SALISBURY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

JUNE 21, 2022 6:30 PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

1	Members Present:	Members Absent:
2	Dr. Michael Klemens (Chairman)	Debra Allee (Alternate)
3	Cathy Shyer (Vice Chair)	
4	Martin Whalen (Secretary)	
5	Bob Riva (Regular Member)	
6	Allen Cockerline (Regular Member)	
7	Dr. Danella Schiffer (Alternate)	Staff Present:
8		Abby Conroy, Land Use Administrator (LUA)
9		
10	Brief Items and Announcement	
11	 Call to Order/Establish Quorum 	
12	Chairman Klemens called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m	n. With 5 regular members present (Dr.
13	Michael Klemens, Cathy Shyer, Bob Riva, Martin Whalen and Allen Cockerline) a quorum was	
14	established. Alternate, Dr. Danella Schiffer was also preser	nt.
15		
16	2. Approval of Agenda	
17		
18	<i>Motion</i> : To approve agenda.	
19	Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva.	
20	Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.	
21		
22	3. Minutes of February 22, 2022 Regular Meeting	
23	Vice Chair Shyer proposed the following amendment:	
24	Line 147 Corrected to "Regulate and determine size and lo	ocation of yards"
25		
26	Motion: To approve minutes of February 22, 2022 as amen	nded.
27	Made by Cockerline, seconded by Shyer.	
28	Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.	
29		
30	4. Minutes of March 7, 2022 Regular Meeting	
31	Vice Chair Shyer proposed the following amendments:	
32	Line 129 Corrected to "the right to rebuild a non-confirming	ng building within the same foundational
33	footprint"	
34	Line 411 Removed "due"	
35		
36	Motion: To approve minutes of March 7, 2022 as amended	d.
37	Made by Riva, seconded by Shyer.	
38	Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.	
39		
40	5. Minutes of March 8, 2022 Special Meeting	
41	No comments or amendments discussed.	

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Motion: To approve minutes of March 8, 2022

Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva.

Vote: 4-0-1, Vice Chair Shyer abstained as she was not present at the March 8, 2022 Meeting

- 6. Minutes of March 21, 2022 pending
- 7. Minutes of April 4, 2022- pending
- 8. Minutes of April 12, 2022 pending
- 9. Minutes of April 18, 2022 pending
- 10. Minutes of May 2, 2022 pending
- 11. Minutes of May 16, 2022 pending
- 12. Minutes of June 6, 2022 pending

No action was taken on items 6 through 12.

13. Correspondence

No correspondence was received.

Public Comment

14. <u>Public Comment is restricted to items that are neither on the agenda nor the subject of any pending Planning & Zoning application and are limited to 3 minutes per person.</u>

There were no comments.

Other Business

15. Lakeville Planning RFP Discussion

Vice Chair Shyer, Alternate Schiffer and Alternate Allee have been reviewing responses to the Lakeville planning study Request for Proposal (RFP). Vice Chair Shyer expressed concern over conducting the study too early given the significant property transfers happening in the study area, and suggested that it may be best to establish an understanding of implications of those transfers first. She relayed comments from First Selectman Curtis Rand identifying that he was surprised by the cost of the proposals. He expressed doubt over the need for the study and suggested shrinking the scope of the study to reduce the cost but his position softened after being reminded that grant money could be utilized to fund the study.

Vice Chair Shyer emphasized that when considering the cost, 2 of the 3 proposals are structured to use Mat Kiefer, surveyor, as an independent contractor to the Town. Commissioner Cockerline identified that Kiefer has conducted extensive work in the study area and possesses much of the work on file already. Chairman Klemens expressed concern over Kiefer's availability to conduct the work and identified that his costs have not been included in all of the proposals; noting the survey work as an extra line item without an estimate.

