 3:4, 4:9,	124:8, 124:10, 124:17,	<b>gives</b> 20:21, 23:15,	graphic 76:3
4:10, 4:11, 76:10	124:21, 124:24, 125:2,	149:17, 149:19	grass 151:1
fundamental 3:16,	130:25	<b>giving</b> 115:10, 117:8	grateful 101:13
9:20, 78:3	<b>game</b> 115:10	<b>glass</b> 20:6	<b>gray</b> 90:4
fundamentally	<b>gave</b> 99:24, 99:25,	<b>goal</b> 30:20, 133:14,	<b>Great</b> 30:12, 56:19,
103:20	160:24	179:14	60:22, 80:21, 102:3,
funded 44:18	<b>General</b> 9:15, 37:9,	<b>goals</b> 28:24, 29:5,	102:13, 103:17,
funding 6:10, 44:9,	115:15, 154:23, 156:6,	29:8, 29:20, 138:24	108:17, 153:1
44:10, 44:15, 45:11,	156:22, 159:6	gotten 128:23	greater 60:2
45:16, 46:4, 47:5,	Generally 79:1, 159:8	government 42:22,	greatly 171:9
47:12, 47:20, 80:12,	generator 113:14	103:21	green 15:20, 20:20,
	I	l	1

20:25, 28:17, 53:9,	<b>guys</b> 90:8, 91:9,	hard 99:21, 129:13	167:23, 167:25, 168:1,
56:22, 127:18, 131:19	91:22, 97:3, 176:20	harm 62:22, 63:1,	170:19
greenery 57:6	<b>gym</b> 100:14	155:20, 159:23,	hearings 107:11,
Grimes 8:5, 42:3,		167:10, 168:25, 170:2,	108:1, 108:15
42:4		170:6	<b>heart</b> 116:6
gross 56:12	< H >	harmed 167:11	<b>hedge</b> 91:9
ground 34:15, 42:11,	Hacket 6:13	harp 34:12	heel 131:10
114:16, 114:17, 123:6	half 31:17, 54:14,	hazard 76:4	height 21:8, 23:16,
grounds 47:16	84:7, 115:20, 144:25	<b>head</b> 90:8	34:21, 34:25, 50:18,
groundswell 108:23	hand 4:14, 18:20,	heading 160:9,	50:20, 51:14, 51:15,
<b>Group</b> 13:1, 30:15,	100:1, 110:2, 120:10,	164:24	51:18, 61:18, 62:11,
31:23, 178:10	123:12, 174:18,	Health 101:22, 102:2	124:14, 131:24, 132:2,
<b>Grove</b> 119:6	176:16	<b>hear</b> 16:8, 48:20,	150:8, 150:11
growth 119:3	handled 81:25	62:13, 62:14, 67:2,	held 3:22, 43:1,
guaranty 181:5	hands 120:7, 120:12,	96:24, 97:2, 97:22,	158:9, 159:3, 165:7
guess 15:15, 55:12,	120:13, 125:4, 129:14,	113:4, 113:5, 120:18,	hello 79:19, 117:18
55:25, 56:16, 85:22,	176:7	121:3, 134:15	help 10:4, 11:17,
102:24, 104:8, 141:7,	<b>hang</b> 76:5	heard 10:8, 29:15,	11:23, 38:13, 70:5,
157:25, 164:6	haphazardly 169:24	40:13, 67:3, 67:20,	88:17, 88:20, 168:15
guidance 80:18	happen 35:22, 63:2,	67:25, 68:8, 96:22,	helpful 29:16, 60:24,
guide 52:19	109:21, 122:5, 157:1,	103:16, 110:1, 129:10,	63:5
guidelines 78:11,	157:3	130:24, 131:3, 131:12,	helping 60:24
149:6	happened 165:24,	132:18, 151:12,	helps 91:16, 118:22,
guides 71:10	166:15	164:13, 164:14,	171:8
<b>gurney</b> 77:12, 145:9	happening 71:22	165:15, 167:18,	<b>hem</b> 99:11
	1	1	1

hereby 182:3	Highlighted 72:23	holes 24:9	huge 17:23, 23:10,
heritage 19:16, 24:2,	highly 36:11, 43:17	Holley-williams	23:21, 116:2
44:24, 53:7, 59:11,	highways 18:1	18:23, 20:1, 20:16,	husband 114:21
59:22	hill 20:24, 51:6, 119:7,	21:14, 25:7, 82:21	hydrodynamic 71:14,
herring 38:16	121:15	home 116:25, 117:3	71:20, 72:22, 83:19
hierarchy 114:10,	hills 14:19	honest 83:4, 167:21	hypothetical 162:1
114:19	hipped 23:15	honestly 89:14	
HIGGINS 1:20, 2:9,	historian 57:15,	honorific 17:3	
2:14, 2:16, 49:14,	57:25, 58:2, 58:17	hope 114:5	<1>
49:18, 50:15, 51:13,	historians 14:18	Hopefully 28:1, 66:23	ice 114:13
51:24, 52:2, 52:7,	historical 31:13,	Horn 6:18	icon 15:20
52:9, 52:13, 52:15,	43:23, 44:21, 56:3,	<b>hour</b> 9:11, 10:9,	<b>idea</b> 57:5, 58:18,
54:7, 54:21, 54:25,	63:15, 81:21, 89:5,	26:22, 31:17, 66:13,	67:13, 131:7, 142:1,
55:4, 55:6, 55:10,	133:7, 133:8, 133:17,	172:16, 172:17	144:20, 181:7
55:13, 63:10, 64:8,	147:20, 157:4	hours 130:11, 130:15,	identified 4:3, 45:7,
64:10, 91:22, 100:16,	historicness 61:13	172:3, 172:16	80:13, 133:12, 156:17,
128:21, 128:23,	history 17:21, 17:23,	House 18:24, 20:1,	167:10
176:21, 177:14,	25:23, 25:24, 25:25	20:5, 20:16, 20:17,	identify 4:5, 44:21,
177:15, 178:17,	hit 76:14, 115:3,	21:14, 25:7, 82:21,	45:20, 46:23, 82:23,
178:19, 179:4, 179:19,	122:2	129:22	147:25, 153:12,
179:22, 180:3, 181:18	hitting 76:4, 90:14	housekeeping 2:18,	155:15, 155:19,
<b>high</b> 50:23, 115:1,	Hold 145:20	12:16	155:22
115:20, 124:17,	Holding 41:10,	<b>houses</b> 19:20,	identifying 45:8
124:22, 170:13	127:12	148:13, 150:3	<b>illegal</b> 36:19, 37:5,
higher 50:24, 76:17	Holdings 65:3	Hubbard 20:17	178:9
		l	

illuminate 61:1	153:19, 155:11,	impose 64:25, 135:11	141:20, 157:20
illustrate 85:4	155:17	impression 50:13,	includes 40:24, 57:3,
image 19:11, 19:14,	impartiality 97:12	50:25, 51:19, 149:18	66:6, 71:12, 106:10
20:12, 23:24, 53:4,	impassable 36:2	improvement 133:11,	including 13:13,
85:6, 149:20, 166:14	impede 133:14, 165:1	157:15	13:15, 18:1, 30:15,
Images 73:6, 149:13,	impedimented 20:6	<b>in.</b> 11:7, 23:14, 35:19,	32:3, 34:22, 42:3,
153:5	impediments 28:17	77:4, 176:13, 176:17	47:20, 70:22, 71:2,
imagine 119:14	impervious 72:1,	inapposite 42:19	73:2, 75:5, 84:7,
immediate 20:13,	72:2	inappropriate 81:16,	98:10, 103:14, 105:13,
93:22, 95:20, 151:10,	imperviousness 72:6	164:11, 168:1	110:12, 150:3, 152:8
161:6, 162:8, 167:15	implement 27:16	inauthentic 88:19	income 138:20
immediately 161:9	implies 148:19	Inc. 8:13, 46:11	incompatibility 40:16
immigrant 25:23	importance 25:14,	incentive 6:24, 7:2,	inconceivable 38:9
impact 22:19, 35:18,	63:14, 107:23	12:23, 13:4, 13:5,	inconsistent 94:13,
36:2, 45:5, 60:17,	important 16:17,	13:6, 13:9, 13:11	94:20, 95:12, 134:7
61:12, 62:3, 64:4,	16:18, 17:1, 17:21,	inch 74:15	Incorporate 22:7,
72:13, 101:23, 154:20,	19:2, 22:12, 22:16,	inches 23:19, 74:14	29:12, 135:23, 136:8,
155:21, 158:4, 169:16,	30:19, 38:3, 56:23,	incline 114:13	141:6, 143:18, 166:20
170:1	56:24, 57:15, 58:24,	include 5:8, 45:18,	incorporated 136:1,
impair 41:25, 86:12,	63:17, 79:24, 88:3,	139:16, 140:3, 140:7,	136:9, 140:24, 141:5,
133:2, 133:8, 170:15	114:2, 114:19, 129:1,	141:8, 146:2, 148:24	156:12, 173:11
impairing 7:15	149:22, 155:13,	included 13:20, 27:7,	incorporates 18:13,
impairment 8:17,	162:23	28:15, 57:5, 78:17,	73:1
9:14, 62:10, 97:14,	importantly 163:22,	92:15, 100:24, 139:13,	incorporating 141:24
142:22, 151:7, 152:6,	164:10	139:20, 140:10,	incorrectly 3:5
	I	I	I

Increase 29:6, 29:23,	79:3	110:16	intentions 109:5,
72:3, 138:25, 160:15,	infiltration 72:9,	Institute 114:8	109:10
160:24, 162:1	72:11	instituted 54:18	intents 165:15
increases 71:25,	influence 99:9	Institution 113:13	interaction 103:18
162:7	influencing 99:1	insult 133:6	interest 98:6, 99:10,
increasing 115:25	informal 104:1	intake 46:3	169:17, 169:18
incredibly 150:3	information 8:24,	integral 18:18, 18:20,	interested 126:14
independent 105:22	11:13, 11:14, 24:13,	57:8	Interesting 30:6,
independently 11:16	28:2, 28:5, 28:16,	integrate 173:5	49:20, 53:19, 167:22
indicate 28:5, 35:2	32:2, 67:17, 68:16,	integrity 138:13	interfere 158:15
indicated 70:15, 87:5,	69:1, 75:19, 106:5,	intelligence 133:6	interfered 65:19
87:11, 87:22, 136:4,	128:24, 129:1, 139:14	intelligent 109:14	interferes 64:23
151:19, 154:4, 157:9,	informative 9:25,	intelligently 99:22	Interior 17:9, 18:12,
162:16, 165:4, 176:9	49:21, 78:20	intend 148:23	78:11, 149:5
indicates 12:21,	ingress 41:11, 41:12,	intended 13:17,	Interiors 73:12,
12:22, 35:21, 162:14	64:20, 64:21	134:10	73:15, 73:17, 74:3,
indicating 143:20	initial 39:9	intends 33:22, 41:10,	94:8, 115:21, 134:7,
indications 113:14	initially 161:2	65:13	150:6
individual 51:3	initiation 44:18	intent 76:9, 140:4,	interject 92:3
indulgence 26:20	<b>Inn</b> 150:6	140:7	interpret 57:22, 134:9
industrial 25:22, 34:7	<b>input</b> 179:18	intention 74:16,	interpretation 169:2
industry 17:20, 25:25	inquire 86:21	177:1	interpreted 58:1,
ineluctable 109:20	insisted 40:3, 40:4	intentional 47:10,	123:25
infill 21:1, 23:11,	instance 22:3	56:14	interrupt 53:23, 171:9
51:22, 55:9, 61:19,	instead 107:17,	intentionally 56:4	intersection 121:10
	1	1	

intersects 31:14	introduce 5:1, 69:1	81:6, 99:1, 99:20,	itself 25:14, 42:25,
Intervenor 3:18, 5:22,	introduced 21:22,	100:11, 101:18, 105:6,	59:25, 64:23, 118:5,
6:1, 6:3, 6:12, 6:23,	23:22, 40:22, 43:16,	105:17, 105:25, 106:3,	137:22
7:17, 8:18, 8:20,	138:1	106:16, 106:17, 107:4,	
10:25, 11:11, 73:6,	introducing 61:21	107:22, 113:10, 124:3,	
79:10, 79:18, 80:3,	introduction 28:8	130:18, 131:21,	< J >
131:7, 140:7, 142:4,	invested 118:22	136:20, 141:1, 141:11,	<b>Jenny</b> 82:12, 82:13
142:23, 147:5, 151:20,	invited 105:20	143:20, 145:6, 147:7,	jeopardize 137:1,
152:25, 154:7, 165:25,	<b>involve</b> 178:20	148:20	137:24
169:23, 170:19, 178:8,	involved 27:13,	issued 12:25, 32:25,	jeopardizing 25:17,
178:20	79:18, 104:15, 157:24	100:9, 106:4	139:3
Intervenors 10:15,	involvement 111:8,	<b>Issues</b> 11:1, 15:3,	<b>jive</b> 137:11
10:22, 36:18, 36:23,	111:11	18:19, 21:20, 36:8,	<b>job</b> 106:1, 171:2
37:16, 38:10, 67:21,	involves 7:13, 132:17	41:6, 45:12, 70:25,	Jocelyn 69:25, 70:1,
132:10, 138:8, 141:1,	involving 8:15, 14:18,	81:13, 101:5, 104:1,	70:3, 70:16, 87:8,
155:6, 155:15, 159:16,	142:20, 154:20	105:9, 106:22, 109:8,	89:22, 127:22, 160:1,
164:1, 167:5, 167:9,	ipads 4:2	109:15, 132:12,	166:23, 168:10,
168:5	iron 17:20, 25:25	133:17, 146:1,	171:11, 171:12
intervention 8:3,	ironic 22:11	147:15, 154:3, 154:6,	<b>John</b> 1:20, 2:9
9:10, 9:20, 9:23, 10:2,	irreparable 63:1	154:11, 154:12,	joint 112:11
10:4, 11:8, 11:18,	issuance 38:5	154:17, 160:4, 171:21	jointly 112:18
11:24, 37:15, 62:22,	issue 7:12, 7:24,	issuing 38:11, 44:3	<b>Jon</b> 2:14, 2:15, 53:22,
62:23, 81:22, 154:17,	13:24, 24:25, 29:2,	Item 32:15, 109:11	55:11, 71:3, 71:5,
155:5, 167:4, 168:22,	37:15, 38:16, 40:19,	items 11:22, 32:5,	83:21, 84:22, 85:10,
169:11	41:17, 44:22, 79:24,	67:23, 68:3, 141:24	85:15, 88:14, 90:3,
	1	1	1

