Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

Members Present:

Staff Present:

Abby Conroy, Land Use Director Miles Todaro, Land Use Technical Specialist

Dr. Michael Klemens (Chair) Cathy Shyer (Vice Chair) Marty Whalen (Secretary) Allen Cockerline (Regular Member) Bob Riva (Regular Member) Dr. Danella Schiffer (Alternate Member)

Members Absent:

Debra Allee (Alternate Member)

1 Brief Items and Announcements

- 2 1. Call to Order / Establish Quorum
- 3 Chair Klemens called meeting to order at 5:29pm. He announced that the new Commission members
- 4 will be joining at the next meeting. Secretary Whalen and Alternate Member Allee were not present.
- 5 Alternate Member Schiffer was seated.
- 6 2. Approval of Agenda
- Chair Klemens announced that Item 14, The Town Grove EV Station Application, was withdrawn
 without prejudice. Additionally, he added Item 17A. Discussion about Green Cards.
- 9 *Motion*: To approve the agenda as amended
- 10 Made by Cockerline, Seconded by Riva
- 11 Vote: 5-0-0
- 12 3. Adoption of 2024 Meeting Schedule
- 13 LUD Conroy explained that the meeting schedule must be adopted 30 days before the next meeting.
- 14 Vice Chair shyer commented that she would prefer to not hold the meeting on January 2nd. Chair
- 15 Klemens expressed concern that if that meeting is skipped then there won't be enough time at the
- 16 following meeting to work on the Plan of Conservation and Development (POCD). Vice Chair Shyer
- 17 withdrew her comment.
- 18 *Motion*: To approve the 2024 meeting schedule as presented
- 19 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- 20 Vote: 5-0-0
- 21 4. Minutes of April 3, 2023
- 22 Line 63 Add "(Associate Director of Facilities at Hotchkiss)" after "Brian Hanecak"
- 23 Line 121 Change "culvert" to "catch basin"
- 24 Line 122 Replace "drainage" with "infiltration"
- 25

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

- 26 *Motion*: To approve the Minutes of April 3, 2023 as amended
- 27 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Shyer
- 28 Vote: 4-0-1
- 29 Secretary Whalen joined at 5:41pm and was seated in place of Alternate Member Schiffer. Agenda
- 30 items 5, 6, and 7 (minutes) were skipped.

31 Public Comment

- Public Comment <u>Public Comment is restricted to items that are neither on the agenda nor the</u> subject of any pending Planning & Zoning application or action and are limited to three minutes <u>per person</u>
- 35 There was no public comment.
- 36 17. Green Card Discussion

37 Chair Klemens explained that many green certified mailing cards have been received by the Post Office

38 as the Agent, which defeats the purpose. He added that, after a discussion with LUD Conroy and the

39 Town Attorney, it was determined that the Zoning Regulations do not require the green card return

receipts. He suggested that the Commission no longer require applicants submit return receipts. Proof
 of certified mailing would still be required. This would save applicants money on required notices. Vice

- 42 Chair Shyer expressed her surprise at the Post Office's practice, and asked if anyone has spoken to
- 43 them. LUD Conroy explained that it's a practice that was adopted during the COVID-19 pandemic which
- 44 some offices still use.
- 45 The Commission agreed with Chair Klemens' suggestion and the practice was adopted.
- 46 Public Hearings 5:45PM
- 479.#2023-0232 / Tanner / 373 Lime Rock Road / Special Permit for Home Occupation (Section48210.2) / Map 28 / Lot 11 / DOR: 10/30/2023 / Open Hearing and Possible Consideration
- 49 Secretary Whalen read the public hearing notice at 5:50pm.

Applicant Suzanne Tanner joined the meeting and described her project. Her home occupation would be for a hat gallery and shop open mostly by appointment only. Chair Klemens explained that there is sufficient parking, and it is considered a home occupation rather than a retail business. He added that

this is keeping with the Commission's desire to have adaptive reuse and revitalization along the Route

- 54 112 corridor in the village of Lime Rock.
- 55 The Commission had no questions. The hearing was opened to public comment.
- 56 Dicky Reigel left a comment in the Zoom Chat, which was read aloud by Chair Klemens: "As a neighbor,
- 57 Lime Rock Park certainly supports the hat gallery as a revitalization of the Lime Rock neighborhood."
- 58 There were no further comments from the public.
- 59