Alternate Dr. Schiffer reported that she had discussed the proposal with Mat Kiefer conveying his preference to work directly for the Town, thus reducing cost. He also expressed concern over the scale

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

of the study area. Dr. Schiffer compared the proposals; 2 firms provided details about conducting their request whereas one merely identified that they would fulfill the request. Dr. Schiffer also had productive discussions with Debra Lawlor of Colliers Engineering. Debra, who possesses a positive reputation with a nationally recognized firm, responded to Dr. Schiffer's request quickly and clarified that her travel expenses would not be too costly as much could be accomplished remotely.

Vice Chair Shyer added that they have not reached out to FHI who is currently working in town, but that she plans to seek feedback regarding their current project's progression. She believes their pricing is a better value than other quotes received. Chairman Klemens commented that the costs for the proposals are reasonable given the scope of the proposal.

Vice Chair Shyer asked LUA Conroy if she had been in contact with the restaurateurs involved in the Firehouse Project. LUA Conroy has not received feedback. Chairman Klemens remarked this responsibility should fall under the consultants composing the proposal.

Dr. Schiffer identified that FHI is the most expensive proposal at \$88,000, not including survey work. One statement written in their introduction concerned her, quoting: "the opportunity to work with Salisbury to improve the quality of life in the village and support economic development." She questions if they are approaching from a position of bias. Dr. Klemens believes the statement is axiomatic, but is not concerned about this comment. Reviewing the proposal further, Dr. Schiffer notes FHI is partnering with VHB and there is responsibility overlap that could be altered, thus reducing cost. For example, Joseph Balskus is assigned to oversee transportation and parking, alongside another individual at FHI studio performing the same task.

Dr. Schiffer emphasized the importance of hearing from the public. The Commission discussed possible approaches to handling a charrette including, in-person, via Zoom or a hybrid approach. The Commission concluded that the charette should be revisited and discussed with the firms directly.

Vice Chair Shyer asks if there are further comments regarding the 3 proposals. Commissioner Cockerline commented that the 2 larger firms, Colliers and FHI, have urban credentials and experience with larger community projects, expressing doubt in their ability to downsize to a small plan efficiently. Allied Engineering is a local firm. He felt their experience with the area and local knowledge were advantageous. Overall, he is very much in favor of working with Allied, lower cost is ideal and this firm possesses a better understanding of the local community.

Alternate Schiffer understands Allied may have more experience with the community, but considering other aspects of expertise, they don't possess as much experience as the larger firms. LUA Conroy commented that traffic and pedestrian safety is one of the most obvious concerns, and Collier's and FHI have more technical expertise in those areas. Personally, she does not interpret these firms downsizing to a smaller project at a disadvantage. Commissioner Cockerline disagrees.

Chairman Klemens asked if any commission member had concerns with potential conflicts given that

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

George Johanessen/Allied Engineering frequently appears before the Commission for applications. All members respond with no. Chairman Klemens sought to choose the firm with the best qualifications.

Commissioner Riva's opinion aligns with Commissioner Cockerline's. He believes Allied is the ideal firm to utilize. Mr. Johanessen is exposed to the issues in the area, has worked in town multiple times before, lives locally and is qualified enough for the task. He understands the problem areas despite lack of experience, and also has worked directly with Mat Kiefer, which Riva considers an advantage. Concerning cost, survey quotes from Mat Kiefer would raise the expense to more closely resemble estimates from the larger firms. However, they did quote less work hours required compared to FHI, whereas Collier did not quote hours at all.

Secretary Whalen admits he is still trying to wrap his head around the purpose of this project. He does not understand how these changes will benefit downtown Lakeville and doubts much can be done in such a small area. Whalen understands sidewalk safety could be improved, but believes this proposal is a tremendous expense without significant positive outcome. Making small changes could improve safety, but this economic development may ensure the continuation of the increasingly problematic parking issue.

Commissioner Cockerline agreed with Secretary Whalen and acknowledged the parking issue. He suggested that the commission consider narrowing the RFP to parking only in order to address this. The crosswalks already in place are high level established by DOT, and the large downtown intersection has already been reworked. In his opinion, the biggest problem is parking.