90:6, 91:6, 91:21,	92:18, 145:18, 147:14	ladder 34:22	large 8:23, 9:9, 9:17,
126:25, 127:9, 153:14,	<b>kept</b> 149:25	laid 84:13, 175:24	9:19, 9:23, 21:1, 60:9,
153:22, 177:14,	<b>Kern</b> 1:23, 5:3	Lakeville 3:6, 16:20,	60:10, 96:1, 145:9,
179:14	<b>key</b> 18:3, 31:19	17:13, 17:22, 73:12,	150:6, 150:7
Journal 3:7, 87:21	kicks 80:14, 104:13	73:15, 73:17, 74:3,	<b>last</b> 5:6, 5:8, 14:24,
Judge 169:8, 169:12	kids 118:17, 119:10	79:21, 87:21, 94:8,	15:1, 16:13, 26:10,
judgment 117:23	kind 20:6, 57:4,	100:14, 114:4, 115:20,	27:10, 31:3, 34:12,
jump 32:6, 35:12	60:14, 84:7, 91:15,	134:7, 156:16	35:7, 36:14, 36:17,
juncture 140:15	112:23, 117:25, 131:3,	Lakevilles 17:20	38:23, 41:23, 43:10,
Junipers 74:1	136:6, 138:22, 147:23	Land 5:2, 5:4, 13:8,	43:21, 68:11, 70:21,
jurisdiction 37:4,	kinds 60:16	13:9, 13:13, 19:7,	103:17, 119:2, 119:4,
81:23, 102:14, 102:17,	knowledge 22:23,	25:12, 28:6, 37:6,	134:11, 153:16,
103:22, 104:8, 105:1	56:19, 79:17, 101:24,	38:10, 110:17, 125:23,	165:14, 166:17,
juts 73:12	150:19	126:24, 139:10, 154:5,	171:18
	<b>known</b> 151:1	158:11, 158:13,	Lastly 14:24, 38:2,
	<b>knows</b> 137:16	166:12	38:18, 43:20
< K >	<b>Kuntz</b> 42:17, 43:6	landscape 32:11,	late 135:2, 135:5,
<b>keep</b> 63:17, 63:18,		71:6, 74:17, 89:1	174:2, 177:13, 180:9
90:19, 108:5, 128:14,		landscaped 166:5,	later 18:25, 20:14,
173:4, 173:15	<l></l>	166:6	20:24, 24:2, 102:8,
keeping 19:10, 23:23,	label 4:7	lane 106:16, 144:25,	135:6, 178:3
53:4, 59:10, 60:18,	Labonne 118:20	164:19	latter 63:4
166:13	lack 98:4, 115:10,	lanes 73:3, 106:20	laudable 139:1
keeps 147:2	115:15, 168:24	language 100:8	laundry 106:10
Kent 87:17, 87:18,	lacking 97:12	laptop 83:12	<b>law</b> 36:11, 38:6,
	1	l	

65:14, 66:5, 101:20,	70:24, 75:20, 136:20,	50:4, 50:8, 52:3, 74:6,	lines 90:1, 129:25
159:3	154:3, 154:6, 154:12,	74:7, 74:12, 74:19,	lip 80:8
<b>lawn</b> 25:7, 57:7	158:18, 160:4, 160:9,	74:20	list 3:25, 5:7, 7:4, 7:6,
<b>lawyer</b> 96:9	164:10	lights 19:19, 22:22,	17:10, 106:10
lawyers 62:25	Legality 6:3	74:7, 74:20, 123:22,	listed 6:25, 17:18,
layout 73:1, 73:8,	legally 38:18, 161:20,	127:18	18:9, 18:10, 45:2,
74:2	162:5, 162:10	likely 7:14, 41:25,	46:7, 46:8, 148:5
leader 72:18	legible 28:1	151:6, 152:5, 153:17,	listen 99:6
learned 12:19	legislation 159:5	155:10, 157:12,	listened 171:16
least 12:24, 30:20,	legitimate 109:4,	170:14	listener 120:8
36:18, 36:22, 37:2,	109:8, 117:10	likes 154:4	lists 178:15
42:9, 45:10, 62:11,	less 56:23, 113:1,	limit 26:22, 68:2,	Litchfield 157:25
82:2, 101:8, 120:7,	169:3	74:18	litigation 41:15, 65:5,
132:12	letters 7:8, 71:1,	limited 3:20, 3:21,	65:11, 81:15, 165:4
leave 29:14, 56:17,	100:8, 114:21, 116:20,	10:9, 35:11, 91:6,	little 26:20, 33:24,
171:25	159:1	182:5	34:12, 34:13, 49:24,
leaves 129:23, 130:8	level 30:21, 88:21,	limiting 64:19	50:24, 62:16, 66:22,
left 18:7, 24:13,	88:22, 156:24	line 29:3, 33:9, 61:18,	68:6, 90:19, 112:19,
46:18, 46:20, 56:5,			
10.10, 10.20, 00.0,	levels 80:15	73:14, 73:16, 73:17,	113:11, 118:1, 118:3,
80:17, 114:2	levels 80:15 liars 99:15	73:14, 73:16, 73:17, 73:25, 74:19, 90:4,	113:11, 118:1, 118:3, 131:23, 140:22,
80:17, 114:2	liars 99:15	73:25, 74:19, 90:4,	131:23, 140:22,
80:17, 114:2 left-hand 166:1	liars 99:15 life 146:1, 146:5	73:25, 74:19, 90:4, 93:17, 93:18, 93:23,	131:23, 140:22, 148:17, 151:13,
80:17, 114:2 left-hand 166:1 legacy 24:18	liars 99:15 life 146:1, 146:5 lifetime 142:19	73:25, 74:19, 90:4, 93:17, 93:18, 93:23, 94:16, 94:24, 95:17,	131:23, 140:22, 148:17, 151:13, 156:12, 160:3, 166:8,
80:17, 114:2 left-hand 166:1 legacy 24:18 Legal 5:20, 15:3,	liars 99:15 life 146:1, 146:5 lifetime 142:19 light 52:4, 114:8,	73:25, 74:19, 90:4, 93:17, 93:18, 93:23, 94:16, 94:24, 95:17, 95:18, 95:21, 96:16,	131:23, 140:22, 148:17, 151:13, 156:12, 160:3, 166:8, 173:7, 173:9, 175:13

living 122:10, 122:15	127:20, 128:5, 173:4	63:21, 101:12	management 5:15,
load 121:25	longer 105:17	lots 20:25, 79:1,	70:22, 71:4, 71:9,
loading 86:11, 86:15,	look 11:19, 19:13,	179:20	71:18, 74:25, 118:19
87:1, 121:25, 134:24,	22:18, 30:24, 33:12,	loving 117:1	<b>manager</b> 130:5,
134:25, 135:8, 135:9,	57:15, 58:15, 58:18,	<b>low</b> 72:9	130:14
135:10, 135:16,	59:12, 79:20, 81:8,	lower 33:15, 50:24,	managing 129:21
136:13, 143:4	81:22, 86:13, 101:5,	138:20, 152:2	mandates 19:4
<b>loan</b> 110:9	106:9, 133:16, 134:8,		<b>manner</b> 134:9
lobby 144:12	134:23, 135:2, 135:6,		<b>Manual</b> 71:12, 72:11
local 16:25, 81:12,	144:8, 159:5, 159:18,	< M >	Manufacture 51:7
103:21, 105:2, 118:4,	159:19, 159:21	<b>mail</b> 144:11	manufacturer 23:2
124:2, 145:4, 149:25,	looked 20:11, 21:7,	mailing 178:15	manufacturing 20:23
154:20	22:20, 51:8, 66:2,	<b>Main</b> 71:6, 113:25,	<b>map</b> 27:18, 27:25,
locally 118:22	106:20	114:1, 114:23, 114:24,	28:15
locate 155:1	looking 23:10, 50:18,	115:19, 117:1, 160:4	mapping 28:3, 28:4
located 33:17, 34:11,	59:7, 59:13, 81:20,	mainly 59:23	<b>March</b> 5:13
39:24, 83:8, 93:25,	83:11, 83:14, 113:12,	maintain 33:10,	<b>Maria</b> 6:18
150:19, 154:21, 174:9	124:3, 128:14, 149:23,	90:23, 118:17, 119:18	<b>Marina</b> 44:1, 79:13,
locating 73:9	159:4	maintained 107:10,	79:19
location 72:23, 72:24,	looks 83:13, 96:3	107:16, 166:7	marshal 5:13, 106:17,
89:4, 136:16, 149:9	lose 40:11, 64:3	maintaining 89:5	106:18, 106:22,
locations 27:18	losing 21:19, 62:4,	<b>major</b> 94:24	145:24, 146:11,
logic 100:7	62:18, 104:5, 136:20	majority 33:16	146:12, 146:14,
long 88:10, 97:8,	loss 62:23, 136:18	<b>man</b> 106:13	146:19
99:21, 106:19, 127:19,	lost 22:10, 62:12,	managed 130:8	<b>Martin</b> 1:14, 2:8
	1	1	

Marty 129:8, 177:11,	matter 3:16, 4:19,	measure 74:14,	139:5, 167:21, 169:14,
180:11	36:10, 65:9, 72:6,	95:15, 95:16	174:15, 174:18,
marvelous 84:6	108:6, 111:25, 113:15,	measured 23:17,	174:22, 175:18,
<b>Mary</b> 178:13	117:1, 169:3	91:10, 94:15	177:11, 178:7, 178:9,
Massey 111:14,	matters 2:18, 9:16	measurements 51:1	178:14
111:15, 111:18,	maximize 91:13	measures 8:15,	<b>memo</b> 176:14
111:20, 113:2	maximized 91:15	142:20, 146:2	<b>memos</b> 178:10
massing 23:8, 50:12,	<b>maximum</b> 30:17,	Meet 23:4, 23:5,	mention 77:24
50:13, 59:24	30:21, 31:1	29:13, 49:2, 72:20,	mentioned 84:2,
massive 23:12,	Mcdonald 76:18,	74:10, 79:25, 80:3,	88:14, 88:18, 109:2,
24:19, 60:10	124:17, 124:22,	172:23, 173:24	123:23
match 36:10, 39:12	131:25	<b>MEETING</b> 1:3, 27:11,	<b>mere</b> 51:14
matches 73:17	Mcdonalds 124:14	28:24, 43:24, 80:6,	merits 9:22, 138:18
material 9:19, 24:12,	meal 180:9	80:9, 98:15, 100:15,	<b>mess</b> 26:1
32:21, 39:2, 47:1,	<b>mean</b> 35:11, 50:12,	176:1, 176:9, 177:25,	<b>met</b> 7:18, 8:18, 63:19,
75:3, 84:10, 88:20,	59:15, 63:3, 68:19,	178:21, 180:8	142:23
89:10, 89:11, 125:24,	84:8, 86:19, 90:9,	meetings 108:15	<b>mic</b> 97:20
125:25, 150:12, 160:6	98:18, 108:17, 123:16,	meets 29:16	Michael 1:10, 1:11,
materials 5:5, 9:24,	128:23, 130:1, 131:7,	<b>MEMBER</b> 1:16, 44:2,	48:5, 49:14, 55:13,
24:11, 24:14, 45:6,	140:15, 148:15,	89:17, 120:4	55:17, 63:10, 63:16,
60:5, 60:6, 60:7,	167:16, 176:19	<b>MEMBERS</b> 1:11,	89:19, 122:20, 125:8,
60:11, 60:16, 60:21,	meaning 96:14, 96:15	14:23, 41:3, 63:7,	130:20, 176:21, 177:9,
61:17, 66:19, 69:17,	means 112:21,	64:22, 83:16, 84:25,	177:20, 178:17,
70:20, 75:6, 88:18,	112:25, 123:13	98:3, 98:10, 98:18,	181:20
100:22, 178:1, 178:4	meant 33:7	123:14, 132:14, 138:5,	microphone 111:17
	I	I	1

<b>mid</b> 151:17	Mindful 10:7	mistake 83:4	mother 116:24
middle 76:15, 118:3,	mine 61:5, 128:11	mistaken 156:10	motion 177:2, 177:4,
118:14, 180:10	minimal 71:24, 72:5	misunderstanding	177:5, 177:7, 181:14,
<b>Mike</b> 117:18	<b>minimum</b> 30:17,	104:10, 140:23	181:16
Mill 25:3	30:20, 33:10, 171:23	misunderstood 12:6,	motive 56:12
Miller 6:6, 14:21,	minor 55:18, 72:19,	53:20	motives 47:9
26:19, 26:24, 26:25,	124:1	mitigated 159:24	<b>mouse</b> 129:5
31:10, 133:10, 133:15,	minute 15:13, 43:20,	<b>mix</b> 30:17	<b>move</b> 66:18, 76:25,
173:18	70:11, 82:2, 113:18	<b>mix-use</b> 30:4	111:13, 134:2
Millerton 20:2, 20:16,	<b>Minutes</b> 46:18, 47:25,	mixed 13:12	moved 181:18
24:23, 27:24, 36:21,	69:7, 98:2, 107:12,	mobileian 20:4	<b>Moving</b> 26:18, 73:15,
36:25, 39:18, 40:22,	107:17, 107:18	<b>Mode</b> 4:11	76:11, 76:25, 77:4,
40:24, 41:5, 41:6,	misguided 109:22	modeling 149:18	89:2, 89:4, 109:19,
65:2, 76:12, 76:21,	misidentification	moment 2:14, 40:7,	111:23, 143:9, 143:13,
77:1, 82:18, 86:10,	46:25	49:11, 84:6, 127:23,	148:7
86:12, 95:10, 100:4,	misleading 47:4,	139:10	<b>multi</b> 30:9, 52:25
114:1, 115:14, 115:22,	102:20, 149:16	momentarily 4:18	multi-family 53:5
126:18, 126:19,	misrepresentation	money 43:5, 44:12,	multifamily 24:1
134:17, 134:21,	46:14, 56:13	108:14, 165:20	multiple 15:16, 38:5
134:24, 135:1,	misrepresentations	monies 47:8	multiplicity 105:10
135:10, 144:15,	138:3	monitor 23:13	municipal 71:21
144:16, 144:19, 145:5,	misrepresenting	months 128:8, 165:23	municipalities 14:19
148:22, 172:22	45:22, 110:16	Morrison 6:15	<b>mute</b> 120:12
mind 10:2, 13:16,	missed 102:4, 141:9	mortared 84:14	<b>myself</b> 2:7, 19:4,
63:24, 99:6	misstated 47:11	mostly 20:13	19:13, 105:13, 168:9
	I		