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

- 60 *Motion*: To close the public hearing at 5:57pm
- 61 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Whalen
- 62 Vote: 5-0-0

63

- 64 *Motion*: To approve application #2023-0232 / Tanner / 373 Lime Rock Road / Special Permit for Home
- 65 Occupation (Section 210.2) / Map 28 / Lot 11 / DOR: 10/30/2023
- 66 Made by Cockerline seconded by Riva
- 67 Vote: 5-0-0
- 68
- 42023-0231 / Lime Rock Park II, LLC (Riegel, SLR Consulting Ritchie) / 497 Lime Rock Road /
 Site Plan for New Garage Building /Map 4 / Lot 16 / DOR: 10/16/2023 / Open Hearing and *Possible Consideration*
- 72 Secretary Whalen read the public hearing notice at 5:59pm.

President and CEO of Lime Rock Park Dicky Riegel, general partner of Lime Rock Group LLC Bill
Reuckert, and SLR Consulting Engineer Todd Ritchie joined the hearing. Mr. Riegel explained this is the
same garage project approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission in 2022 at a different location.
They found an easier spot to locate the garage, so this application is a continuation of that approved
project.

78 Engineer Ritchie explained that the building is now planned as a straight building rather than wrapping 79 with the contours of the land as it was with the first application. He showed the Commission the new 80 location of the building in the park and the boundary line adjustment map with 52 White Hollow Road. 81 The lot line adjustment will allow the planned building and existing ticket booths to be located on 497 82 Lime Rock Road rather than 52 White Hollow Road. Engineer Ritchie also showed the Commission how vehicles and pedestrians will use the proposed footpath and driveways. The proposed garage is the 83 84 same dimensions as previously proposed. There will be 20 garages for track members plus a small common area and bathrooms. Utilities will need to be added, including a new well. He explained the 85 garage access will be one-way traffic. He also pointed out the catch basins, and explained that they will 86 87 be discharging into stormwater infiltration chambers. The foot path will be relocated away from the entrance to the garage's driveway and marked crosswalks will be added. Engineer Ritchie also provided 88 an overview of the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan, including the construction entrances and silt 89 90 fence. Mr. Riegel thanked the Commission for the consideration of the project, and pointed out that it 91 require less earth moving than the previously approved application. He also pointed out that the building is located away from White Hollow Road, and there is plenty of screening so it won't be visible 92 93 from the road. He added that the intended use is for storage, and he is happy to entertain any questions about this. 94

Alternate Member Schiffer asked if the building will be illuminated at night for security purposes. Mr.
 Riegel answered that there will be some low level of lighting, but it will not be fully lit up. Chair

- 97 Klemens asked if the light fixtures will be downward directed and dark skies compliant. Engineer
- 98 Ritchie confirmed that they will be.
- 99 Chair Klemens asked how oil, transmission fluid, and related substances will be handled within the
- 100 garage. Engineer Ritchie answered that none of those significant maintenance tasks will be performed.
- 101 There will be no floor drains in the garage. If maintenance work is required then it will be handled with
- 102 containment devices and properly disposed of.
- 103 Chair Klemens directed attention to the survey and explained that the lot lines were adjusted between 104 two properties in the same zone with the same owner. He noted that currently the ticket booths are 105 non-conforming as they are located on 52 White Hollow Road. The ticket booths would be brought into 106 compliance with this lot line adjustment.
- 107 Chair Klemens asked the applicant to show on the survey where the 300-foot buffer from White 108 Hollow Road is. Engineer Ritchie pointed it out on the survey. Chair Klemens pointed out that nothing 109 is being proposed in proximity to this buffer.
- Secretary Whalen asked if the floors in the garage are concrete. Mr. Rueckert confirmed that they are.
- Secretary Whalen asked if there will be a viewing area from the back of the building. Mr. Rueckert
- 112 explained that the higher central section will have a viewing area overlooking the track.
- LUD Conroy asked that Lime Rock Park send copies of the design files currently being displayed. She 113 114 also asked what the height of the building will be. Engineer Ritchie answered that the highest point will 115 be 30 feet and 4 inches. LUD Conroy asked what the distance from the building to the property line is. 116 Engineer Ritchie measured it at over 60 feet to the closest property line. LUD Conroy noted that this meets the 50-foot rear setback requirement. She also asked where the project stands with regards to 117 health approvals. Engineer Ritchie explained that the DEEP applicationss have been submitted, but he 118 hasn't heard back yet. DEEP representatives were onsite to witness the test pits, so they're aware of 119 the project. LUD Conroy noted that if there are any changes to stormwater, the Commission would 120 121 need to review that change. Chair Klemens asked the Commission if they would be comfortable approving the application without DEEP approval. Commissioner Cockerline expressed that he would 122 be okay with approving it contingent on obtaining DEEP approval. LUD Conroy added that DEEP 123 124 approval would be needed before a Zoning Permit could be obtained. Mr. Rueckert confirmed that 125 construction would not start without the necessary DEEP approval.
- 126 The hearing was opened up to public comment.
- 127 Lori Shepard joined the meeting at 6:25pm and asked how much water will be used and if it will impact
- 128 the neighbors' water supplies. She also asked how the building will be using the water, if the pavement
- 129 was pervious pavement, if there will be one car per garage, and if they expect visitors and additional
- cars to be present. Engineer Ritchie answered that water consumption will not affect the neighbor's
 wells, and that it will actually be less than most residential wells are designed for. He also answered
- wells, and that it will actually be less than most residential wells are designed for. He also answered
 that water will be used for restrooms. Mr. Reigel clarified that there will be very limited car washing.
- 133 Engineer Ritchie answered that the pavement is not pervious, but it is designed to channel the water
- 134 into the ground, thereby acting in a similar manner. Mr. Reigel commented that the number of visitors
- 135 will be fairly limited.