Vice Chair Shyer agrees parking is a big problem, but safety of children, pedestrians and bicyclists takes precedence. As outdoor activity and popularity of the area increases, danger and risk of accidents follows suit. There are technical ways to intentionally create an area that encourages slow driving without increasing stress to drivers, and professional assistance is required to achieve that safety goal.

Chairman Klemens disagrees that parking is the main issue. Instead, he believes circulation and traffic flow are more significant. Although Allied has substantial experience in the community, Chairman Klemens cautioned the Commission from relying on preconceived notions. He values having a fresh set of eyes to approaching these issues.

In conclusion, Vice Chair Shyer suggested asking firms about their approach to hosting a charette emphasizing the importance of public involvement in the study.

Commissioner Cockerline concluded with a final question he has for the larger firms: What credentials or past experience do they have regarding work in rural communities of 6,000 or less. Regarding the 3 options, Dr. Klemens believes FHI's budget is too high and would monopolize business in the community. FHI will also be utilizing surveyors from their firm. He is concerned there will be inconsistencies using surveyors who are not familiar with the area. In his opinion, the 2 viable contenders are Colliers and Allied, and Colliers is the strongest and most impressive of the 3 proposals

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

168 overall.

LUA Conroy reiterates the importance of employing a strong traffic expert. Another question to propose includes asking a larger firm if they are willing to work with the Commission's surveyor of choice, Mat Kiefer. Commissioner Cockerline does agree there is benefit to utilizing a surveyor who knows the area, but does not anticipate issues if they choose surveyors who do not understand the area.

The commission is in agreement that FHI is a firm that should not be considered and further progress is anticipated in upcoming meetings.

16. Zoning Use Tables Discussions

LUA Conroy explained that the draft use table was altered based on Commission comments from the prior meeting. Changing the word "permitted" to "allowed." She also noted the RR1V zone was eliminated from the use table as a result of the zoning map revision work being done in conjunction with Chairman Klemens. A discussion related to item #17 ensued as LUA Conroy and Chairman Klemens provided a preview of some of the recommendations forthcoming on a draft zoning map. Looking through the zoning maps, it became evident the RR1V does not serve a purpose. Instead, many RR1V parcels are split between multiple zones and could easily be absorbed into surrounding zones without creating new nonconformities with regard to setback or use. Additionally, there are not many RR1V areas in town.

17. Zoning Map Discussion

Chairman Klemens explained that proposed changes to both the maps and the use tables are intended to promote commercial use in appropriate downtown areas. New zones will follow parcel boundaries. He also identified that in many nonconforming residential neighborhoods lots have been down zoned. The intent is to provide relief to owners on lots that predate zoning requirements and are often undersized. On South Shore Road, and on lower Preston Lane, they are proposing a change to R20. This provides relief from setbacks for owners of the smaller lots. The C20 in Taconic will also be eliminated; thus, the post office will become a non-conforming use. It is proposed to eliminate the CG20 at the intersection of Lime Rock Road and Route 7 rendering the automobile business there non-conforming. Some areas have been up zoned from RR1 to RR3 to maintain large tracts intact. Setback requirements are the same in RR-1 and RR-3 thus the up zoning will not increase nonconformities with existing buildings, the only difference between the two is the lot area. The R20 area around Farnum Road will be extended, and the area around Amesville will change to R20. Throughout their work, Dr. Klemens and LUA Conroy have found errors such as plots that do not exist, or have merged. An additional thought is to extend the RE zone.

18. Regulation Amendments - Continued Discussion

Chairman Klemens requested that the Commission consider a definition and regulations for short term events.

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

209	Motion: To adjourn meeting
210	Made by Shyer, seconded by Whalen.
211	Vote: 5-0-0 in favor.
212	
213	Respectfully Submitted,
214	
215	
216	Erika Spino
217	Secretary of Minutes