	168:23, 168:25, 169:2	114:7, 117:4, 117:13,	68:25, 69:17, 69:23,
	nearby 39:8, 40:10,	131:2, 137:12, 137:16,	71:6, 72:22, 72:24,
< N >	129:23	172:9, 172:24	75:19, 78:11, 78:25,
name 17:4, 77:6	<b>neat</b> 57:5, 166:7	nefarious 56:11	85:17, 85:21, 85:22,
named 3:11	nebulous 169:21	negative 40:13	92:25, 113:8, 119:12,
narrative 45:24	necessarily 107:15,	negligent 47:10	124:3, 125:24, 125:25,
National 16:19, 17:8,	139:19	neighbor 74:22,	129:2, 136:7, 149:4,
18:8, 43:1, 45:2,	necessary 38:15,	115:3, 158:12, 161:20	149:5, 173:11
45:21, 46:6, 46:8,	155:1	neighborhood 18:19,	<b>newer</b> 118:10
46:24, 50:2, 57:13,	necessitates 85:5	21:6, 23:7, 23:22,	newspaper 87:21
63:14, 63:18, 77:18,	need 9:22, 36:14,	25:24, 50:22, 149:24,	nice 15:16, 74:2,
77:20, 77:23, 78:6,	36:24, 39:3, 57:25,	151:10, 156:6, 157:4,	87:7, 175:22
78:9, 78:13, 79:21,	61:16, 79:24, 109:1,	161:6, 162:8, 170:10	nicely 133:19
79:22, 82:13, 82:16,	116:6, 116:9, 119:16,	Neighboring 160:10,	night 131:1, 181:11
82:17, 83:9, 88:24,	131:4, 137:19, 138:14,	172:14	Nimbies 138:14
82:17, 83:9, 88:24, 92:16, 102:10, 102:15,			Nimbies 138:14 nine 165:23
92:16, 102:10, 102:15,	138:21, 139:19, 146:5,	<b>Neighbors</b> 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20	nine 165:23
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2,	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17,	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2, 147:24, 149:25	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17, 161:11, 162:18, 167:6,	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21 No. 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 6:1,
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2, 147:24, 149:25 natural 83:22, 152:7,	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17, 161:11, 162:18, 167:6, 172:4, 172:15, 172:19,	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17 nested 130:22	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21 No. 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 6:1, 8:13, 13:7, 15:18,
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2, 147:24, 149:25 <b>natural</b> 83:22, 152:7, 153:20, 155:12,	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17, 161:11, 162:18, 167:6, 172:4, 172:15, 172:19, 175:8, 176:22	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17 nested 130:22 net 32:18	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21 No. 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 6:1, 8:13, 13:7, 15:18, 32:15, 46:10, 91:20,
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2, 147:24, 149:25 <b>natural</b> 83:22, 152:7, 153:20, 155:12, 156:15, 156:25,	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17, 161:11, 162:18, 167:6, 172:4, 172:15, 172:19, 175:8, 176:22 needed 130:9,	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17 nested 130:22 net 32:18 nevertheless 107:11	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21 No. 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 6:1, 8:13, 13:7, 15:18, 32:15, 46:10, 91:20, 95:13, 96:12, 100:20,
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2, 147:24, 149:25 <b>natural</b> 83:22, 152:7, 153:20, 155:12, 156:15, 156:25, 157:14, 168:19,	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17, 161:11, 162:18, 167:6, 172:4, 172:15, 172:19, 175:8, 176:22 <b>needed</b> 130:9, 163:23, 164:3, 174:4	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17 nested 130:22 net 32:18 nevertheless 107:11 New 19:10, 21:21,	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21 No. 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 6:1, 8:13, 13:7, 15:18, 32:15, 46:10, 91:20, 95:13, 96:12, 100:20, 150:22, 151:20, 152:9,
92:16, 102:10, 102:15, 104:9, 104:10, 105:2, 147:24, 149:25 <b>natural</b> 83:22, 152:7, 153:20, 155:12, 156:15, 156:25, 157:14, 168:19, 170:15	138:21, 139:19, 146:5, 149:12, 159:17, 161:11, 162:18, 167:6, 172:4, 172:15, 172:19, 175:8, 176:22 <b>needed</b> 130:9, 163:23, 164:3, 174:4 <b>needs</b> 22:20, 28:10,	Neighbors 119:24, 130:1, 131:7, 173:20 nervous 34:16, 34:17 nested 130:22 net 32:18 nevertheless 107:11 New 19:10, 21:21, 26:12, 26:14, 26:15, 34:10, 44:23, 47:21,	nine 165:23 no-build 158:21 No. 4:4, 4:8, 4:10, 6:1, 8:13, 13:7, 15:18, 32:15, 46:10, 91:20, 95:13, 96:12, 100:20, 150:22, 151:20, 152:9, 153:22, 153:24,

nobody 116:23,	29:20, 98:3, 112:25	nowhere 126:23	obscured 9:21
129:15, 140:2, 140:4,	normal 179:13	Number 29:23, 30:17,	obstacles 28:17
164:21	Normally 64:5, 83:24	30:18, 31:1, 32:24,	obstructions 90:24
noisy 83:19, 83:22	north 14:19, 20:2,	87:17, 90:16, 98:12,	obtained 138:3
nomination 57:2,	20:16, 24:22, 116:22	112:14, 116:6, 118:2,	Obviously 13:19,
77:24	northwest 19:18,	122:10, 146:23,	14:3, 17:16, 20:19,
nominations 17:13	126:20	146:24, 165:16, 166:2,	28:3, 57:17, 82:7,
non-broken 173:9	note 3:10, 9:25,	166:11, 169:10	86:20, 117:12, 137:15,
non-conforming	14:10, 38:4, 97:10,	nurses 116:8	174:2, 174:7
37:5, 38:20, 39:8,	98:2, 104:24, 146:11,		occasion 157:6
39:9, 39:16, 40:10,	154:25		occur 103:19
100:3	noted 12:24, 58:1,	< 0 >	occurred 103:19,
Non-conformities	98:5	<b>o'clock</b> 146:9	115:1
136:21, 160:9, 160:15	notes 128:14	<b>oath</b> 98:19	off-site 8:16
non-conformity	nothing 22:10, 30:1,	obfuscation 102:13	offensive 138:13
160:20, 160:23, 161:6,	42:19, 57:10, 81:9,	object 94:22, 106:24	offered 105:19,
162:7	96:17, 129:2, 137:16	objection 84:18, 92:6,	175:15
non-contributing	notice 47:20, 174:17	141:20	office 7:1, 105:12,
18:9	noticed 18:21	objectively 98:11	114:4, 130:6, 130:11,
non-forming 36:19	notification 45:10,	objectives 84:11	144:11, 149:19
non-parking 156:2	45:19, 80:11, 80:16,	objectivity 98:4	<b>Officer</b> 37:7, 37:10,
non-professional	80:25, 102:5, 139:16,	objects 17:12	37:14
82:25	149:11	obligation 41:20	official 17:10, 37:8,
non-starter 59:18	notion 80:10, 135:15	obliquely 83:13	37:10, 80:16, 80:24,
None 7:23, 27:11,	notorious 108:24	obliterating 25:8	81:1

offsite 40:6, 41:20,	177:25	51:24, 54:8, 54:13,	overseen 17:8
42:3, 42:14, 43:12,	opening 11:14	86:3, 88:11, 88:16,	overwhelming 23:9
43:17, 142:21, 157:19,	operation 164:7	100:18, 139:16	owes 102:21
158:1, 159:21, 162:18	opine 14:3	Orwellian 133:4,	<b>own</b> 105:21, 116:13,
often 64:6, 80:18	opined 7:8	133:5	116:25, 164:3
old 26:11, 77:25,	opines 146:14	others 9:2	owned 38:13, 104:12,
150:10, 167:23	opinion 22:12, 58:1,	ought 107:23	159:14, 167:14
older 112:9, 118:7	62:7, 66:10, 79:16,	out-of-town 137:15	owner 39:19, 104:11,
<b>Oliver</b> 126:2	128:4, 140:4, 140:6,	<b>Out]</b> 56:4	158:22, 159:10,
on-site 8:15	143:3, 151:5, 151:23,	outdoor 171:25	160:20, 160:21,
once 33:3, 80:21,	152:3, 152:11, 153:16,	outlandish 138:12	161:17, 161:18,
181:4	157:19	outline 85:6	161:21, 161:23, 163:3,
one. 60:2, 79:12,	opinions 80:23, 153:7	outset 141:21,	163:8, 163:9, 163:13
145:23, 169:10	Oppenheimer 178:14	154:16, 154:18	owners 136:23,
ones 88:11	opportunity 8:1,	outside 82:20,	137:18, 165:2
onsite 43:23, 71:12,	54:10, 84:4, 84:8	121:17, 146:3	owns 65:21, 161:21
112:9, 142:20, 162:15,	<b>oppose</b> 138:8	overall 22:19, 29:14,	
162:17, 162:19,	opposed 21:1, 23:12	29:16, 49:23, 50:24,	
171:22	opposite 29:10	51:19, 150:11	< P >
<b>open</b> 3:24, 16:1,	opposition 37:25	overblown 111:24	<b>P&amp;Z</b> 6:13, 50:19,
18:18, 18:20, 24:8,	options 27:15, 29:20	overhang 35:24,	112:9, 114:6, 181:3
24:9, 24:25, 25:5,	<b>Order</b> 9:7, 10:7,	90:7, 90:10	<b>P.M.</b> 1:5, 177:3
30:2, 43:8, 62:17,	38:12, 108:18, 118:21,	<b>Overlay</b> 34:2, 75:7,	<b>pabulum</b> 105:19
63:13, 63:17, 63:20,	157:7, 163:14	91:4	<b>pack</b> 180:17
64:5, 99:6, 176:4,	original 20:6, 21:13,	overlooked 105:25	packages 145:1
	I		

packet 139:17	25:3, 110:14	181:12	<b>per</b> 21:22, 38:25,
page 14:14, 45:24,	parte 178:7	patient 97:9	72:4, 137:6, 172:15
46:2, 46:20, 47:3,	participant 3:25	patrons 114:12	percent 71:25, 72:1,
147:16, 158:8	participants 3:12	<b>pause</b> 16:18	72:2, 87:16, 87:22
Paige 157:16	participate 142:1	<b>pay</b> 43:5	<b>Perhaps</b> 15:25,
<b>PALMER</b> 6:17,	particular 16:20,	<b>paying</b> 20:15, 38:13	32:23, 32:24, 34:6,
122:19, 122:20, 123:5,	17:13, 17:21, 17:25,	<b>peak</b> 71:25, 72:2,	34:7, 34:8, 35:1, 50:5,
123:10	30:23, 31:12, 59:3,	72:3, 172:1	70:10, 107:8, 107:23,
panelist 4:18	109:4, 109:19, 109:21,	pedestrian 29:6,	113:11, 117:12,
panelists 4:12	112:21, 122:5, 150:19,	115:11	120:16, 174:25
paper 162:21	158:7	pedestrians 116:1	peril 119:11, 122:7,
parallel 126:18	Particularly 4:6,	penalize 37:24	122:13, 122:16
paraphrasing 171:2	82:24, 88:21, 111:24,	penalty 47:3	perimeter 51:16
paraprofessionals	115:12	pending 81:17,	period 10:10, 19:23,
118:11	parties 9:6, 37:18	162:22	19:25, 20:8, 20:14,
parcel 36:21, 58:23,	partners 157:25	<b>People</b> 4:1, 4:4,	20:22, 21:13, 24:3,
65:25, 74:21	<b>pass</b> 66:6, 145:18	10:23, 17:19, 76:25,	24:24
parent 118:25	<b>passage</b> 40:25,	77:9, 95:1, 106:19,	perjury 47:3
parents 118:5,	41:11, 64:24, 66:2	108:7, 112:8, 114:11,	permission 147:10,
118:16, 122:6	<b>passing</b> 74:19, 98:5	115:16, 116:7, 116:8,	150:16
parked 77:1, 121:14,	<b>past</b> 87:17, 113:7,	120:7, 120:22, 121:8,	permit 32:12, 38:5,
121:17, 121:18,			20.6 20.7 20.20
121117, 121110,	121:11	122:10, 122:13, 124:2,	38:6, 39:7, 39:20,
121:23, 121:24, 122:2,	121:11 paste-ups 149:14	122:10, 122:13, 124:2, 138:20, 145:4, 173:7,	39:23, 47:17, 47:24,
121:23, 121:24, 122:2,	paste-ups 149:14	138:20, 145:4, 173:7,	39:23, 47:17, 47:24,