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

- 136 Sarah Wolf joined the hearing at 6:30pm. Ms. Wolf asked if the building is roughly three stories. Chair 137 Klemens noted that Salisbury's maximum building height is 35 feet. She clarified that she would like to know if it will be visible from the road. Commissioner Cockerline commented that the building will be 138 139 750 feet from the road. Mr. Reigel added that there will be considerable screening. Ms. Wolf asked if the building will be used beyond the normal hours of track operation. Mr. Reigel answered that he 140 does not envision any late-night usage, and it is designed for storage not for work. Individuals storing 141 142 their cars there will have access, but it's located inside the track gates. He added that the rules for 143 garage access have not yet been set, but it will be consistent and compliant with existing noise 144 regulations. Ms. Wolf asked if there will be people coming and going later in the evening or early in the morning. Mr. Reigel explained that they do not envision any kind of late-night interaction with the 145 146 facilities, and it is not set up for social gatherings. The garage deck will be mainly for race weekends. Ms. Wolf asked how long the construction process will take and if there will be clearing. Mr. Rueckert 147 answered that there will be some tree clearing and site work, but the vertical construction will likely go 148
- faster than a traditional wood-framed building. 149
- Doug Howes joined the hearing at 6:38pm and asked if the garages will only be used for storage and 150
- 151 not maintenance. Mr. Reigel answered that the building will only be used for storage, with the
- 152 exception of simple tasks such as changing tires. Mr. Howes asked if there will be regulation of noise,
- such as from air wrenches used in changing tires. Mr. Reigel explained that the track's strictly enforced 153
- voluntary noise agreement would apply to the garages. No noise will exceed the levels permitted by 154
- the agreement. 155
- 156 Terry Dunne joined the hearing at 6:41pm and asked if there will there be any light pollution in the
- 157 evening. Mr. Reigel answered that there will be downlighting that is dark skies compliant. The track
- and all other lighting will remain compliant with existing protocols. Ms. Dunne asked if Lime Rock Park 158
- 159 will be hiring locally for the project. Mr. Reigel answered that they have not chosen contractors, but
- 160 they pride themselves in hiring locally and would like to include the community.
- *Motion*: To close the hearing at 6:42pm 161
- 162 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- Vote: 5-0-0 163

The Commission agreed that they were leaning towards approval. Chair Klemens listed the three 164 165 conditions he would like added to the approval: The plans shown tonight must be submitted to the Land Use Office, light fixtures must be dark skies compliant, and DEEP approval of the subsurface 166 disposal system must be obtained. Chair Klemens asked the Commission if they would like a fourth 167 condition that the garage comply with the regulations and agreements that govern the track. 168 169 Commissioner Cockerline expressed that he feels that would be redundant. Chair Klemens agreed. LUD Conroy noted that the building is being designed for vehicle storage likely meaning that the vehicle 170 171 owners would not arrive at odd hours. Chair Klemens asked the Commission if they would be interested in adding a condition regarding noise levels. Commissioner Cockerline commented that the 172 173 distance from the road and screening should be sufficient, and that condition is not necessary. 174 Commissioners Riva, Shyer, and Schiffer agreed that it would be redundant.

