SALISBURY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION **REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

June 5th, 2023 6:30 PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

1

Members Present:

Cathy Shyer (Vice Chair) Marty Whalen (Secretary) Allen Cockerline (Regular Member) Dr. Danella Schiffer (Alternate) Debra Allee (Alternate)

Staff Present:

Abby Conroy, Director of Land Use Miles Todaro, Land Use Technical Specialist Dr. Michael Klemens, Senior Land Use Consultant

Members Absent:

Bob Riva (Regular Member)

2 3

Brief Items and Announcements

1. Call to Order / Establish Quorum

4 5

- 6 Vice Chair Shyer called the meeting to order at 6:32PM. Dr. Klemens is present as staff, Vice
- 7 Chair Shyer will be serving as acting chair. Alternates Schiffer and Allee were seated as voting
- 8 members. Regional Manager of Planning Debbie Lawlor was also present, representing Collier
- 9 Engineering & Design.

10 11

2. Approval of Agenda

12

- Motion: To approve the agenda. 13
- Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva. 14
- Vote: 4-0-0 15

16

18

19

17

Public Comment

Public Comment - Public Comment is restricted to items that are neither on the agenda nor the subject of any pending Planning & Zoning application or action and are limited to three minutes per person

20 21

- 22 Commissioner Whalen and Chairman of the Salisbury Economic Development Committee (EDC)
- 23 Janet Graaff joined the meeting at 6:35PM. EDC Chair Graaff explained that she was asked by
- 24 her committee to look for changes made since the last time they viewed the study. Vice Chair
- 25 Shyer asked if she had any comments for the public comment section, since this topic will be
- covered under agenda item 4. EDC Chair Graaff had no other comments. 26

27 28

There were no other comments from the public.

29 30

Other Business

Village of Lakeville Planning Study/ Colliers / Discussion of Draft Report

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Vice Chair Shyer asked that LUA Conroy and Dr. Klemens run this section of the meeting, given their greater familiarity with the study. Dr. Klemens explained that they'll be going through the report page by page and keeping track of any comments the Commission has.

Commissioner Cockerline asked LUA Conroy if it would be possible to provide the Commissioners with printed copies of the study, which LUA Conroy confirmed.

The Commission began reviewing the document. Planner Lawlor explained that they didn't look beyond the originally proposed study area, and that their recommendations were divided into five parameters: pedestrian and bicycle access and safety, accessibility and utility of public greenspace, traffic circulation, parking, and stormwater management. She also explained that the information on Lakeville's existing conditions was gathered both in-person and from a focus group with local residents.

 Vice Chair Shyer asked if the figure saying Route 44 is 8.83 miles within the Town of Salisbury included everything from the New York border. It was confirmed that this figure includes everything from the New York border to the Canaan border. The Commission decided to skip to past the rest of the "Existing Conditions" section.

 Vice Chair Shyer asked Planner Lawlor to explain the traffic data regarding trucks. Planner Lawlor clarified that the traffic data specialist on her team would be better suited to answer questions in this section, and she could take any questions back to them. Commissioner Cockerline expressed surprise that trailer truck traffic was only 1-1.5% of traffic. Vice Chair Shyer was surprised by the speed of traffic on Sharon Road.

Planner Lawlor explained the next section, "Public Engagement Process", which describes the ways in which the public was engaged throughout the entire study process. Vice Chair Shyer asked how many responses to the online survey were received. Planner Lawlor answered that it was less than 30 online, however others filled out paper copies of the survey at the Charrette event. Vice Chair Shyer also asked if there was any negative sentiment from the respondents. Planner Lawlor answered that nobody had any negative comments about the process, but there were a lot of differing opinions about the topics of the study.

Planner Lawlor explained that the recommendations were organized into related categories. She added that the study recommendations emphasized the historic significance of Lakeville.