112:8, 152:12, 153:8,	124:12, 124:15,	104:12, 162:21, 173:1	162:22, 167:2
162:6, 162:13, 163:20,	124:16, 124:19,	<b>pieces</b> 56:21, 56:23,	plane 23:11, 23:15
164:3, 164:6, 166:25,	124:23, 125:1, 128:6,	57:1, 131:6, 174:6	<b>Planning</b> 1:2, 9:2,
167:2, 168:7, 170:11,	131:22, 143:16,	<b>Pio</b> 10:16	9:8, 9:15, 9:21, 9:24,
174:3	143:22, 144:2, 145:15,	<b>piping</b> 72:18	28:9, 112:7, 115:18,
permits 38:14, 38:15,	145:18, 145:23,	<b>Pizza</b> 100:9, 120:21,	117:14, 165:12
124:5	152:17, 153:6, 153:11,	162:11, 162:20,	<b>plans</b> 23:5, 32:14,
permitting 81:11	153:15, 153:23,	163:16, 164:7	72:16, 122:24, 145:25
perpendicular 126:19	153:24, 153:25	<b>Place</b> 16:20, 17:5,	planting 57:7
<b>person</b> 51:5, 120:8,	<b>ph</b> 102:18	29:4, 33:14, 34:23,	plantings 73:24,
175:25	<b>ph.</b> 6:7, 20:4, 22:22,	84:14, 88:15, 138:23	148:14
<b>personal</b> 99:10,	42:18, 44:2, 138:22	placed 36:1	<b>plants</b> 85:20
138:19	pharmacy 159:12	placement 150:11	plaques 22:7, 22:12
personally 105:13	<b>phone</b> 3:13, 120:8,	Places 45:3, 46:9,	platform 3:22
personnel 77:13	120:10, 130:16	76:18, 102:10, 102:16,	<b>play</b> 108:19, 109:7,
personnel 77:13 perspective 22:2,	120:10, 130:16 photographs 149:15,	76:18, 102:10, 102:16, 103:24	<b>play</b> 108:19, 109:7, 109:15
perspective 22:2,	photographs 149:15,	103:24	109:15
<b>perspective</b> 22:2, 24:21, 63:6, 172:6	<b>photographs</b> 149:15, 153:4	103:24 plaintiff 158:12	109:15 <b>plaza</b> 20:25, 25:5
perspective 22:2, 24:21, 63:6, 172:6 pertinent 154:11	<pre>photographs 149:15, 153:4 photos 172:11</pre>	103:24 plaintiff 158:12 Plan 27:16, 28:25,	109:15 plaza 20:25, 25:5 plead 137:18
perspective 22:2, 24:21, 63:6, 172:6 pertinent 154:11 Petco 119:6	<pre>photographs 149:15, 153:4 photos 172:11 pick 3:12</pre>	103:24 plaintiff 158:12 Plan 27:16, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:21,	109:15 plaza 20:25, 25:5 plead 137:18 pleading 155:6, 155:7
perspective 22:2, 24:21, 63:6, 172:6 pertinent 154:11 Petco 119:6 Peter 126:2	<pre>photographs 149:15, 153:4 photos 172:11 pick 3:12 picnic 180:17</pre>	103:24 plaintiff 158:12 Plan 27:16, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:21, 29:22, 30:13, 31:7,	109:15 plaza 20:25, 25:5 plead 137:18 pleading 155:6, 155:7 pleased 56:18,
<ul> <li>perspective 22:2,</li> <li>24:21, 63:6, 172:6</li> <li>pertinent 154:11</li> <li>Petco 119:6</li> <li>Peter 126:2</li> <li>petition 10:21, 10:25,</li> </ul>	<pre>photographs 149:15, 153:4 photos 172:11 pick 3:12 picnic 180:17 picture 85:3, 113:17,</pre>	103:24 plaintiff 158:12 Plan 27:16, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:21, 29:22, 30:13, 31:7, 32:6, 32:8, 39:24,	109:15 plaza 20:25, 25:5 plead 137:18 pleading 155:6, 155:7 pleased 56:18, 130:25
<ul> <li>perspective 22:2,</li> <li>24:21, 63:6, 172:6</li> <li>pertinent 154:11</li> <li>Petco 119:6</li> <li>Peter 126:2</li> <li>petition 10:21, 10:25,</li> <li>11:3, 80:3</li> </ul>	<pre>photographs 149:15, 153:4 photos 172:11 pick 3:12 picnic 180:17 picture 85:3, 113:17, 115:18</pre>	103:24 <b>plaintiff</b> 158:12 <b>Plan</b> 27:16, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:21, 29:22, 30:13, 31:7, 32:6, 32:8, 39:24, 67:22, 70:23, 73:1,	109:15 plaza 20:25, 25:5 plead 137:18 pleading 155:6, 155:7 pleased 56:18, 130:25 pleasing 55:6
<ul> <li>perspective 22:2,</li> <li>24:21, 63:6, 172:6</li> <li>pertinent 154:11</li> <li>Petco 119:6</li> <li>Peter 126:2</li> <li>petition 10:21, 10:25,</li> <li>11:3, 80:3</li> <li>Petitto 75:12, 75:16,</li> </ul>	<pre>photographs 149:15, 153:4 photos 172:11 pick 3:12 picnic 180:17 picture 85:3, 113:17, 115:18 pictures 85:3</pre>	103:24 <b>plaintiff</b> 158:12 <b>Plan</b> 27:16, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:21, 29:22, 30:13, 31:7, 32:6, 32:8, 39:24, 67:22, 70:23, 73:1, 73:8, 85:10, 126:1,	109:15 plaza 20:25, 25:5 plead 137:18 pleading 155:6, 155:7 pleased 56:18, 130:25 pleasing 55:6 pleasure 103:11

<b>plus</b> 23:19	155:16, 156:24,	99:1, 121:14, 134:21,	44:10, 44:15
<b>pocket</b> 173:7, 174:5	157:13	159:1	prefer 114:16, 180:7,
Pocketknife 13:2,	<b>Pond</b> 23:10, 25:4	<b>post</b> 105:12	180:15
13:25, 33:5, 33:19,	<b>pool</b> 118:21	<b>post-it</b> 112:19	preference 89:9,
34:1, 34:3, 75:7, 91:3,	<b>pop</b> 15:20	posted 178:2	116:16, 124:2
173:24	<b>Pope</b> 28:13, 28:22,	potential 14:13,	preliminarily 10:18
<b>Point.</b> 16:7, 31:17,	28:23, 133:19, 173:19,	28:21, 31:6, 37:18,	premises 75:3
70:16, 73:11, 79:18	173:20	157:20	preparation 32:6
pointed 47:2, 133:24,	portion 28:23, 33:15,	potentially 28:7, 64:3,	prepare 32:14
134:11, 135:3, 144:17,	69:23, 151:2, 176:9	165:4, 173:18	prepared 3:3, 8:19,
148:11, 159:2, 169:6	portions 173:5	<b>Power</b> 5:15, 10:17,	32:8, 48:25, 49:4,
pointing 54:22,	position 9:4, 37:3,	14:14, 16:7, 26:4,	71:9, 75:2, 126:3,
72:19, 72:22	37:16, 40:8, 40:9,	69:19, 70:2, 70:16,	126:15, 127:2, 131:18,
points 8:2, 10:19,	53:11, 53:12, 54:6,	103:22, 152:20, 153:3	142:24, 147:11
30:14, 31:20, 73:9,	95:5, 97:11, 132:14,	practice 30:3	preposterous 135:17
145:2, 146:7	140:23, 141:3	pre-dated 161:8	prescribed 102:1
pole 74:15, 121:10	possibilities 119:20	pre-dates 16:25	<b>PRESENT</b> 1:11, 1:18,
poles 23:3, 115:4	possibility 58:13,	pre-development	1:22, 27:1, 67:9,
political 108:23,	89:13, 105:11	110:9	68:25, 165:23
109:12, 109:18,	possible 57:18, 84:8,	pre-judging 99:5,	Presentation 8:21,
110:15	84:22, 86:10, 88:5,	101:5, 106:8	9:5, 9:12, 10:7, 10:17,
<b>poll</b> 125:5	97:15, 98:6, 136:4,	precedent 53:7, 89:4	12:14, 14:15, 15:3,
pollute 170:15	149:2, 152:18	precisely 11:3	31:18, 31:20, 36:4,
Pollution 71:2, 151:7,	possibly 57:19,	preclude 99:11	63:12, 66:18, 68:2,
152:6, 153:19, 155:11,	84:15, 97:16, 98:7,	predevelopment	69:20, 73:6, 87:19,
	1		

93:15, 100:2, 100:18,	pretty 51:22, 76:3,	104:11, 144:4, 144:6	project 20:9, 22:23,
100:25, 142:25,	79:9, 90:18, 159:8,	probable 135:13	25:16, 27:15, 41:13,
147:12, 147:17,	170:4	probably 27:25,	41:24, 42:7, 45:6,
149:10, 166:1, 174:13	pretuberative 138:22	92:19, 114:20, 114:21,	45:10, 45:19, 45:24,
presented 22:15,	prevalent 77:23	115:8, 117:6, 125:9,	47:8, 59:6, 59:13,
29:6, 106:21	prevent 143:12,	134:15, 169:21	64:13, 64:16, 71:10,
presenter 14:20	160:21	problem 53:2, 54:7,	76:7, 80:10, 80:25,
presenting 67:22	prevented 47:7	78:8, 115:1, 129:23,	85:4, 85:5, 85:7,
Presently 78:24,	previous 73:6,	142:6	109:7, 117:6, 117:11,
120:23	101:19, 106:6, 135:21,	problems 143:12	117:12, 127:19,
preservation 17:12,	145:23	procedural 142:3	129:21, 138:2, 148:2,
43:8, 44:24, 58:21	previously 73:2,	proceed 10:12, 31:7,	171:19, 172:7, 172:23,
preserve 14:13, 30:2,	99:25, 100:22, 101:10,	31:11	174:10
57:15, 63:18	102:16, 103:25,	proceeding 37:17	projection 90:7,
preserved 18:14,	105:20, 150:2, 150:9,	process 21:18, 45:13,	90:12, 149:16
57:13, 112:4	152:2	81:11, 81:24, 99:18,	projects 44:16
preserving 174:6	pride 152:12	117:22, 118:9, 144:3,	promote 34:4, 44:22
president 46:11	<b>prior</b> 44:18, 45:10,	174:21, 178:22	prompt 13:23
<b>pressure</b> 109:13,	100:15, 105:8, 135:23,	Produce 102:3	prompted 120:11
109:19	136:1, 136:8, 139:21,	production 17:22	<b>proof</b> 47:20, 155:3,
Presumably 87:9,	140:9, 140:10, 140:24,	Professional 31:23,	155:4, 156:14, 157:7,
141:4, 161:5	141:19	114:4, 152:3	157:8, 159:17, 167:6,
<b>presume</b> 143:18,	priority 116:12	<b>profit</b> 117:1	167:9, 169:22
165:6	<b>private</b> 6:4, 40:20,	Progress 169:7	propensity 114:14
protopoo 17:7			
pretenses 47:7	42:21, 42:22, 81:14,	prohibited 156:3	proper 4:7, 47:20

properly 4:3, 10:21,	<b>proud</b> 17:24	157:21, 158:11,	136:19, 140:16,
45:13	<b>prove</b> 37:17	158:20, 159:18,	151:15, 156:10, 174:8,
Properties 20:18,	proves 30:22	159:19, 167:7, 167:12	175:7
20:19, 30:4, 30:15,	<b>provide</b> 28:4, 30:4,	<b>pull</b> 46:2, 60:3, 70:4,	<b>puts</b> 122:13
31:6, 42:9, 45:1,	57:18, 69:20, 80:18,	70:8, 70:15, 82:4,	putting 34:6, 34:8,
100:4, 160:10, 160:16,	102:5, 112:8, 145:13,	87:11, 116:5, 144:17	110:21, 125:24,
161:5, 162:8	159:2, 160:18, 161:16,	pulled 22:23	159:12, 164:19,
proportion 119:15,	163:3, 165:6, 172:9,	purchase 19:7,	176:17
149:20	173:14	165:21	puzzled 56:16, 58:8
proposal 22:24,	provided 30:21,	purchased 117:3	
48:16, 57:16, 58:16,	71:23, 72:12, 74:24,	<b>pure</b> 171:5	
73:16, 136:12, 143:21,	74:25, 112:15, 115:17,	purpose 12:22,	< Q >
152:11, 153:17,	153:9, 154:22, 158:17,	13:14, 47:12, 68:15,	<b>QA+M</b> 76:7, 147:14
153:18, 154:21,	164:5, 167:3, 182:5	139:1	qualifications 50:19
156:14, 160:14	provides 146:13	<b>pursue</b> 59:15	qualifies 37:5
proposers 59:20	providing 29:19,	pursued 138:16	qualify 36:19
proposing 86:1,	165:20, 174:4	<b>pushed</b> 59:17, 122:2	Quality 71:11, 72:11
148:16, 150:13	provision 19:9,	pushing 109:11	quantifiable 168:19
prospect 41:14	165:22	<b>put</b> 11:7, 11:21, 31:5,	quantified 167:10
protect 53:1, 53:13,	provisions 13:13,	32:1, 42:25, 48:9,	<b>quantify</b> 155:15,
65:14, 106:2	32:11, 49:3, 59:8	76:3, 77:20, 78:6,	155:20, 155:22
protected 4:23	proximate 112:17	85:6, 90:21, 91:9,	quarter 16:23
protection 8:25, 17:4,	Prudent 5:23, 7:11,	109:6, 109:7, 109:15,	questioned 104:2,
45:5, 72:13	7:12, 7:20, 7:22, 7:24,	111:21, 111:22,	156:1
protocol 92:23	42:2, 42:10, 43:15,	112:18, 116:22, 120:7,	quick 16:12, 32:16,
	1	1	I

