

**From:** fenbois@aol.com <fenbois@aol.com>

**Sent:** Friday, August 29, 2025 8:32 AM

**To:** Perley H. Grimes <pgrimes@cramer-anderson.com>

**Cc:** Joshua E. Mackey <jmackey@mbwlawyers.com>; Charles Andres <candres@barclaydamon.com>; Abby Conroy <aconroy@salisburyct.us>

**Subject:** Cross-examination

Good Morning Attorney Grimes:

I wish to follow up on the continued hearing (8/26/2025) and clarify my position. Your client's decision not to formally intervene (and thereby receive party status) has presented me with challenges in trying to run what is a very protracted and contentious hearing, ensuring that the rights of the public and the applicant are respected.

While I still believe I was correct in not allowing you to directly cross examine your witnesses or those of the applicant, you may, as any member of the public, direct questions to either the applicant's or the Cruger's experts through the Chair.

It is my intention to reserve the first hour of the continued hearing on 9/4 for the public. This would provide you with an opportunity to ask any questions you may have (on behalf of the Crugers) to any of the witnesses (either the applicant's or the Cruger's experts). I trust that this solution will provide you the time you need to have your questions addressed.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Klemens, Chair