Regarding Community Field, Planner Lawlor explained that with some investment, it could be turned from just a field into more of a recreational asset for the town. She added that many comments were received expressing the need for bathrooms. Recommendations included a walking path, a multipurpose pavilion, and ties to Cannon Park. The principal recommendation was the addition of a municipal parking lot. Commissioner Cockerline asked if it would be possible to have pedestrian access to the Rail Trail from Farnum Road. Planner Lawlor answered

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

that the high grade was a challenge but that there was a long-term recommendation for an overpass over Sharon Road, connecting it with the Train Station area. Commissioner Cockerline followed up asking whether the railroad facilities were historic and not able to be altered. Planner Lawlor responded that in general you can make changes as long as it's well documented. LUA Conroy added that these would be the mostly likely areas the town will be implementing short term projects. Commissioner Cockerline elaborated that it would be nice if there was Rail Trail access near the crosswalk on Farnum Road, to direct pedestrian traffic away from the vehicle traffic north of the gas station. Vice Chair Shyer asked how feasible an overpass would be. Planner Lawlor responded that it's an expensive project that would depend on funding sources, which is why they listed it as a long-term option. Dr. Klemens added that short term solutions may be completely within the Town's purview, whereas long term

solutions may rely on permits from the State.

Referring back to the Community Field parking lot, Planner Lawlor pointed out that a guard rail is also recommended to prevent people from driving onto the field itself. A gate could be included to accommodate necessary field driving for maintenance or other Town business. Dr. Klemens asked if she could elaborate on the recommended Rail Ttrail access in Community Field. Planner Lawlor pointed to the Community Field access from Pettee Street, which would direct traffic away from the Aquarion Property. She also pointed to the Rail Trail access near the tennis courts. There is currently a steep unofficial path to the Rail Trail in this location which Colliers recommends grading into an accessible and official access. A walking path around Community Field was also suggested, in part based on comments received from the public. An accessible playground area was also recommended near the proposed parking area. Vice Chair Shyer asked for clarification on what "par course" exercise equipment is. Planner Lawlor described them as exercise equipment stations along a path with information regarding how to use them.

Alternate Schiffer asked for clarification on the restroom recommendation, and if similar facilities are common in Towns like Salisbury. Planner Lawlor responded that they're common in parks, and otherwise it depends on a number of factors like proximity to existing public restrooms.

Planner Lawlor stated that many comments were received regarding the Rail Trail's surface. Many would like it to stay the way it is, and many others considered it too dangerous for bicycles. She added that their recommendation is topdressing it with a porous material. This would preserve the natural feeling while improving safety and meeting ADA standards. They also recommended signage and lighting. These amenities should be branded and implemented in a consistent way. Educational interpretive signage was also suggested.

Planner Lawlor acknowledged the recent improvements to Cannon Park, but recommends that it be connected with the proposed Community Field path. This would provide a pedestrian connection from the field to Main Street. She also pointed out the recommendation that a

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone striped walkway/bike lane be added along Sharon Road. This would provide a visual separation between vehicles and pedestrians. She added that there are a number of recommendations

regarding this area surrounding Patco.

The study concurred with the Railroad Study in recommending that the Railroad Station Building be moved back and turned around. This would allow for the creation of a pedestrian plaza in this area. They also recommended railroad themed design elements in the nearby intersection that would also serve to slow traffic.

Various traffic improvements were recommended in the vicinity of the train station. These included more signage, directing traffic away from lower Ethan Allen Street, and a miniroundabout. A designated trail along Factory Pond was also recommended, to separate pedestrian traffic to the Grove from the vehicle travel lanes. This could connect to walkways in Bauer Park, to make that area more inviting. Improving the edge of Factory Pond with native plantings would help filter stormwater runoff. This was one of the few areas where Colliers received any comments about stormwater runoff.

Regarding Holley Street, Planner Lawlor pointed out the recommendation that a sidewalk be added. The Study identified a number of areas that had gaps in sidewalks. She also emphasized the recommendation that sidewalks be physically separated from the road in the form of a guardrail or grass. This gives pedestrians a greater sense of security and tends to slow traffic. Many of Lakeville's existing sidewalks, including on Millerton Road, do not have any separation from the road.