47:25, 123:18, 150:17,	<b>R.</b> 6:5	Rather 3:7, 60:14	48:11, 55:15, 64:13,
166:24, 171:14	Rachel 14:16, 15:9,	razed 18:15	65:4, 125:6, 125:9,
quicker 4:19	88:18, 92:14	<b>re</b> 5:23, 5:25, 6:3, 6:4	128:13, 128:16,
Quickly 26:8, 31:25,	racks 34:22	re-designed 166:19	128:21, 129:2, 129:6,
33:3, 36:13, 42:17,	railroads 18:2	re-locate 168:2	132:3
44:14, 68:25, 70:20,	raise 11:1, 11:2,	re-located 8:9	<b>real</b> 24:16, 60:3,
92:8, 92:12, 140:12,	13:20, 15:3, 22:21,	re-submit 100:13	60:14, 60:17, 97:19,
152:17	120:10, 133:17	re-submits 47:19	137:11, 138:14, 171:1
<b>quiet</b> 165:6, 165:12	raised 13:16, 21:20,	re-submitted 101:14,	reality 137:11, 147:4
quite 11:5, 19:15,	27:2, 36:14, 36:17,	140:8, 166:20	realize 16:22, 81:23,
28:13, 33:17, 64:12,	39:6, 39:9, 41:21,	re-submitting 100:22,	82:5, 162:24
64:17, 82:22, 88:10,	65:10, 79:10, 109:9,	101:10	really 3:16, 4:16,
105:9, 107:18,	110:2, 119:12, 126:15,	<b>re-use</b> 34:4, 88:19	16:15, 16:17, 17:3,
120:25, 121:2, 121:8,	129:15, 143:19,	<b>re-using</b> 86:7	18:21, 19:17, 19:21,
122:9, 165:15, 166:14,	154:11, 154:18,	<b>re-visit</b> 16:18	20:15, 20:21, 22:13,
167:16, 168:19,	160:11, 160:12,	re-visiting 16:14	24:20, 25:18, 25:24,
179:15	174:18, 176:7	re-working 34:6	30:1, 30:10, 50:12,
<b>quote</b> 110:12, 146:15,	raises 4:14, 44:7	reach 7:11, 7:24,	54:15, 56:19, 62:10,
146:16, 158:9, 158:16,	raising 22:16, 176:16	30:20, 100:6, 109:16	74:1, 75:19, 77:22,
163:2, 163:5	<b>ramp</b> 22:6	reached 108:10	79:23, 80:8, 91:12,
quote/unquote 4:12	<b>Rand</b> 6:19, 172:11	read 5:5, 12:24,	91:18, 130:25, 131:2,
quoted 158:7	range 8:24, 29:24,	82:15, 139:20, 175:8,	131:4, 148:10, 160:5,
	34:20	175:17	170:12, 174:1, 174:10,
	ranging 8:24, 118:25	reading 145:14	181:8, 181:9
< R >	<b>rapidly</b> 105:10	<b>ready</b> 16:10, 48:5,	<b>rear</b> 22:25, 36:1,

134:13, 134:18	127:15, 131:16,	recognizing 97:5	referred 80:7, 147:19
reason 10:22, 30:23,	131:18, 131:21,	recommend 86:20	referring 8:5, 93:24,
42:20, 58:14, 72:5	141:12, 142:19,	recommendation	101:19
reasonable 9:14,	143:17, 143:23,	30:6	<b>refers</b> 45:25
42:24	143:25, 147:12,	recommendations	reflect 149:3
reasonably 7:14,	176:10	29:21, 30:24, 52:3	reflective 149:8
41:25, 56:13, 135:13,	rebuttals 175:19	recommended 27:12,	refute 100:7
151:6, 152:5, 153:17,	rebutting 67:19	80:5	regard 26:11, 26:22,
155:10, 157:12,	recall 151:13	recording 5:3, 107:9,	86:9, 114:7, 114:20,
170:14	recap 114:18	107:10, 107:19,	115:17
reasoning 39:14	receive 86:22	108:16, 182:5, 182:6	regarding 39:18,
reasons 47:22, 59:23,	received 3:3, 5:5, 5:8,	records 101:11,	40:19, 74:6, 114:23
109:2, 133:21, 139:5,	32:1, 47:7, 105:4,	101:14, 121:20	Regardless 10:24,
169:11	138:2, 139:21, 152:24,	recovered 114:7	15:7, 50:15
rebuilt 87:23, 88:13,	175:1, 175:12	recovery 114:18	regards 154:16,
141:13, 173:4	recent 26:12, 97:6,	red 38:16, 72:19,	160:7
<b>rebut</b> 147:18	98:1	72:21, 73:14, 93:17,	regenerated 114:5
rebuttal 66:19, 67:3,	recently 18:22	94:24, 95:18, 96:16	<b>Register</b> 16:19, 17:8,
67:10, 67:16, 67:25,	recess. 69:11	redevelopment 34:5	18:8, 43:1, 45:2,
68:7, 68:13, 68:15,	recission 47:5	<b>reduce</b> 117:6	45:21, 46:6, 46:24,
68:16, 68:18, 68:21,	recitation 8:20	reduced 137:1	57:13, 63:15, 77:16,
69:2, 69:24, 75:12,	reckless 47:10	<b>refer</b> 49:24	77:18, 77:20, 77:23,
75:17, 75:21, 75:23,	recognize 32:10,	reference 70:21,	78:6, 78:9, 78:13,
87:19, 92:18, 102:25,	114:9, 170:18, 174:22,	84:3, 147:6, 169:12	78:14, 78:17, 79:21,
103:9, 125:15, 125:19,	175:17	referenced 48:15	79:22, 82:14, 82:16,
	1	1	

82:17, 83:9, 92:16,	regulators 82:3	relies 42:19	rendering 23:9
102:10, 102:15,	regulatory 154:19	relocate 148:23,	renderings 6:8
103:23, 104:9, 104:11,	reiterate 135:24,	167:13, 174:8	renders 24:4
147:24	154:19, 171:20	rely 39:20, 39:25,	renovations 79:15
Registered 32:9	reject 52:11	47:13	<b>repass</b> 66:6
registers 46:8	relate 12:8	remain 40:10, 130:22,	repassage 64:25
<b>regs</b> 37:8	related 6:23, 7:2,	178:10	<b>repeat</b> 94:2, 103:15
regular 119:23	10:20, 11:3, 27:24,	remainder 36:7	repeatedly 174:20
regulated 150:20,	80:10, 116:13	remains 116:2	repeating 80:2
150:24	relates 12:17, 18:23,	remarkably 19:15	repetitive 129:1
regulation 13:6,	27:20, 100:2	remarks 3:3, 11:14	replace 27:7, 71:14,
13:17, 33:22, 40:16,	relations 116:14	remedies 65:14	156:21, 170:21
133:22, 134:9, 163:11	relationship 59:24,	remember 100:11,	replaced 50:9, 87:17
Regulations 12:23,	79:13, 118:17	105:7, 113:23, 124:1,	replacing 151:3,
13:2, 13:25, 32:7,	relative 147:12,	132:24, 142:18	151:14
32:13, 33:9, 37:6,	159:17, 165:5	remind 138:21, 178:6	replica 149:2, 149:7
37:10, 38:2, 51:16,	relatively 71:16	removal 32:19, 45:15,	replied 82:22
54:18, 59:9, 74:13,	relaxation 33:6	85:5, 156:1	report 5:15, 5:17,
75:5, 75:8, 90:22,	relevance 30:10,	removed 32:18,	5:18, 14:20, 16:13,
91:2, 134:1, 136:17,	126:12	32:20, 73:3, 73:5,	26:10, 26:13, 27:4,
152:13, 153:8, 153:9,	relevant 10:1, 12:9,	75:3, 85:13, 86:4,	27:12, 28:1, 28:14,
162:4, 162:25, 163:6,	12:10, 15:6, 30:3,	86:5, 103:23, 104:8,	30:25, 49:20, 67:24,
163:11, 164:4, 167:3,	38:3, 42:6, 43:14,	165:23	70:22, 71:4, 71:9,
173:25	43:17	render 39:7, 39:16	71:18, 71:24, 74:25,
regulations 13:24	relied 40:12	rendered 40:9	92:19, 106:7, 140:16,
	1	I	

147:13, 149:14	140:9, 141:1, 142:14,	176:10	173:7, 173:10
reporters 108:14	175:2	reserved 4:12	resources 7:16, 9:15,
<b>reports</b> 14:17,	requesting 114:6	reside 118:22	42:1, 44:22, 72:14,
140:18, 141:2, 142:11,	requests 80:23	residence 114:16	81:21, 133:18, 168:19
142:15	require 38:8, 74:9,	residential 13:12,	respect 32:6, 32:15,
represent 19:19,	133:15, 134:1, 164:4	20:19, 23:4, 23:5,	33:4, 34:13, 149:9,
72:17	required 53:3, 75:1,	28:18, 50:6, 123:25	165:13
representation 81:5,	101:21, 108:17,	residents 76:14,	respected 58:2
110:19	112:15, 158:9, 161:2,	76:20, 115:24, 116:13,	Respectfully 10:19,
representations	162:15, 162:19,	118:4, 119:2, 119:15,	47:23, 81:13, 141:15,
102:17	162:24	129:23, 144:5, 144:6,	141:17, 155:23,
representative 6:18,	requirement 19:6	173:13	156:13, 156:23, 157:5,
87:25	requirements 21:9,	resolved 142:17	159:9, 159:15, 159:20,
represented 96:16,	33:18, 45:14, 45:17,	resource 8:17, 17:15,	163:19, 164:13,
103:25, 111:2, 164:1	49:3, 50:20, 72:21,	17:17, 18:6, 18:9,	164:25, 166:16, 167:1,
representing 73:11	74:9, 74:11, 74:18,	18:10, 46:24, 53:14,	167:5, 168:4, 168:7,
represents 93:18,	133:23, 136:16,	57:12, 58:20, 62:5,	169:4, 170:17, 171:4
95:18	161:15, 167:3, 171:23,	64:1, 64:4, 93:10,	respond 14:21,
reprieve 66:22	173:24	133:3, 133:7, 133:8,	14:25, 68:15, 82:10,
Republican 6:16	<b>requires</b> 101:20,	139:3, 142:22, 147:20,	127:8, 127:21, 130:2,
request 4:24, 9:10,	161:4, 161:14, 163:13	148:4, 151:8, 152:7,	131:15, 140:11,
47:23, 135:24, 135:25,	requiring 39:21,	152:8, 153:20, 155:12,	143:16, 143:18, 176:5
148:18	158:22	156:15, 156:17,	responded 99:22
requested 72:19,	research 28:9, 113:12	156:25, 157:14,	response 3:19, 5:16,
100:12, 140:3, 140:5,	reserve 46:21, 47:24,	167:11, 170:16, 173:3,	5:18, 5:21, 6:25, 7:2,
	l		

13:22, 13:23, 14:12,	77:25, 88:13	right-of-way 164:15,	41:5, 41:6, 76:12,
67:15, 70:24, 70:25,	retire 118:8	165:5	77:1, 86:10, 86:12,
123:8, 132:22, 132:23,	return 88:15, 181:8	right-of-ways 164:14	86:14, 100:4, 113:25,
133:4, 134:22, 137:3,	<b>review</b> 43:21, 44:25,	rights 4:23, 37:24,	114:1, 126:18, 126:19,
147:11, 156:9	50:2, 78:10, 80:15,	41:8, 41:10, 64:20,	129:25, 130:24,
responses 32:1,	81:2, 81:10, 104:13,	65:15, 65:18	134:17, 134:21,
68:23, 74:24	104:14, 149:11,	rights-of-ways 165:1	134:25, 135:1, 135:16,
responsibility 44:20,	150:23, 154:20,	<b>rise</b> 156:24, 157:6	144:15, 144:16,
58:21, 171:2	155:18	<b>Riva</b> 1:12, 2:7, 48:3,	144:19, 145:5, 148:13,
responsible 37:8,	reviewed 147:25,	48:5, 48:9, 89:19,	148:23, 172:22
58:17	148:2, 149:6	89:21, 90:6, 90:12,	<b>roadway</b> 131:9
<b>rest</b> 67:2, 144:10,	reviewing 78:24,	90:18, 91:1, 91:6,	<b>Rocco</b> 75:10, 75:14,
176:19	145:25, 158:19	91:19, 97:16, 98:7,	76:6, 89:24, 89:25,
<b>restate</b> 178:6	reviews 107:12	99:9, 125:6, 125:7,	90:2, 90:15, 128:4,
restaurant 161:13,	reviled 111:25	125:8, 177:9, 177:16,	143:18, 143:23,
161:22	<b>revise</b> 84:13	177:17, 180:4, 181:20	145:12, 153:15,
restriction 51:15	revised 6:11, 171:17	<b>River</b> 155:18, 168:16,	153:24
restrictive 165:9	Revolution 17:23,	168:24	role 49:1, 52:12
<b>result</b> 40:14, 45:14,	61:24	<b>RJS</b> 36:21, 41:10,	roll 16:10, 177:10
151:5, 151:6, 152:5,	<b>RFP</b> 12:25	63:8, 64:13, 65:2,	roof 23:11, 23:12,
153:18, 155:10,	rhetorical 91:5	126:17, 126:22,	23:15, 23:18, 24:19,
155:21, 157:12	<b>rhythms</b> 150:11	127:12	34:22, 60:10, 61:18,
results 116:20	<b>Rice</b> 77:7	<b>RMR</b> 182:13	72:18
retail 114:3	ridge 23:17	Road 20:2, 27:24,	<b>room</b> 31:4, 144:10,
retaining 21:25,	right-hand 151:21	36:25, 39:18, 40:24,	144:11, 145:8
	I	I	