175

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

- 176 *Motion*: To approve application #2023-0231 / Lime Rock Park II, LLC (Riegel, SLR Consulting Ritchie) /
- 177 497 Lime Rock Road / Site Plan for New Garage Building /Map 4 / Lot 16 / with the following
- 178 conditions:
- The current plans presented at the 11/20/2023 meeting be submitted physically to the Land
 Use Office
- 181 2. All lighting fixtures will be dark skies compliant
- 182 3. DEEP approval of wastewater treatment is acquired
- 183 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- 184 Vote: 4-0-1
- 185 Secretary Whalen abstained from voting.

11. #2023-0234 / A Slice of LLC (Capecelatro and Hackett) / Special Permit for Detached Apartment on Single Family Residential Lot (Section 208) / Map 40 / Lot 29 / DOR: 10/30/2023 / Open Hearing and Possible Consideration

Secretary Whalen read the public hearing notice at 6:54pm. Attorney Mark Capecelatro, Engineer Pat
 Hackett, Architect Susan Rodriguez, and Landscape Designer Michael Trapp joined the hearing.

Hackett, Architect Susan Rodriguez, and Landscape Designer Michael Trapp joined the hearing.
Attorney Capecelatro explained that the application is for an accessory building consisting of a two-car
garage and roughly 500 square foot accessory dwelling. The dwelling is intended for guests and
potentially a caretaker. It meets all minimum and maximum dimensional requirements. A new septic
system approved by Torrington Area Health District will serve this building and the main house. The

195 plans have been reviewed by IWWC and the Town Engineer.

196 Chair Klemens asked if white snake root has been removed from the plans. Landscape Designer Trapp 197 answered that it has been. Attorney Capecelatro asked Chair Klemens if this question would be more suited for the Site Plan application. Chair Klemens answered that he's not sure which application the 198 landscaping would fit in. Vice Chair Shyer asked what the "lawn tick barrier" is. Landscape Designer 199 200 Trapp answered that a 10-foot-wide strip of lawn will be mowed creating a barrier to keep ticks down 201 along the paths. Vice Chair Shyer also asked if there were a number of trees taken down. Landscape Designer Trapp answered that the owner got permission to remove a number of trees, and the stumps 202 were left for soil health purposes. Vice Chair Shyer asked if the permission was from IWWC. LUD 203 204 Conroy answered that amount of work that was done did not reflect what was approved, but the IWWC was aware of that through this process. 205

206 Vice Chair Shyer asked if the breezeway will be glassed-in or open. Landscape Designer Trapp 207 answered that it is open. Architect Rodriguez confirmed this, and added that the lighting in the pergola will be low along the pathway. The lighting inside the dwelling will also be downlit, with the exception 208 209 of a few accent lamps. Chair Klemens asked if they're suggesting that the house will be fairly dark skies compliant. Architect Rodriguez confirmed that is their intention. Vice Chair Shyer expressed her 210 support of that aspect of the plan. She also asked how the access to the lake will work with no planned 211 stairs. Landscape Designer answered that the land is naturally sloping and there is an existing access 212 213 point.