Planner Lawlor explained that there was a lot of discussion in the Charette regarding traffic. There it became clear that the main issue was traffic speed. She explained that there are a number of ways to slow traffic. These include more signage, cars parked along the road, and narrowing the driving area. At the Charette it was also clear that crosswalks tend to be sufficient in Lakeville, however traffic speed can make them feel unsafe. Alternate Allee commented that she would like to see the lower speed limit areas extended. Planner Lawlor explained that in Lakeville this would be more involved than other towns, since the State sets the speed limits on state roads. Additionally, she believes someone has already begun the process of working with the State to change the speed limits.

Commissioner Cockerline brought up the new state legislation allowing for automatic speed ticketing. He explained that it's only intended for certain areas like school zones, and has maximum ticket prices of \$50 and \$75. He believes it to be a first step and will change driver behavior. Alternate Schiffer and Commissioner Cockerline commented that Salisbury's State Trooper doesn't have time to monitor speed violations. Commissioner Cockerline added that it would help to be able to monitor remotely.

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

At the intersection of 41 and 44, either an all-way stop, a roundabout, or full traffic signal control was recommended. She emphasized that the roundabout is the safest alternative and is designed in a way that forces speeds to be greatly lowered. Commissioners Cockerline and Whalen commented that they've never seen a roundabout on slope. Alternate Schiffer commented that she frequently drives though the roundabout in Great Barrington, and doesn't like it. She added that in her research she found that roundabouts reduce the potential for head-on collisions, but rear-end and side collisions are possible. She and many of her acquaintances look for ways to get through Great Barrington while avoiding the roundabout. Alternate Schiffer asked if Colliers has really looked broadly at the efficacy of roundabouts. Planner Lawlor responded that Colliers has absolutely looked broadly at the efficacy of roundabouts. Instances like Alternate Schiffer describe can be the result of people violating traffic rules. She added that modern roundabouts have new markings on the pavement that tell drivers who has the right-of-way. Some drivers haven't learned what the markings mean yet and ignore them.

Commissioner Cockerline made the observation that the Department of Transportation statistics for this intersection are very low, so what they feel is a large problem may not be reflected in the data. Planner Lawlor confirmed that in terms of volume and accidents that is what they see. She clarified that the data only accounts for recorded accidents. Many accidents go unreported, and the data also doesn't account for close calls.

Dr. Klemens referred back to Commissioner Cockerline's comments about the roundabout being on a slope. He feels that traffic should be calmed before the site of the potential roundabout. Planner Lawlor referred to the listed recommendation that traffic is calmed with a physical impediment west of the roundabout. She pointed out there is currently a sign requesting drivers to slow down, which is largely ignored. A "choker island" would narrow the lanes and force drivers to slow down in order to maneuver it. Secretary Whalen expressed concern that truck drivers will have difficulty with it. Planner Lawlor explained that it's meant to slow down the truck drivers. Secretary Whalen explained that truck drivers dislike the Great Barrington Roundabout because it's not big enough. He added that the curbs are angled so trucks can easily go over them if they don't go through the roundabout exactly right. Secretary Whalen emphasized his concern for a putting a roundabout on a slope. LUA Conroy commented that the roundabout in New Milford on Grove Street is designed flush to the road so trucks have the extra room to navigate. This configuration greatly improved the intersection which used to be a four-way stop, even for truck drivers. Commissioner Cockerline concurred, and cited another example in Northampton Massachusetts. He feels this roundabout works very well and greatly improved the intersection. Planner Lawlor emphasized that their traffic expert used turning templates and verified that there would be enough room for trucks. She also reminded the Commission that the roundabout is one of three recommended possible ways to improve the intersection.