rooms 144:10	106:7, 114:13, 114:20,	163:22, 164:5	86:24, 88:2, 128:16,
Roosevelt 88:24	114:23, 115:17, 116:1,	satisfied 158:14	128:18
round 45:16, 105:14,	135:20, 136:11, 146:1,	<b>satisfy</b> 157:7	Schofield 82:13
106:1	146:5, 147:3, 147:6	<b>saw</b> 137:4	School 117:20, 118:3,
Route 105:11, 120:23,	<b>sake</b> 4:13	<b>saying</b> 12:7, 17:5,	118:5, 118:14, 121:12
121:18	salient 148:10	47:10, 47:11, 49:2,	Screen 2:19, 15:11,
rubble 88:12, 112:3	Salisbury 1:2, 5:13,	49:7, 50:16, 58:19,	15:19, 15:20, 33:15,
rubric 13:18	8:8, 8:13, 17:5, 17:21,	59:5, 59:11, 65:13,	70:1, 70:4, 83:12,
<b>rule</b> 112:10	19:11, 20:8, 25:21,	76:24, 78:2, 99:3,	87:9, 129:12
rules 112:7, 112:22,	28:24, 29:24, 32:7,	104:8, 107:14, 132:25,	screens 88:15
176:22	75:7, 110:5, 115:22,	138:15, 159:11,	scripted 175:23
<b>Run</b> 72:2, 119:6,	116:11, 116:15,	170:10, 170:21	Second 3:8, 3:9, 30:5,
121:11	116:23, 117:20,	<b>says</b> 42:22, 43:14,	42:5, 42:24, 48:15,
running 26:20	123:21, 127:22,	44:10, 50:20, 65:1,	53:24, 72:4, 84:1,
<b>runs</b> 117:2	132:16, 137:17, 138:9,	66:4, 82:8, 83:2,	99:20, 103:6, 104:4,
	138:25, 145:25,	102:4, 158:19, 175:10	104:5, 106:1, 118:24,
	152:13, 153:9, 156:16,	scale 20:10, 20:22,	123:17, 127:5, 134:11,
< S >	162:9, 165:22, 171:12,	149:3, 151:15, 170:3	136:14, 148:10, 160:8,
<b>S.</b> 6:15	172:8, 173:13	scared 79:7	162:11, 177:8, 177:9,
sacred 112:4	satellite 39:22, 39:23,	Schaefer 65:2	181:19, 181:20
<b>safe</b> 115:6, 134:21	40:6, 100:10, 100:17,	schedules 181:2	Secondly 97:17,
safely 105:14	100:19, 100:24, 160:2,	Schiff 134:22	121:19, 167:4
Safety 41:6, 41:13,	162:21, 162:23,	SCHIFFER 1:18, 2:9,	Secretary 5:3, 78:10,
105:6, 105:9, 105:15,	163:2, 163:4, 163:12,	55:17, 55:20, 85:2,	149:4
105:16, 105:24, 106:2,	163:14, 163:18,	85:11, 86:2, 86:8,	Section 13:8, 13:19,
	I	1	1

29:22, 32:7, 37:9,	sensitivity 111:23	75:6, 94:15, 94:20,	sharing 16:1
75:4, 133:25, 154:16,	sent 16:13, 101:25	95:9, 95:16, 95:20,	Sharon 82:18
155:5, 163:5	separator 71:14,	96:16, 133:24, 133:25,	sharp 181:8
sediments 83:23	71:20, 72:22, 83:19	134:2, 134:5	<b>SHC</b> 5:20, 166:24
seeing 129:12	sequestered 178:11	setbacks 20:20,	<b>sheer</b> 54:6
<b>seek</b> 105:21	series 98:18	21:15, 93:16, 94:13,	<b>sheet</b> 35:20, 35:21,
seeking 101:23	serious 25:18, 41:12,	95:11	162:22
<b>seem</b> 129:14, 145:6	79:9	setting 17:6	Sheets 35:1, 35:2,
seemed 126:3, 126:4,	seriously 58:21	<b>seven</b> 23:19	35:12, 35:15
142:18, 143:20	served 112:17	Several 32:5, 41:2,	<b>shop</b> 161:4, 161:18,
seems 24:15, 105:16,	service 72:24	41:3, 64:22, 78:25,	162:11, 162:17,
107:22, 112:2, 115:10	servicing 114:11,	126:16, 133:21,	162:20
<b>seen</b> 19:17, 71:24,	116:7	144:14	SHOPA 102:18
85:3, 138:20, 149:17,	session 15:1, 26:10,	<b>Shall</b> 32:8, 37:10,	shopping 160:19,
163:12, 172:18	137:13, 178:23, 179:1,	42:22, 44:17, 50:20,	160:25, 161:9, 161:13,
select 15:21	179:5, 179:10, 179:11	70:17, 163:2, 166:13	161:17, 161:18,
selected 30:15	<b>set</b> 16:24, 17:3,	<b>Shannon</b> 36:25,	161:19, 161:21,
selection 74:6	20:18, 25:14, 49:3,	150:7	161:22, 161:23, 162:2
Selectman 6:19, 7:1,	58:25, 92:24, 93:23,	<b>shape</b> 52:22, 85:17	<b>short</b> 16:13, 26:20,
87:24, 114:22, 172:11	94:4, 98:8, 107:12,	<b>Share</b> 2:19, 15:11,	161:7, 162:3
Selectmen 165:18	130:22, 148:13,	15:19, 15:22, 15:23,	shortly 77:22
<b>send</b> 178:9	155.10 176.00	15:24, 70:2, 70:4,	<b>shot</b> 176:19
	155:18, 176:22,	10.21, 10.2, 10.1,	
<b>sense</b> 69:4, 160:17,	177:23	130:13	<b>shouldn't</b> 34:16,
			<b>shouldn't</b> 34:16, 176:12, 176:13
<b>sense</b> 69:4, 160:17,	177:23	130:13	

<b>show</b> 4:16, 16:3,	87:25, 89:9, 89:12,	50:4, 61:19, 84:2,	102:13, 132:23,
18:24, 51:20, 71:16,	102:18, 103:19,	85:20, 91:7, 91:10,	165:16
85:7, 85:12, 93:15,	104:1, 104:13,	109:13, 126:20,	simplicity 4:13
123:22, 138:1, 172:12	104:14, 104:15, 148:1,	126:23, 127:11,	<b>simply</b> 23:23, 39:1,
showed 4:25, 27:18,	148:2, 149:6, 149:11,	164:15, 166:1	42:19, 44:5, 44:6,
30:17, 38:23, 40:5,	150:24	sides 23:16, 125:19	97:9, 109:6, 132:17,
61:9, 89:23	<b>shut</b> 164:7	sidewalk 89:24, 90:2,	133:5, 133:15, 147:7,
<b>showing</b> 19:19,	<b>SHYER</b> 1:13, 2:8,	90:5, 90:19, 115:6,	160:7, 163:23, 176:10
85:20, 150:4, 163:9	48:12, 48:13, 49:10,	120:23, 121:13,	Simsbury 155:19
showings 121:2	49:11, 83:18, 83:25,	129:24	sincere 109:9
<b>shown</b> 4:17, 23:4,	84:16, 84:21, 125:11,	sidewalks 21:24,	single 38:4, 148:12,
39:23, 41:16, 162:21,	125:12, 125:22,	115:6, 148:22	150:3
172:19	126:10, 126:14,	sight 115:15, 129:25	single-family 20:19
<b>shows</b> 23:2, 23:9,	126:25, 127:3, 127:6,	sighted 51:21, 79:22	<b>sir</b> 10:12, 92:13, 93:4,
33:13, 35:17, 35:25,	127:13, 127:17,	<b>sign</b> 44:5, 56:6,	143:2, 152:21, 168:14,
36:1, 40:23, 43:16,	127:25, 128:11,	115:20, 134:17	174:14, 178:18
44:11, 137:5	128:14, 129:17,	Signed 46:10, 47:2	<b>sit</b> 99:14, 109:13,
SHPO 43:21, 43:24,	129:20, 130:10,	significance 17:25	146:22
44:1, 44:2, 44:3, 44:5,	130:19, 177:4, 177:7,	significant 28:6,	sites 7:19, 7:22,
44:7, 44:17, 44:20,	177:18, 177:19,	28:21, 44:21, 45:6,	17:11, 27:6, 27:11,
45:5, 45:7, 45:10,	180:14, 180:18	61:12, 119:5	27:19, 28:8, 28:10,
45:18, 46:9, 78:10,	<b>sic</b> 110:15	<b>signs</b> 5:11, 73:3	30:23, 41:16, 109:12,
79:10, 80:10, 80:11,	side 3:23, 20:16,	<b>similar</b> 152:16,	130:14, 130:16,
80:18, 81:10, 82:3,	22:3, 22:4, 23:17,	155:17	133:12, 133:16,
82:13, 82:14, 83:1,	24:23, 33:10, 36:10,	simple 8:10, 102:2,	138:15
	1	I	1

sitting 131:9	153:3, 159:25, 164:12,	170:5, 178:13	<b>sounds</b> 34:5, 83:19
situation 58:5,	165:25	someone 4:13, 4:16,	<b>source</b> 80:12, 80:14,
121:16, 130:23,	slides 33:23, 36:7,	4:20, 48:6, 77:10,	80:17, 81:1, 132:21
134:12, 158:21,	72:16, 152:25, 153:4	79:6, 82:24, 109:10,	south 23:17, 24:20,
159:22	slippery 122:8,	113:16, 114:6, 176:16,	33:15, 33:17, 35:25,
<b>six</b> 69:7, 80:1	129:24	176:17	49:19, 49:22, 60:2,
<b>size</b> 49:23, 59:23,	slope 113:24	somewhere 23:3,	61:18, 93:22, 95:20,
59:24, 62:17, 74:14,	<b>small</b> 74:13	85:14, 128:7, 180:10	126:22, 127:11, 134:5
117:6, 128:7, 148:9,	smaller 87:12, 152:1,	<b>son</b> 122:15	southeast 71:15
148:16, 150:1, 151:15,	152:2, 173:15	<b>soon</b> 67:7, 71:16	southern 73:25
170:3	<b>snow</b> 80:1, 80:2	<b>Sorry</b> 5:17, 9:18,	<b>space</b> 18:18, 18:21,
<b>sized</b> 77:8	<b>snowy</b> 122:1, 122:4	48:20, 53:20, 66:25,	20:25, 24:25, 25:5,
skipping 148:25	<b>snuff</b> 119:17	75:15, 79:7, 83:18,	30:3, 43:8, 62:17,
<b>slab</b> 32:21	<b>so-to-speak</b> 98:19	85:22, 86:23, 92:10,	63:13, 63:17, 63:20,
<b>sleep</b> 181:4	software 149:18	93:5, 94:3, 97:22,	64:5, 87:7, 90:25,
<b>Slide</b> 26:24, 33:2,	soils 72:7, 72:9	113:25, 121:3, 129:5,	91:17, 112:21, 112:23,
33:12, 33:13, 33:24,	solely 27:14, 62:23	129:7, 143:6, 171:9,	114:6, 114:12, 137:10,
36:16, 39:5, 40:18,	solution 134:15	174:23, 180:18	163:4, 163:5
41:18, 43:19, 44:13,	somebody 58:17,	<b>sort</b> 22:11, 80:18,	<b>spaces</b> 38:8, 38:19,
71:17, 72:15, 72:25,	119:22, 162:23	80:23, 81:5, 118:8,	38:25, 39:1, 39:3,
73:5, 73:22, 74:23,	someday 122:16	130:11, 130:22,	39:23, 40:11, 91:16,
75:9, 75:12, 87:8,	somehow 12:9,	148:14, 149:14,	112:15, 112:17,
93:15, 100:18, 145:11,	42:14, 112:3, 156:15,	167:10, 171:5	113:16, 114:9, 114:10,
145:21, 145:22, 148:8,	157:3, 160:15,	<b>sought</b> 110:6	114:18, 114:19,
149:21, 150:9, 151:20,	160:22, 162:6, 165:11,	sounded 52:15	136:18, 136:25, 137:6,
	I	1	1

137:19, 160:25, 161:1,	140:8, 141:8, 150:21,	<b>spoke</b> 95:1, 108:7,	96:21, 127:23, 143:23,
161:3, 161:7, 161:10,	156:17, 167:10,	121:22, 175:4	143:24, 179:2, 181:6
161:11, 161:14,	170:20, 173:14	<b>spoken</b> 174:19	started 54:9, 62:10,
161:16, 161:22,	specifically 10:20,	<b>spots</b> 131:10	63:12, 70:17, 75:17,
162:3, 162:15, 162:19,	11:23, 14:18, 21:12,	sprinklers 146:2	166:3
171:22, 171:24,	29:4, 32:14, 34:3,	<b>Square</b> 13:2, 13:25,	starts 26:23, 75:12
171:25, 172:2, 172:13,	57:6, 77:24, 110:10,	33:5, 33:19, 34:1,	<b>State</b> 7:16, 12:20,
172:15	142:13, 149:1, 156:11,	34:3, 75:7, 91:3,	12:21, 14:17, 32:14,
<b>spar</b> 146:23	157:17, 163:1	161:3, 173:25	42:1, 42:17, 44:24,
speaking 104:2,	specifics 9:9, 10:1,	squarely 100:5	45:9, 46:8, 47:4,
176:17	24:14	<b>STAFF</b> 1:22, 43:24,	82:14, 101:20, 103:21,
speaks 81:24	specified 60:8, 60:13	44:2, 118:12, 178:9	104:14, 105:2, 110:10,
<b>SPECIAL</b> 1:3, 17:5,	specify 66:2	stage 128:24	114:22, 117:7, 151:8,
32:12, 38:6, 39:7,	specious 109:9	stages 44:25	152:7, 153:20, 154:5,
39:20, 39:22, 47:17,	specter 65:11, 65:12	stand 2:15, 55:18	154:19, 154:24,
47:23, 75:1, 81:17,	speculative 155:14,	standard 119:18,	155:12, 156:16, 163:1,
84:17, 100:9, 152:12,	157:5	126:4, 143:14, 155:17,	163:7, 163:13, 167:17
153:8, 162:6, 162:13,	<b>speed</b> 115:2, 115:13,	170:13	State-funded 148:3
163:20, 164:3, 164:6,	115:14	standards 40:16,	stated 3:6, 12:3, 19:9,
166:25, 167:2, 168:7,	<b>spend</b> 43:20, 119:5,	91:3, 176:22	44:20
170:11, 174:3	154:2	standing 10:23, 37:19	statement 15:6, 17:7,
specialist 57:25	spending 160:3	standpipe 72:24	110:23, 131:11,
specific 9:13, 29:21,	spends 108:14	standpoint 142:3	147:19
57:2, 78:11, 80:14,	<b>spent</b> 44:12, 146:17	<b>star</b> 120:11, 120:12	statements 47:1,
82:19, 82:23, 89:15,	<b>spirit</b> 34:1, 149:3	start 48:3, 91:25,	47:2, 47:4, 132:6
	l	l	

