

Questions for Miller and Brooks regards WR in project.

From Robert Riva <briva24@icloud.com>

Date Wed 8/27/2025 9:32 AM

To Abby Conroy <aconroy@salisburyct.us>; Miles Todaro <mtodaro@salisburyct.us>

Questions for Miller and Brooks regards WR in project.

Mr. Brooks if the LAeq and LA90 are in not applicable for this project projections from Your standpoint. What would you have used different? And what would the measured out come be?

You have not given the commission a measurement as to what you feel the project would generate for sound ratings, what do you believe it would be configured the way it is in the last plan which developer committed to 32dBA. What are saying the inadequate design will render for dBA based on last plan?

Mr. Miller please explain to me with further detail your latest report # 4 Findings specifically #4.1 what do you mean by disturbed area? Your maps almost make it look like the complete area will be clear cut is that what you are trying to indicate?

You also state that the "proposal would be significantly larger and more intensive use of property than its current use" my question, what would happen if the land was used for affordable housing what magnitude of use/disturbed area would you expect to see?

Please show me on a map as you have in 4.1.

Are you aware that some neighbors are having water run off currently with property as it sits, which this project is going to remediate?

Bob Riva
860-671-1055c

**This email, including attachments, is for the exclusive use of addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, copying, disclosure, dissemination or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately. If you are the intended recipient receipt does not give a license to copy or distribute the contents contained herein without the expressed consent of the sender