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Chair Klemens asked if the roundabout itself would be on a slope. Planner Lawlor replied that the roundabout would not be on a slope, but the road to the west would have cars coming down a slope. Vice Chair Shyer expressed that she is in favor of the roundabout, but is not in favor of the choker island to the west on Route 44. She feels this area is already lacking in terms of shoulders for pedestrians and cyclists to safely use. She added that she would support the introduction of speed cameras, and her interpretation of the recent Lakeville Journal article about them indicated that they would be feasible.

Vice Chair Shyer asked about the feasibility of conducting a traffic volume study in the summer, during peak traffic volumes. Planner Lawlor clarified that they were able to get traffic volume data from the state, since routes 44 and 41 are state roads. She directed Vice Chair Shyer to the appendix to view the raw data.

In terms of parking, Planner Lawlor explained that they found the perception of parking was highly varied. The primary issue the study uncovered was the parking areas not being paved or striped. This can create the perception that there is not enough parking when in reality there is. One recommendation is that Zoning require parking be paved and striped, with few exceptions. Signage indicating public parking is also recommended. Maintaining paved and striped parking areas is also important for ADA compliance.

Alternate Schiffer asked for clarification the statement about parking lost as a result of the Holley Street affordable housing project in the study. Planner Lawlor clarified that this was a concern brought up by some participants of the Charrette. Alternate Schiffer asked roughly how many participants had this concern. Planner Lawler explained that it was a small group, mainly concerned with the distance between restaurants and parking. She explained that many did not know there would still be some public parking in that location after the project is completed. In addition, parking at Community Field would make a significant difference. Alternate Schiffer and Chair Klemens suggested this statement in the Study be qualified with that information, to prevent confusion.

Planner Lawlor commented that quite a few residents at the Charette were in favor of parking at Community Field. Vice Chair Shyer asked if they could quantify how many spaces would be gained if the train station building were moved back and the area properly striped. Planner Lawlor clarified that the diagrams are visual, and not intended to show the exact number of spaces, but that figure could be calculated. Vice Chair Shyer asked why 36 spaces in Community Field was chosen. Planner Lawlor responded that their team felt that was the idea lot size when laid out. Secretary Whalen expressed concern that parking would take up too much of the field. Vice Chair Shyer concurred, and expressed that she is not in favor of parking in Community Field at this stage. Commissioner Cockerline expressed that he is highly in favor of parking in Community Field. Planner Lawlor explained that at the Charette and Focus Groups, the majority supported Community Field parking.

SALISBURY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION **REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

June 5th, 2023 6:30 PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Planner Lawlor reviewed the short-term traffic recommendations. These included reactivating a flashing speed limit sign on Millerton Road, moving the speed radar sign on Millerton Road, formalizing Main Street parking with striping, extending the 30MPH speed limit on Millerton Road, and consistent and recognizable enforcement. She commented that many residents supported the idea of more recognizable traffic enforcement, and asked if that enforcement is being done by the municipality in addition to the State. Commissioner Cockerline answered that it's the Resident State Trooper, who doesn't necessarily have the time. Secretary Whalen expressed that he believes the Trooper should have the time. Commissioner Cockerline asked if traffic is slowed near Town Hall where his car is often parked. Secretary Whalen confirmed, and

Planner Lawlor added that residents expressed the same notion during the study. 250

251 252

253 254

255

256

241 242

243 244

245

246 247

248

249

Planner Lawlor reviewed the "Intermediate Recommendations". These included a median island along Millerton Road, modifying the Ethan Allen Street/Holley Street intersection, and potential Ethan Allen Street/Holley Street one-way circulation. Long-term recommendations included modifying the route 44/route 41 intersection and modifying the Route 41/Ethan Allen Street/Farnum Road intersection. Planner Lawlor emphasized the pedestrian danger of the existing conditions at the latter intersection.