states 32:8, 34:3,	85:25, 86:7, 87:15,	stretcher 77:9	170:9, 170:18
44:16	88:11, 88:12	strictly 67:15, 79:19,	subjectively 171:5
station 167:16,	stones 84:4, 84:6,	80:6	submission 11:2,
167:24, 168:3	88:11, 88:17, 111:24,	<b>strings</b> 116:6	39:9, 45:14, 126:5,
status 4:18, 43:21	148:23	stripe 22:22, 118:13	126:8, 137:4
statute 13:4, 13:7,	Stonington 169:7	striping 164:19	submissions 5:10,
14:1, 43:13, 102:4,	stood 18:15, 51:8	stroke 155:20	5:22, 6:13, 6:25, 9:13,
156:19	<b>stop</b> 145:2	strong 22:19	69:24, 70:23
Statutes 32:10, 37:9,	stopping 121:4	structure 64:7, 95:17,	<b>submit</b> 41:14, 42:10,
83:8, 154:23	store 21:15	165:23	45:10, 47:15, 69:19,
statutory 10:23,	<b>Storm</b> 71:11	structures 17:11,	70:21, 81:13, 101:21,
37:19	storms 80:2	30:1, 34:4, 45:1, 79:16	137:21, 141:2, 141:16,
<b>stay</b> 170:24	stormwater 5:15,	<b>STUCKE</b> 110:2,	141:17, 152:20, 154:9,
staying 43:6, 174:2	67:24, 70:22, 71:4,	110:3, 110:22, 110:24,	155:23, 156:13,
step 86:21, 121:13	71:8, 71:18, 71:19,	111:1	156:23, 157:5, 159:9,
stepping 120:23	71:21, 71:24, 72:11,	<b>study</b> 105:22, 114:6,	159:15, 159:20,
steps 120:21, 148:21	74:25	114:17	163:10, 163:19,
stipulation 19:24,	<b>story</b> 30:5	<b>style</b> 148:12, 149:3,	164:13, 164:18,
59:10	straight 73:17,	166:18, 166:21	164:25, 166:16, 167:1,
stipulations 21:7	170:22	subdivided 79:2	167:5, 168:4, 168:8,
<b>STOCKMAL</b> 182:13	strange 175:14	subject 81:21, 86:18,	169:4, 170:17, 171:4,
<b>Stone</b> 6:17, 21:16,	stream 3:8, 3:10	141:16, 158:14,	172:11
21:17, 22:10, 22:11,	streets 119:23	163:17, 165:21	submitted 8:23, 9:5,
73:20, 84:14, 84:20,	stress 30:12	subjective 103:18,	9:19, 11:12, 12:17,
85:3, 85:6, 85:22,	stretch 119:13	168:23, 169:2, 170:7,	26:4, 26:11, 33:1,
	1	1	l

38:23, 44:6, 45:20,	suggesting 37:22,	superceded 91:3	127:2
71:8, 72:17, 73:2,	38:1	superimpose 35:16	surveyor 32:23
75:6, 75:20, 97:6,	suggestion 39:17,	supplemental 5:11,	<b>Susan</b> 7:5, 123:19,
100:18, 100:22,	39:18, 44:4, 73:24,	139:14	124:7, 125:3
101:10, 123:2, 139:17,	84:5, 105:23, 134:4,	supplemented 32:2	sustain 167:9, 169:22
152:22, 152:24, 153:7,	137:9, 173:17	supply 146:4	sustained 159:16,
155:7, 173:23, 176:8,	Suggestions 138:11	supplying 163:14	167:6, 168:5
178:1	suggests 42:12	support 9:13, 11:23,	sustaining 157:6
submitting 12:8	suitability 40:15,	38:14, 108:23, 109:3,	swayed 138:18
subscribes 160:13	136:16	137:8	sweeping 71:13
Substantial 40:13,	suitable 41:16	supporting 139:18	swings 126:18
44:7, 76:15, 90:18,	summarize 70:20,	supportive 171:19	<b>swoop</b> 119:20
155:9, 155:24, 157:11,	71:4	suppose 94:14,	synthetic 24:16,
169:10, 169:17,	summary 33:24,	125:14	60:11
169:18	107:19, 154:3	supposed 76:10,	system 71:21
substantially 171:17,	summation 46:22,	124:11	
173:4	47:25, 67:16, 68:10,	<b>Supreme</b> 42:18	
substantiate 156:13	69:3, 92:24, 147:6,	surface 28:4, 60:15	< T >
substantiating	174:21, 175:19	surprised 14:11,	table 12:21, 109:16
170:13	summations 68:4	107:9	<b>Tai</b> 1:23, 5:3
substitutes 24:16	summer 119:3	surprises 107:22	<b>Takings</b> 42:16, 42:20,
sufficient 10:8, 45:11,	sumps 71:13, 71:20	surrounding 136:19,	42:21
112:10	<b>sun</b> 84:8	137:7	talked 14:11, 49:19,
suggest 68:1, 68:25,	sunken 20:25	surroundings 59:25	49:21, 49:22, 50:4,
123:20, 133:7	superb 19:16, 20:5	survey 73:8, 126:8,	105:11, 105:16, 116:3,
	I	I	1

136:17, 143:9, 147:16	107:7, 127:25, 131:2,	111:15, 122:20,	25:3, 38:7, 38:8,
talks 18:2	131:3, 150:8	130:20, 147:14	38:19, 38:25, 50:18,
tall 35:16, 49:8	terrible 77:7	themselves 4:6,	51:5, 56:21, 65:10,
<b>task</b> 123:8	testified 38:22, 40:12,	119:10	90:9, 95:11, 100:4,
<b>Tavern</b> 20:17	52:22, 53:8, 93:17,	thereafter 77:22	118:25, 137:6, 145:2
<b>tax</b> 38:13	93:22, 94:7, 95:8,	therein 37:11	throughout 19:20,
teachers 116:9,	133:15, 133:22,	thereto 166:3	154:24, 163:7, 163:12,
118:2, 118:7, 118:8,	172:15	they'll 82:23	167:17
118:10	testify 36:23, 107:3	they've 22:22, 102:3,	throughs 124:19
teaching 146:8	testifying 96:7, 96:10,	135:14, 139:17	Thursday 70:21
team 9:12, 145:3,	136:23, 136:25	thinking 51:8, 61:8,	<b>tie</b> 64:13, 65:4,
145:4	testimony 26:23,	127:25, 128:2, 149:25	154:13
technical 133:23,	53:21, 56:20, 57:10,	<b>Third</b> 43:3, 43:10	tied 41:15
147:24	57:11, 58:8, 68:8,	thoroughness 117:22	<b>Tiffany</b> 99:11
technically 11:3, 12:8	92:4, 92:14, 93:9,	<b>though</b> 11:2, 50:23,	<b>time.</b> 95:1, 108:7,
technology 3:7, 182:5	94:19, 99:6, 102:11,	88:9, 94:8, 148:7	175:4
tells 117:1	103:18, 132:19,	thought-out 109:14	<b>tiny</b> 115:19
<b>ten</b> 137:5	134:14, 136:22, 137:7,	thoughtful 105:8	title 41:8, 65:25,
tenant 73:4, 137:9,	137:14, 147:4, 155:9,	thoughtfulness	165:6, 165:12, 166:3
161:10	155:19, 155:25,	109:24, 117:22	titled 5:9
tend 82:3	156:5, 156:7, 156:20,	thoughts 48:9	today 5:15, 6:22,
terminology 147:24	157:11, 164:13, 171:6	<b>thread</b> 104:6	12:18, 12:19, 14:10,
terms 20:10, 21:7,	<b>text</b> 3:13	threat 81:14	54:19, 56:19, 87:16,
24:23, 39:20, 39:22,	texture 21:17	threatened 25:2	159:1, 164:18, 173:22
60:17, 98:4, 104:7,	<b>Thanks</b> 91:22,	three 14:16, 19:1,	todesign 71:5

together 32:1, 38:7,	towns 14:19, 146:12	traversed 115:5	<b>true</b> 65:12, 95:10,
48:9, 111:22, 131:5	traffic 41:6, 86:12,	treated 71:20	121:22, 182:4
Tonight 9:7, 10:15,	105:10, 105:19,	treatment 71:12,	truly 109:10
11:8, 11:19, 11:22,	105:22, 106:7, 115:13,	71:23	<b>Trust</b> 7:16, 41:25,
14:15, 14:16, 14:21,	115:14, 116:1, 121:2,	trees 20:20	43:2, 99:8, 123:21,
49:17, 113:9, 125:17,	121:14, 121:19,	trekking 119:5	133:2
125:18, 126:17,	135:20, 137:3, 140:16,	tremendous 108:23	truthfully 123:5
134:11, 134:23, 137:5,	140:18, 142:11,	tried 51:20, 54:19	try 10:3, 11:8, 11:22,
141:19, 171:16,	142:14	<b>trip</b> 113:14	13:21, 15:4, 15:5,
176:24, 176:25,	tragedy 132:16,	Troopers 114:22	15:12, 16:3, 31:19,
177:14	132:17	trouble 97:19,	51:4, 58:9, 97:3,
took 19:4, 30:24,	train 167:24	100:21, 101:9	113:12, 127:21, 132:9
30:25, 115:18	transcript 107:16,	truck 35:16, 35:18,	trying 21:10, 53:17,
top 3:9, 61:20, 90:8,	107:21, 107:23,	35:22, 36:2, 76:3,	54:1, 56:10, 56:11,
149:15	108:12, 135:25, 182:4	76:25, 90:13, 106:23,	56:17, 58:3, 60:25,
topics 8:24	transcriptions 108:15	115:21, 121:17, 122:2,	89:7, 120:1, 127:8,
totaled 122:2	transcripts 108:1,	146:18	149:2
totally 58:7, 170:7	108:5	trucks 34:19, 34:24,	Tunsky 71:5, 83:21,
touch 32:5	transfer 167:16,	35:4, 35:9, 41:5,	84:1, 84:12, 84:23,
tourists 51:5	168:3	76:22, 86:10, 86:11,	85:16, 86:5, 86:13,
toward 19:7	Transportation 18:1,	87:1, 87:10, 105:10,	88:14, 90:3, 90:10,
towards 73:12, 74:21,	113:13	121:3, 122:9, 122:11,	90:15, 90:22, 91:12,
84:7, 91:14, 117:8	trap 119:13	124:13, 130:23, 131:8,	91:20, 93:14, 93:17,
town-owned 7:10,	traveling 105:10	143:9, 146:20, 146:24,	93:20, 93:24, 94:2,
7:19, 8:7	travels 23:20	146:25, 164:21	94:6, 94:10, 94:14,

94:23, 95:13, 95:15,	<b>type</b> 30:7, 59:23,	underground 72:14	unintentional 56:8
96:10, 96:12, 96:13,	150:20, 150:21, 151:8,	underlying 33:19	unique 25:21, 106:23
96:15, 127:10, 134:4,	152:8, 155:17, 156:11	undermine 61:13	<b>unit</b> 53:10, 56:24
134:23, 152:17, 153:6,	<b>types</b> 62:10	undermines 47:13,	<b>United</b> 169:7
153:10, 153:14,	<b>Typical</b> 34:23, 60:8,	47:15	units 28:23, 29:24,
153:21, 153:22	124:19, 145:8	underneath 32:22,	30:18, 31:1, 31:2,
turn 15:8, 26:19,	<b>Typically</b> 23:1, 68:19,	35:24	31:3, 31:5, 31:6, 34:7,
26:24, 31:8, 31:16,	68:20, 124:21, 124:23,	underside 34:15	38:7, 130:15, 133:18,
35:19, 66:12, 75:10,	128:5, 128:6, 130:13,	understand 10:18,	137:10, 159:12,
96:5, 97:20, 163:20	172:2, 172:12	28:9, 49:6, 52:20,	167:13, 171:25,
turn-arounds 106:23	<b>Typology</b> 23:25, 53:5,	56:5, 56:20, 57:9,	173:14, 173:16,
turning 37:15, 142:25	148:11, 148:12	60:24, 64:21, 67:6,	173:19, 174:5
turnpikes 18:2		82:2, 92:23, 110:21,	unless 108:1, 108:8,
<b>Two</b> 28:8, 30:16,		116:10, 132:1, 146:13	108:15, 112:23,
36:18, 36:21, 42:9,	< U >	understanding 8:11,	135:12, 157:10,
44:25, 46:18, 47:24,	U-shaped 88:10	15:10, 36:24, 67:1,	159:21
49:3, 51:5, 59:8,	ultimately 117:24	87:15, 127:2	<b>unlike</b> 150:7
62:10, 66:8, 73:3,	unanswered 135:4,	Understood 55:10,	unload 144:25
74:6, 84:11, 90:9,	136:10	60:23, 83:15, 141:3	<b>Unmute</b> 4:15, 31:21,
95:11, 110:14, 116:5,	unclarity 151:13	<b>undo</b> 102:16	48:4, 96:23, 120:12,
133:23, 147:22, 148:8,	uncommon 79:2	undoubtedly 17:24	129:3, 129:4
160:3, 160:4, 160:10,	uncontradicted	unduly 31:18	unnecessary 132:16,
165:6, 166:25, 172:23,	132:19	<b>unfair</b> 59:20	133:9
175:12	undercuts 39:2	Unfortunately 2:17,	unobstructed 41:11
<b>tying</b> 165:5	undergone 28:13	80:11, 174:19	unquote 110:12
		1	