- 214 Commissioner Cockerline asked if the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan shows a double hay bale
- barrier. Engineer Hackett answered that it is actually mulch tube. Alternate Member Schiffer asked
- what plant was substituted for the white snake root. Landscape Designer Trapp answered that it was
- 217 replaced with native asters.
- 218 The hearing was opened to the public at 7:10pm. There was no public comment.
- 219 *Motion*: To close the hearing at 7:11pm
- 220 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- 221 Vote: 5-0-0
- 222 Motion: To approve application #2023-0234 / A Slice of LLC (Capecelatro and Hackett) / Special Permit
- for Detached Apartment on Single Family Residential Lot (Section 208) / Map 40 / Lot 29
- 224 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva:
- 225 Vote: 5-0-0
- 226 Pending Business
- #2023-0235 / A Slice of LLC (Capecelatro and Hackett) / Site Plan to Demolish Existing and
 Rebuild New Single Family Residence and Associated Site Improvements in the Lake Protection
 Overlay District (Section 404) / Map 40 / Lot 29 / DOR: 10/30/2023 / Possible Consideration
- 230 Chair Klemens asked the Commission they saw any reason why this application might not also be
- approved. Commissioner Cockerline asked if all the erosion and sedimentation control measures are
- the same as those on the special permit. Chair Klemens answered that they are the same. LUD Conroy
- pointed out that often the Commission adopts the same conditions that IWWC has. Commissioner
- 234 Cockerline commented that doing so would seem redundant to him. LUD Conroy explained that it isn't
- 235 redundant in terms of the bond being taken.
- Motion: To approve application #2023-0235 / A Slice of LLC (Capecelatro and Hackett) / Site Plan to
 Demolish Existing and Rebuild New Single Family Residence and Associated Site Improvements in the
 Lake Protection Overlay District (Section 404) / Map 40 / Lot 29 with the following special conditions:
- Final approved plans shall have live signature and embossed seal of the Engineer and Surveyor
 of record. These shall be submitted to the Town of Salisbury Inland Wetlands Agent prior to any
 construction.
- The Erosion & Sedimentation Control Bond shall be set at \$52,275.00. The Bond shall be a cash
 bond payable to the "Town of Salisbury".
- A Pre-Construction Meeting is required with the Inland Wetlands Agent prior to the start of
 construction to inspect E & S control measures and to discuss construction sequencing/phasing.
- During the construction process, the Owner/Developer/Contractor shall add erosion and
 sedimentation control measures as deemed necessary by the Inland Wetlands Agent.

Remote Meeting by Live Internet Video Stream and Telephone

- 248 5. Daily inspections and required maintenance of all erosion & sedimentation control measures
- shall be completed by the General and/or Site Contractor until a permanent vegetated cover is
 established (70% turf establishment). Repairs shall be made immediately after inspections.
- An As-Built Site Improvement Plan, prepared by a State of Connecticut Registered Land
 Surveyor, shall be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Agent after all the site work is completed,
 and prior to requesting a Certificate of Occupancy.
- A final site inspection shall be completed by the Inland Wetlands Agent and/or the Consulting
 Town Engineer prior to the release of the Erosion & Sedimentation Control Bond and/or the
 issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- Inspections, as required of the Consulting Town Engineer shall be determined by the Inland
 Wetlands Agent.
- 259 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- 260 Vote: 5-0-0
- 261
- 13. #2023-0233 / Hotchkiss School (Hanecak) / Site Plan Modification for Dining Hall Renovations /
 Map 6 / Lot 8 / DOR: 10/30/2023 / Pending Consulting Engineer Review, Anticipated for Special
 December 4, 2023 Meeting
- LUD Conroy explained that the Town Engineer asked for and received additional drainage information that he will need more time to review. This could possibly take until the meeting on December 18th.
- 267 Item 14 was skipped, as per the amendment to the agenda.
- 268

269 New Business

270 15. #2023-0237 / Lime Rock Park II, LLC / Special Permit Application for Up to 25 Special Events Per
271 Year / Map 4 / Lot 16 / DOR 11/20/2023 / *Receive and Schedule Public Hearing*

272 President and CEO of Lime Rock Park Dicky Riegel joined the meeting at 7:19pm and explained that Lime Rock Park is seeking approval for up to 20 to 25 social events per year. The events will follow all 273 274 relevant Land Use Regulations currently in place, and will consist of weddings, birthdays, and other 275 group meetings. He added that from their perspective each of these events are inherently customary 276 to race track operations, as anyone hosting such an event will have been to Lime Rock as a race fan or 277 participant. Per prior discussions with LUD Conroy and Chair Klemens, Mr. Riegel agreed that it makes 278 sense to apply for a blanket special permit rather than hosting a public hearing for each planned 279 activity. The events will generally be held in the infield area of the park, farthest away from any neighbors. 280

281 Chair Klemens commented that the letter is different than what was represented in their meeting. Mr. 282 Riegel explained that the social events they host are generally for people with a connection to the park.

