

**Re: Memo**

---

**From** fenbois@aol.com <fenbois@aol.com>

**Date** Thu 9/4/2025 1:02 PM

**To** Joshua E. Mackey <jmackey@mbwlawyers.com>; Charles Andres <candres@barclaydamon.com>; Perley H. Grimes <pgrimes@cramer-anderson.com>

**Cc** Land Use <landuse@salisburyct.us>; Miles Todaro <mtodaro@salisburyct.us>; Abby Conroy <aconroy@salisburyct.us>

Dear Attorney Grimes

Apparently our emails crossed.

I noted that you have chosen not to cross examine any experts today. The final night of the hearing (September 9th) is reserved for summations as per my previous correspondences.

Michael Klemens

On Thursday, September 4, 2025 at 12:42:57 PM EDT, Perley H. Grimes <pgrimes@cramer-anderson.com> wrote:

Chairman Klemens,

Please see my response filed at 12:19 pm today.

Perley Grimes

---

**From:** fenbois@aol.com <fenbois@aol.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, September 4, 2025 12:24 PM

**To:** Joshua E. Mackey <jmackey@mbwlawyers.com>; Perley H. Grimes <pgrimes@cramer-anderson.com>; Charles Andres <candres@barclaydamon.com>

**Cc:** Land Use <landuse@salisburyct.us>; Miles Todaro <mtodaro@salisburyct.us>; Abby Conroy <aconroy@salisburyct.us>

**Subject:** Memo

To: Attorney Grimes and Attorney Mackey

Fr: Michael W. Klemens, PZC Chair

September 4<sup>th</sup> 2025-- 12:30 PM

Good afternoon, gentlemen:

I am writing to you both to follow up on various questions I have posed and to respond to Attorney Mackey's inquiry about the assessors' cards of the Granbery and Wake Robin parcels which Attorney Grimes wishes to introduce into the record.

Firstly, I am in the process of developing tonight's schedule. As I stated at the last hearing, I committed to allowing the public to go first this evening, recognizing there was little time at the last hearing for the general public to voice opinions and concerns.

I have twice inquired of Attorney Grimes (8/29/2025 and 9/3/2025) if he wishes to cross examine experts tonight and in what format. My preference is that questions are directed through the Chair, however I have left it to Attorney Grimes to inform me as to how he wishes to proceed using the format I propose or alternatively wishing another format (e.g., direct cross examination). I have endeavored to give Attorney Grimes (and his clients' the Crugers) every opportunity to participate in these hearings, despite their decision of not seeking party status. With less than seven hours before the continuation of the hearing, I still do not know if Attorney Grimes will take me up on my offer to give him the first time slot this evening for cross-examination in whatever format we agree upon.

Concerning the assessors' cards, I will allow these to be introduced into the record for what they are worth. This is not a court proceeding where attorneys argue of what can be in the record or what should be stricken. It will be the decision of the PZC in its post-hearing deliberations whether or not these assessors' cards offer any evidence that has relevance to this application.

Thank you for your continued cooperation

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael W. Klemens". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "M".

Michael W. Klemens