unreasonable 62:22,	upset 121:11	58:20, 139:2	<b>VIBAK</b> 6:7
133:21, 136:14, 151:6,	useful 28:16, 117:2	<b>value</b> 18:12, 19:5,	<b>Vic</b> 54:14
152:6, 153:19, 155:11,	<b>uses</b> 13:12, 23:4,	58:24	vicinity 20:13
155:16, 156:24,	27:8, 27:14, 30:17,	<b>Van</b> 6:20, 120:16,	Victorian 18:4, 20:14,
157:13	36:18, 37:14, 37:17,	120:17, 120:18,	20:24, 24:2, 54:16,
unreasonably 7:15,	38:12, 38:20, 39:8,	120:20, 122:18	54:17, 60:2
41:25, 133:2, 156:15,	39:16, 40:9, 83:22,	<b>vans</b> 143:10	<b>video</b> 4:9, 4:10, 4:11,
156:18, 170:15	100:3, 113:1, 147:25,	varied 29:12	4:16, 4:17, 96:25,
unrecorded 3:8	161:24	various 122:7	97:3, 107:10, 108:16
unsafe 134:12	using 60:21, 84:14,	<b>vary</b> 91:4	<b>view</b> 18:25, 49:19,
until 12:14, 66:20,	115:23, 122:7, 142:14,	vehicle 156:3	49:22, 51:15, 85:4
69:7, 76:14, 80:12,	143:12	vehicles 34:18,	VIKTORIA 182:13
80:24, 108:9, 149:11,	utility 34:20	34:23, 76:11, 76:12,	Village 29:5, 29:8,
167:20, 178:11		76:16, 90:20, 134:13,	29:12, 30:4, 30:7,
unusual 57:5		136:12, 144:14,	30:9, 99:8, 129:22,
unwarranted 132:15	< V >	145:10, 146:4	148:12, 166:14
<b>up</b> 108:23	<b>V.</b> 182:13	vehicular 29:7, 76:19,	villages 19:12
<b>up]</b> 97:7	vacant 45:23, 46:25,	116:1	<b>vinyl</b> 60:11, 60:12
update 6:12	58:22, 110:17, 110:20,	venture 58:2	violate 13:25
updated 6:21, 32:13	111:2, 111:3	verbally 4:20	violates 13:18
uploaded 139:21	<b>Valcin</b> 117:17, 117:18,	vexing 132:12	violation 42:16, 164:2
upright 73:25	117:19	viability 110:8, 137:2,	<b>viper</b> 22:21
<b>UPS</b> 34:24, 121:4,	<b>Valid</b> 160:9, 160:15,	137:19, 139:3	Virbickas 5:17, 5:18,
122:9, 144:18, 146:18,	160:20, 161:6, 162:4	viable 30:22, 116:21,	6:6, 14:24, 31:16,
146:24	valuable 11:13,	137:25	31:19, 31:21, 31:22,
	1	1	

35:4, 35:8, 35:12,	walk 16:15, 51:4,	153:20, 155:12,	155:17, 155:21, 157:1,
35:15, 36:6, 70:24,	123:20, 144:23, 145:1,	157:14, 158:15,	158:1, 158:2, 158:15,
74:24, 76:3, 93:22,	155:8	170:16	159:22, 181:3
95:8, 133:21	walking 51:6	<b>ways</b> 27:16, 66:3	WHALEN 1:14, 2:8,
visible 3:24, 4:24,	walks 119:23, 148:21,	<b>wear</b> 97:7, 103:4	129:9, 177:11, 177:12,
74:17	148:22	Webinar 4:11, 15:16	180:12
vital 118:21, 133:7,	walkway 22:5	website 5:9, 70:4,	Whatever 81:16,
147:20, 148:4, 172:23	walls 18:14, 21:17,	125:25, 139:21, 178:2	89:9, 103:11, 104:12,
<b>VOICE</b> 138:10	57:7, 62:6, 62:19,	Wednesday 177:24,	151:8
volume 9:23, 84:3	73:19, 73:21, 86:7,	180:25, 181:2	whatnot 146:20
voluntary 145:6	88:14, 104:3, 123:3,	Wednesdays 181:3	whatsoever 97:14,
Volunteer 5:14	148:24	week 16:14, 41:23,	116:16, 160:17,
voracity 44:8	Walter 5:12	82:12, 126:5	164:17
voted 173:13	wanted 4:17, 7:25,	weeks 80:1	Whereby 69:11,
voted 173:13 voting 98:11, 116:4,	wanted 4:17, 7:25, 11:14, 14:13, 16:12,	weeks 80:1 weighing 41:13,	Whereby 69:11, 181:24
			•
voting 98:11, 116:4,	11:14, 14:13, 16:12,	weighing 41:13, 141:17	181:24
voting 98:11, 116:4,	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20,	weighing 41:13, 141:17	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23,
voting 98:11, 116:4,	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14,	weighing 41:13, 141:17 welcome 69:10,	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24,
<b>voting</b> 98:11, 116:4, 117:11, 177:14	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14, 171:20	<ul> <li>weighing 41:13,</li> <li>141:17</li> <li>welcome 69:10,</li> <li>119:24, 123:24, 130:7</li> </ul>	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24, 15:7, 24:5, 26:10,
voting 98:11, 116:4, 117:11, 177:14 < W >	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14, 171:20 wants 134:19	<ul> <li>weighing 41:13,</li> <li>141:17</li> <li>welcome 69:10,</li> <li>119:24, 123:24, 130:7</li> <li>well-qualified 9:1</li> </ul>	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24, 15:7, 24:5, 26:10, 31:3, 36:18, 37:4,
<ul> <li>voting 98:11, 116:4,</li> <li>117:11, 177:14</li> <li>&lt; W &gt;</li> <li>Wait 16:2, 70:11,</li> </ul>	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14, 171:20 wants 134:19 warehouse 167:23	<ul> <li>weighing 41:13,</li> <li>141:17</li> <li>welcome 69:10,</li> <li>119:24, 123:24, 130:7</li> <li>well-qualified 9:1</li> <li>West 21:16, 22:4,</li> </ul>	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24, 15:7, 24:5, 26:10, 31:3, 36:18, 37:4, 39:6, 45:2, 48:23,
<ul> <li>voting 98:11, 116:4,</li> <li>117:11, 177:14</li> <li>&lt; W &gt;</li> <li>Wait 16:2, 70:11,</li> <li>75:13, 75:15, 75:18,</li> </ul>	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14, 171:20 wants 134:19 warehouse 167:23 warrants 47:5	<pre>weighing 41:13, 141:17 welcome 69:10, 119:24, 123:24, 130:7 well-qualified 9:1 West 21:16, 22:4, 35:21, 35:23, 40:20,</pre>	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24, 15:7, 24:5, 26:10, 31:3, 36:18, 37:4, 39:6, 45:2, 48:23, 48:25, 49:23, 49:24,
<ul> <li>voting 98:11, 116:4,</li> <li>117:11, 177:14</li> <li>&lt; W &gt;</li> <li>Wait 16:2, 70:11,</li> <li>75:13, 75:15, 75:18,</li> <li>75:22, 80:24, 82:4,</li> </ul>	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14, 171:20 wants 134:19 warehouse 167:23 warrants 47:5 waste 39:12, 70:13	<ul> <li>weighing 41:13,</li> <li>141:17</li> <li>welcome 69:10,</li> <li>119:24, 123:24, 130:7</li> <li>well-qualified 9:1</li> <li>West 21:16, 22:4,</li> <li>35:21, 35:23, 40:20,</li> <li>51:23, 71:6</li> </ul>	181:24 <b>Whether</b> 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24, 15:7, 24:5, 26:10, 31:3, 36:18, 37:4, 39:6, 45:2, 48:23, 48:25, 49:23, 49:24, 50:1, 54:6, 55:4,
<ul> <li>voting 98:11, 116:4,</li> <li>117:11, 177:14</li> <li>&lt; W &gt;</li> <li>Wait 16:2, 70:11,</li> <li>75:13, 75:15, 75:18,</li> <li>75:22, 80:24, 82:4,</li> <li>103:6, 104:4</li> </ul>	11:14, 14:13, 16:12, 28:7, 32:5, 43:20, 70:19, 118:24, 122:14, 171:20 wants 134:19 warehouse 167:23 warrants 47:5 waste 39:12, 70:13 watching 179:21	<pre>weighing 41:13, 141:17 welcome 69:10, 119:24, 123:24, 130:7 well-qualified 9:1 West 21:16, 22:4, 35:21, 35:23, 40:20, 51:23, 71:6 western 14:19</pre>	181:24 Whether 7:8, 7:23, 10:24, 13:17, 13:24, 15:7, 24:5, 26:10, 31:3, 36:18, 37:4, 39:6, 45:2, 48:23, 48:25, 49:23, 49:24, 50:1, 54:6, 55:4, 63:16, 65:18, 71:25,

136:20, 151:14,	118:5, 154:22, 155:2,	workers 34:19	yard 33:4, 33:6,
156:1, 157:18, 157:19,	158:2, 161:15, 165:23,	working 15:17, 82:25,	33:10, 33:11, 73:7,
158:10, 165:8, 165:9,	166:3, 169:25	91:14, 116:14, 129:5,	94:20, 95:3, 95:9,
165:10, 179:4, 179:5	without 42:23, 48:17,	137:12	95:16, 133:25, 134:2
whoever 122:6	48:23, 49:1, 49:4,	workmanship 20:7	yardage 32:24
whole 18:4, 23:20,	97:14, 116:4, 119:3,	Works 2:16, 31:21	yards 32:17, 32:19,
25:16, 55:2, 56:24,	119:11, 163:9	<b>worn</b> 118:1	32:20, 75:3
61:19, 63:1, 105:25,	witness 31:13, 96:8	worried 90:13	yawning 171:13
140:3	witnesses 66:14,	worries 117:15	<b>year</b> 119:4, 166:3
William 5:11	92:5	worrisome 24:23	<b>years</b> 40:12, 41:15,
willing 73:20, 80:15,	Wiznowski 44:1,	<b>worry</b> 61:15	64:13, 65:5, 79:14,
99:6	79:13	worth 137:23	87:17, 88:24, 116:10,
window 15:21, 60:12,	woman 121:23	worthy 17:12, 45:4	137:5, 165:5, 169:20
150:10, 150:11	wonderful 20:23,	<b>Wow</b> 84:21	<b>yellow</b> 72:23, 73:9,
windows 60:11	21:13	<b>WPCA</b> 5:12	73:11, 93:25
<b>wiping</b> 25:9	wondering 50:7,	wrestling 36:10,	<b>Yep</b> 111:19, 145:23
<b>wish</b> 3:13, 4:23,	51:13, 122:23	39:12	yesterday 69:20,
wish 3:13, 4:23, 174:22	51:13, 122:23 word 32:9, 34:1,	39:12 writing 163:13	<b>yesterday</b> 69:20, 152:22, 159:2
174:22	word 32:9, 34:1,	writing 163:13	152:22, 159:2
174:22 wishes 120:5, 132:5	word 32:9, 34:1, 68:11, 106:13	writing 163:13 written 114:21, 115:7,	152:22, 159:2 <b>Yin</b> 62:18
174:22 wishes 120:5, 132:5 wishing 110:1	word 32:9, 34:1, 68:11, 106:13 words 13:8, 17:4,	writing 163:13 written 114:21, 115:7, 163:3, 164:4, 176:8	152:22, 159:2 Yin 62:18 Yoon 10:16, 44:2,
174:22 wishes 120:5, 132:5 wishing 110:1 withdrawn 166:19	word 32:9, 34:1, 68:11, 106:13 words 13:8, 17:4, 72:4, 133:3	writing 163:13 written 114:21, 115:7, 163:3, 164:4, 176:8	152:22, 159:2 Yin 62:18 Yoon 10:16, 44:2, 46:1
174:22 wishes 120:5, 132:5 wishing 110:1 withdrawn 166:19 within 9:23, 13:10,	word 32:9, 34:1, 68:11, 106:13 words 13:8, 17:4, 72:4, 133:3 work 59:12, 88:6,	writing 163:13 written 114:21, 115:7, 163:3, 164:4, 176:8	152:22, 159:2 Yin 62:18 Yoon 10:16, 44:2, 46:1 York 119:12
174:22 wishes 120:5, 132:5 wishing 110:1 withdrawn 166:19 within 9:23, 13:10, 13:20, 31:5, 34:7,	<ul> <li>word 32:9, 34:1,</li> <li>68:11, 106:13</li> <li>words 13:8, 17:4,</li> <li>72:4, 133:3</li> <li>work 59:12, 88:6,</li> <li>88:9, 131:13</li> </ul>	<pre>writing 163:13 written 114:21, 115:7, 163:3, 164:4, 176:8 wrote 6:22</pre>	152:22, 159:2 Yin 62:18 Yoon 10:16, 44:2, 46:1 York 119:12 young 116:7, 118:25,

yourself 105:9, 159:14, 180:17 yourselves 3:21 < Z > **zip** 80:7 **zone** 12:23, 13:4, 13:6, 13:10, 13:11, 13:20, 33:19, 38:5, 86:11, 87:2, 91:4, 136:13, 171:23 **zones** 6:24, 7:2 **Zoning** 1:2, 9:1, 9:8, 9:22, 9:24, 21:9, 32:7, 32:13, 37:6, 37:7, 37:13, 38:5, 51:15, 54:18, 59:9, 72:20, 74:8, 74:11, 74:13, 75:5, 99:25, 100:13, 112:7, 112:22, 115:18, 117:14, 152:13, 153:9, 160:2, 160:15, 161:3, 161:8, 162:25, 165:13, 173:24