- 283 Alternate Member Schiffer expressed that she does not agree with the assumption that social events
- are inherently accessory to the Park's business. Mr. Reigel clarified that it is just intended to explain the
- trend of events. LUD Conroy showed the Commission the Zoning Regulations pertaining to this
- discussion, which only allows for events that are customary and incidental to race track operations. For
- all other events, a Special Permit is required, which they are currently applying for. Mr. Reigel
- explained that it may have been his mistake to refer to the events as customary to their operations,
- but they would like to apply for the Special Permit for social events. The Commission deliberated the
- 290 kinds of events that would be defined as customary and incidental to a race track.
- Chair Klemens commented that the public hearing for this application will likely draw public interest, so
 he would like it scheduled for January 16th rather than in December. Mr. Reigel confirmed that date
 worked for them.
- 294 Vice Chair Shyer commented that a list of semi-regular events that the Park holds would be helpful.
- 295 Chair Klemens commented that it's around four to five events. Commissioner Cockerline commented
- that he feels weddings are the biggest wild card of event types. Chair Klemens agreed, and added that
- this will be relevant when discussing events in agricultural areas as well.
- Commissioner Cockerline asked if drifting could be discussed. Chair Klemens answered that it can be
 discussed in the hearing, but it is actually accessory to the operations of a race track. Vice Chair Shyer
 asked if the buildings that will be used for events and how far they are from neighbors could be
- identified. Chair Klemens commented that this is something for the applicant to consider for the public
 hearing.
- 303 *Motion:* To set a hearing on January 16th at 5:45pm via Zoom for application #2023-0237 / Lime Rock
- Park II, LLC / Special Permit Application for Up to 25 Special Events Per Year / Map 4 / Lot 16
- 305 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- 306 Vote: 5-0-0
- 30716.EV Charging Station Regulation Amendment / Town of Salisbury / Schedule Public Hearing for308January
- 309 Chair Klemens explained that the Congregational Church is looking to install EV chargers. Their
- 310 consultant provided some language for a Regulation Amendment. Chair Klemens and LUD Conroy
- showed the language to an Attorney and now have questions for the Commission.
- 312 LUD Conroy explained that EV Charging stations meet the current definition of a structure and therefor
- 313 are subject to setback requirements. However, they are more associated with driveways or parking
- areas which don't need to meet setbacks. Additionally, there is some new legislation regarding
- 315 charging stations which she and Chair Klemens incorporated. Chair Klemens commented that there are
- some cases where the proposed regulation amendment is stricter than the State legislation.
- 317 LUD Conroy explained that the proposed regulations would apply to publicly owned, privately owned,
- 318 commercial or non-commercial chargers. It would not matter if the chargers are paid or free to use.
- 319 Commissioner Cockerline asked if the requirement for chargers in lots with 30 or more spaces could be
- 320 optional. LUD Conroy explained that the State statute requires that section. Commissioner Cockerline

- 321 asked if this would only apply to new applications. Chair Klemens explained that the statute would only
- 322 require them on new applications, they are proposing requiring them on applications reconfiguring
- 323 parking as well.
- 324 Chair Klemens commented that "new parking" should be specified in these proposed regulations. LUD 325 Conroy clarified that existing parking areas without chargers would be allowed as preexisting.
- LUD Conroy explained that advertising signage would be prohibited. Commissioner Cockerline asked if there were existing signage regulations that prohibited electronic signs. Chair Klemens answered that neon signs are prohibited.
- 329 LUD Conroy explained that the minimum chargers per parking area would be added to the parking
- regulations. Chair Klemens commented that the regulations must be referred to the Council of
- 331 Governments, and then a hearing could be scheduled after. Commissioner Cockerline asked if any
- other changes are expected. LUD Conroy answered that there are not.
- 333 LUD Conroy clarified for the Commission that these proposed regulations apply to publicly accessible
- 334 chargers, not those at private residences. Commissioner Cockerline asked if the chargers at the
- Congregational Church would qualify as private. Chair Klemens answered that those chargers are
- proposed as being publicly accessible from the side of the road.
- 337 *Motion*: To refer the proposed regulations to the Council of Governments for review.
- 338 Made by Cockerline, seconded by Riva
- 339 Vote: 5-0-0
- 340 *Motion*: To set a public hearing on January 16th at 5:45pm via Zoom on the EV regulations.
- 341 Made by Cockerline seconded by Shyer
- 342 Vote: 5-0-0
- 343
- 344 Adjournment
- 345 Motion: To adjourn the meeting at 7:56pm
- 346 Made by Riva seconded by Shyer
- 347 Vote: 5-0-0
- 348
- 349 Respectfully Submitted,
- 350 Miles Todaro
- 351 Land Use Technical Specialist