257 258 259

260

261

262 263

264

265

266 267 The final category of recommendations was "Stormwater Management". Planner Lawlor explained that residents generally did not view this as a concern. The area between Factory Pond and Farnum Road was one area that was discussed. The study found that it could be improved with maintenance. She explained that overall, the recommendations in this category pertain to Low Impact Development and maintenance. She added that planting of native species along water edges can help by reducing erosion and slow stormwater flow. Rain gardens could also be added in low areas. Uncategorized general recommendations included shade trees and landscaping along major roadways, consistent village center branding, and amending the zoning code to make residential not permitted on the first floor in the commercial zone.

268 269 270

271 272

273 274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

Planner Lawlor explained the next steps and implementation. She explained that they'll be working on a smaller study of the Salisbury Village Center. A Recreation and Open Space Inventory study is currently underway. Additionally, Planner Lawlor explained the implementation process of recommendations from a plan like this one. Dr. Klemens asked if Colliers would be interested in bidding on part two of the RFP. Planner Lawlor confirmed, and added that the implementation work is a lot of what her company does. She went on to describe the process necessary to implement phase two of the plans. Vice Chair Shyer asked if the study could be approved by the Commission tonight. Commissioner Cockerline commented that he's in favor of voting to accept the report tonight, but would not endorse everything in it at the moment. He would first want to prioritize the recommendations together as a Commission. Planner Lawlor confirmed that that would be the next step. Vice Chair Shyer asked when the Recreation and Open Space Inventory would be complete. Planner Lawlor clarified

SALISBURY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

June 5th, 2023 6:30 PM

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

- that that study is a needs assessment, which has a different purpose. She explained that
- 283 priorities could be set using the two studies together.
- 284 Chair Klemens summarized that the Commission can accept but not endorse the study tonight,
- and authorize Land Use Staff to work with Colliers on finalizing the report.

286

- 287 *Motion*: To accept the Collier's report of May 2023.
- 288 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Schiffer.
- 289 Vote: 5-0-0

290

- 291 Planner Lawlor expressed gratitude to the Land Use Staff for their assistance through the study
- 292 process. Alternate Schiffer expressed her appreciation for the work the Collier's did on the
- 293 study.

294

- 295 Minutes
- 296 5. Minutes of September 7, 2022
- 297 LUA Conroy clarified that the minutes are actually of September 6, 2022.
- 298 Line 44 Change "matter to" to "matter at"
- 299 Line 64 Change "roof, the" to "roof. The"
- 300 Line 114 add "and noted that" before "the northern access"
- 301 Line 231 Change "Whalen, they" to "Whalen. They"
- 302 Line 61 Change "omitted" to "deleted"
- 303 Line 81 Change "a continuation" to "the remainder"
- 304 Line 100 add "a" after "including"
- 305 Line 101 Delete "engineer" and "submitted"
- 306 Line 104 Add "building" after "three"
- 307 Throughout Ensure "lot one" is written consistently.
- 308 Line 155 Change "conscientious" to "concerned"
- 309 Line 206 Change "non-confirming" to "non-conforming"
- 310 Line 235 Change "non-conforming" to "non-conforming"

311

- 312 *Motion*: To approve the minutes of September 6, 2022 as amended.
- 313 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Allee
- 314 Vote: 5-0-0

315

- 316 6. Minutes of September 19, 2022
- 317 Line 145 Add "uses" after "residential"
- 318 Line 163 Change "performed" to "conducted"
- 319 Line 102 Add "Rock" after "Lime"
- 320 Line 116 Change "confirming" to "conforming"

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

	nemote meeting by live internet video beleam and relephone
322	Motion: To approve the minutes of September 19, 2022 as amended.
323	Made by Cockerline, seconded by Schiffer
324	Vote: 5-0-0
325	
326	Agenda items 7-21 were skipped.
327	
328	Adjournment
329	<i>Motion</i> : To Adjourn the meeting at 8:57pm.
330	Made by Cockerline, seconded by Schiffer
331	Vote: 5-0-0
332	
333	Respectfully Submitted,
555	nespession y submitted)
334	Miles Todaro
335	Land Use Technical Specialist
	and the second of the second o